1	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF THE STATE FLORIDA, IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY			
2		ASE NO.: CRC23-03157CFANO		
3				
4	STATE OF FLORIDA	$A_{\bullet}$		
5	Pla	aintiff,		
6	vs.	VOLUME I		
7	THOMAS ISAIAH MO	THOMAS ISAIAH MOSLEY,		
8	Def	Eendant.		
9		/		
10	PROCEEDINGS:			
11		MICHAEL G. RAILEY, SR, PH.D.		
12				
13	BEFORE:	THE HONORABLE SUSAN ST. JOHN Circuit Court Judge		
14				
15	DATE:	July 10, 2025		
16				
17	PLACE:	Courtroom 2		
18		Pinellas County Justice Center 14250 49th Street North		
19		Clearwater, Florida 33762		
20				
21	REPORTED BY:	Charlene M. Eannel, RPR Court Reporter, Notary Public		
22				
23		Pages 1 - 156		
24				
25				
Z D				

1	A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S
2	
3	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA:
4	COURTNEY SULLIVAN, ESQUIRE
5	Assistant State Attorneys Office of Bruce Bartlett, State Attorney
6	Pinellas County Justice Center 14250 - 49th Street North
7	Clearwater, Florida 33762
8	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT, THOMAS MOSLEY:
9	MARGARET RUSSELL, ESQUIRE JULIA B. SEIFER-SMITH, ESQUIRE
10	Assistant Public Defenders Office of Sara B. Mollo, Public Defender
11	Pinellas County Justice Center 14250 - 49th Street North
12	Clearwater, Florida 33762
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS	
2		PAGE
3	WITNESS CALLED BY STATE:	
4	MICHAEL G. RAILEY, SR., PH.D	F
5	Direct Examination by Ms. Sullivan Cross-Examination by Ms. Russell	5 96
6	Certificate of Reporter	271
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
STATE'S		
EXHIBIT #	DESCRIPTION	PAGE REC'D
1	Curriculum Vitae	24
2	Report	38
DEFENSE EXHIBITS		
1A	Revised Curriculum Vitae	115

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	THE COURT: Okay. We are back on the record.
3	Are we ready to have Mr. Mosley out? Let's have
4	Mr. Mosley out.
5	Is Mr. Mosley going to join us this morning?
6	MS. RUSSELL: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Is that the plan?
8	MS. RUSSELL: Yes, Your Honor. He decided to be
9	here.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	(Defendant entered the courtroom.)
12	THE COURT: I'm ready if you are. All right.
13	Mr. Mosley, good morning. If you can have a
14	seat at your table, please. All right. I promised
15	the first thing we would discuss is scheduling.
16	So do you want to have that discussion now?
17	MS. RUSSELL: Yes, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: All right. Dr. Hall.
19	MS. RUSSELL: Dr. Hall is available tomorrow,
20	Friday, from 9:00 to 12:00, or on the 23rd of July
21	from 1:00 to whenever we're finished with him.
22	THE COURT: I can make that work.
23	Can you make that work?
24	MS. SULLIVAN: Yeah. I my preference would
25	be to get it done this week.

1 THE COURT: It would be my preference, too. 2 just don't know how long Dr. Tenaglia is going to 3 take. MS. SULLIVAN: That's my concern. She flies in 4 5 tonight. She lands about 6:00, I think. She's going to be here -- she's getting picked up at her hotel 6 7 at 8:00 a.m. by our transport people and brought here for -- to start at 9:00, was the plan. Her flight 8 9 back out is a little after 7:00 p.m. tomorrow night, 10 so she'd probably be needing to head to the airport 11 by 5:00. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MS. SULLIVAN: If we think we can accomplish all 14 of that, I --15 THE COURT: I am not confident. 16 MS. SULLIVAN: Me neither, and that was my 17 concern about having Dr. Hall go first. 18 THE COURT: Yeah. So let's do July 23rd, then, 19 for Dr. Hall. 20 MS. SULLIVAN: Okay. 21 THE COURT: I'm duty that week. I can get 22 somebody to cover advisories that day, and I can 23 block off 12:00 to whenever we finish. 24 MS. RUSSELL: Can I --25 MS. SULLIVAN: One.

```
MS. RUSSELL: Yeah, he's at 1:00, but I was
 1
 2
          going to ask if maybe we could do an hour or two in
 3
          the morning to get Renee Mosley in.
 4
               THE COURT: Fine. We'll start after the morning
 5
          calendar.
 6
               MS. RUSSELL: Okay.
 7
               THE COURT: Yeah. Fine.
              MS. RUSSELL: Just -- I'll try to get her to --
 8
               THE COURT: Fine.
 9
10
              MS. RUSSELL: Okay.
11
               THE COURT: That's fine.
12
              MS. SEIFER-SMITH: Can I have another Zoom link
13
          for Ms. Franklin, who is prepared to testify on
14
          Tuesday? Can I reach out to Jill for that?
15
               THE COURT: Yes.
16
              MS. SEIFER-SMITH: Great. Okay.
17
              MS. SULLIVAN: On Tuesday?
18
              MS. SEIFER-SMITH: I mean, I could do it.
19
               THE COURT: I don't have it yet. Jill would be
20
          the person to talk to about that.
21
              MS. SEIFER-SMITH: My plan was for her to
22
          testify tomorrow afternoon --
23
              MS. SULLIVAN: Okay.
24
              MS. SEIFER-SMITH: -- but I'm sure I could have
          her do it on the 23rd, just so that don't take up
25
```

1 more time. MS. SULLIVAN: We could try for tomorrow, and if 2 3 we finish with Dr. Tenaglia, I could do it. MS. SEIFER-SMITH: Okay. 4 5 MS. SULLIVAN: Fine? THE COURT: I'm fine either way. 6 7 MS. SEIFER-SMITH: Okay. Great. THE COURT: However you want to do it. If we 8 9 can fit it in tomorrow, I'm fine doing that. 10 MS. SEIFER-SMITH: Okay. 11 MS. SULLIVAN: And then, Your Honor, Mr. Johnson 12 from the jail is going to head over here around 11:00 13 this morning. 14 THE COURT: Fine. We'll take a break when he 15 gets here and talk to him for a few minutes, as well. 16 Okay. 17 So let's -- today, we have scheduled Dr. Railey, 18 and we needed to handle a motion first. Just give me 19 one moment to get all my motions together here. 20 I have the Motion to Exclude Testimony of 21 Dr. Railey, and then I believe we still need to address the motion related to the WHODAS; this is how 22 23 we're pronouncing it, right? 24 MS. RUSSELL: Yes, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Okay. Which one did you want to

1 start with? MS. RUSSELL: We'll start with the WHODAS score 2 3 sheets, if we can. THE COURT: Okay. Give me one moment to find my 4 5 copy of that motion. 6 Is Dr. Railey here? 7 MS. SULLIVAN: He is, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Okay. I don't think we've ever met 9 before. Okay. Here it is. All right. 10 Are you arguing the motion? 11 MS. RUSSELL: Yes, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: All right. Whenever you're ready. 13 MS. RUSSELL: Well, I mean, we did do most of 14 the arguing of --15 THE COURT: Yeah. 16 MS. RUSSELL: -- the motion --17 THE COURT: If there's anything else you want --18 MS. RUSSELL: -- prior. 19 THE COURT: -- to say, let me know. 20 MS. RUSSELL: I'll just cite to the American 21 Psychological Association Release of Test Data and 22 Ethics Code. I think I have provided the Court 23 prior, which basically says that these test results 24 and raw data may be disclosed pursuant to a Court order. 25

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. RUSSELL: I also have the Ethical Guidelines from the Association Of Psychology Postdoctoral and Internship Centers, which provide that these materials can be released to a qualified licensed psychologist or under a Judge's Protective Order, which specifies how these materials will be protected, who will see them, and that they will be destroyed at the end of legal proceedings.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. RUSSELL: I don't know if Dr. Railey -- you know, I think that the State had basically agreed that they didn't have a dog in this fight, but I think Dr. Railey said that he was going to hire a lawyer and bring the person in to contest the Court's order and the Court's power to have these materials disclosed, so...

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. RUSSELL: I haven't gotten any relevant authority from him or understand what he might be relying on.

THE COURT: Okay. Did you have anything to add?

MS. SULLIVAN: I don't. I mean, Dr. Railey is

here if you want to ask him and --

THE COURT: That's my plan --

1 MS. SULLIVAN: -- and address it. 2 THE COURT: I just didn't know if you had 3 anything you wanted --MS. SULLIVAN: I don't. 4 5 THE COURT: -- to add before I turn to 6 Dr. Railey. 7 MS. SULLIVAN: No. THE COURT: Okay. Dr. Railey, do you want to 8 9 come up and talk to me for a minute? Good morning, 10 sir. Can you raise your right hand, please. 11 (Witness was duly sworn on oath.) THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 12 13 Dr. Railey, have you seen the Motion to Compel 14 Discloser of the WHODAS 2.0 Score Sheet? 15 DR. RAILEY: Yes, I have, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: All right. You've heard what we've 17 been talking about a little bit this morning. We had 18 some more extensive conversations about it a few days 19 ago. I'll let you start off with saying whatever you 20 want to say. I might have some questions for you, 21 and the lawyers might have some questions for you, 22 okay? 23 DR. RAILEY: Okay. You know, this is -- this is 24 a gray area in the field of psychology. I mean, it 25 just is. The bottom line upfront on this is that the

-- the WHODAS is a -- it's a common domain instrument. I mean, you can just Google it.

THE COURT: Okay.

DR. RAILEY: It's a two-page assessment, and the last page explains to you how to score it. It tells you what the descriptors are.

My issue with all of this -- and it's not this case; it's in general, is that I've seen where people are getting ahold of psychology information and not knowing how to handle it.

I mean, if I -- if I had a contract, and I don't -- I don't know it -- I don't understand that, so I'm going to get an attorney to do it. I'm going to hand it over to an attorney. Tax documents, I give it to my accountant.

I don't -- when it comes down to it, I don't -- I don't -- I can't reasonably say I can't release it, but I do have that prerogative because I don't want to facilitate misuse of the information. I prefer that the information goes through a psychologist. I'm just kind of old school that way. But if she wants it, she can have it. I'll give her -- I'll give her a copy I have with me right now. But if she really wanted it, she could have just Googled it. I mean, it's common domain.

```
1
               THE COURT:
                          Okay. So my understanding is that
 2
          you've already provided the score sheets to
 3
          Dr. McClain; is that correct?
               DR. RAILEY: I have.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Okay. Were there any sort of notes
 6
          or anything related to your analysis as it relates to
 7
          the score sheets that you provided to Dr. McClain
          that may not be in your report?
 8
               DR. RAILEY: No. No --
 9
10
               THE COURT: Okay.
11
               DR. RAILEY: -- Your Honor, there is not.
12
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
               DR. RAILEY: Because how this is -- how this is
13
14
          scored is pretty straightforward. It's just a
15
          comment you made earlier, it's just straight math.
16
               THE COURT:
                          Okay.
17
               DR. RAILEY: You know, based on your -- it's
18
          highly subjective based on your -- your assessment of
19
          -- of the domain --
20
               THE COURT: Okay.
21
               DR. RAILEY: -- you score from 1 to 4. 1 is
22
          minimal. 4 is extreme.
23
               THE COURT: Okay.
               DR. RAILEY: And that's what the information
24
25
          sheet on the back explains all of that.
```

1 THE COURT: Okay. And if you were to provide 2 that to Defense Counsel, which is not something we 3 normally do with proprietary tests --DR. RAILEY: Uh-huh. 4 5 THE COURT: -- but if that is something that you're willing to do today --6 7 DR. RAILEY: Sure. THE COURT: -- what protections would you want 8 9 to place for me as it relates to your score sheet. 10 DR. RAILEY: Well, Your Honor, I don't -- I 11 don't know that I need any protection, at this juncture, because, again, one could Google this 12 13 instrument and it's right there. 14 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Mosley's answers, I 15 assume, you wouldn't --16 DR. RAILEY: Oh. 17 THE COURT: -- not to say that anybody would do 18 this, but you wouldn't want them to be dispensed to 19 other people, put on the Internet. I mean, if -- it 20 would be used for court purposes only. 21 DR. RAILEY: Well, I -- okay, Your Honor. 22 definitely agree with that. Although, he did not --23 on this instrument, it's just based on your observation of a --24 25 THE COURT: Okay.

1 DR. RAILEY: -- of an area of functionality for 2 the individual. So it's not necessarily due to a 3 specific answer to a question. It's due to, you 4 know, we had an extended conversation, and I -- and I5 -- I reviewed, I don't know, two, three hours of 6 So based on all of that, I made my 7 assessment. THE COURT: Okay. 8 DR. RAILEY: And his mother did it based on her 9 10 time with him. She made her assessment. I mean, 11 there is a chasm of difference between, you know, our observations, but they -- they are our observations. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 14 Do you have any questions for Dr. Railey? 15 MS. RUSSELL: Yes. Is he basically -- is he 16 agreeing to --17 THE COURT: He's going to give them to you. 18 MS. RUSSELL: -- disclose the data? 19 THE COURT: Yeah. He's going to give them to 20 you. 21 MS. RUSSELL: Excellent. 22 THE COURT: So with that being said, I mean, 23 just for the sake of completeness, much like a 24 proprietary test and answers that Dr. McClain might 25 receive, I assume you all are going to treat them

1 with the same amount of care. It's only going to be 2 used for the purposes of Mr. Mosley's competency 3 hearing. It's not going to be dispersed to other 4 parties, the standard procedures that you would 5 follow with any other sort of testing, correct? 6 MS. RUSSELL: Yes, Your Honor. Although, I 7 think we would like to, at a minimum, mark them, admit them in evidence, and if you would like, we can 8 have them filed under seal. 9 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MS. RUSSELL: Or kept under seal. 12 THE COURT: Are you comfortable with that. 13 DR. RAILEY: I am, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Great. So let me 15 give you a minute. Do you have them readily 16 available before you today? I would -- we would need 17 copies, so if you want, I can take them and make the 18 copies. 19 DR. RAILEY: She can have these. I mean, she 20 honestly could've gotten what I sent to the other 21 psychologists, but... 22 THE COURT: Dr. McClain. 23 DR. RAILEY: Yeah. I guess it feels better to 24 get it from me, so I'm going to go ahead and do that. 25 THE COURT: Okay. This is the entirety of.

```
1
               DR. RAILEY: Yes. That -- the first -- I think
 2
          the top document is, I think, it's five or six pages.
 3
          Those are the mother's -- that -- that's her
 4
          assessment.
               THE COURT: Okay.
 5
 6
               DR. RAILEY: And how -- how she provided her
 7
          feedback to me. I e-mailed her a link from the
          portal that I use --
 8
 9
               THE COURT: Okay.
10
               DR. RAILEY: -- when I send out this assessment,
11
          and when she finished, the data came back to me
          through my -- through my testing portal.
12
13
               THE COURT: Okay. And -- okay. Got it.
14
          you'd like, I will go on the back and make copies.
15
               DR. RAILEY: I don't need copies, Your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: They're going to need copies, so.
17
               DR. RAILEY: Oh, okay.
18
               THE COURT: Yeah. And I probably need one as
19
          well to follow along.
20
               DR. RAILEY: Understood.
21
               THE COURT: But, obviously, mine will be
22
          safeguarded, and the lawyers will do the same, okay?
23
               Any questions for me before I go make copies
24
          about this?
25
               DR. RAILEY: I don't have any questions.
```

1 THE COURT: I'll be back in just a moment. 2 Thank you, sir. 3 (Break taken.) 4 THE COURT: Okay. Motion to Exclude Testimony 5 of Dr. Railey; is that still relevant? 6 MS. RUSSELL: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 MS. RUSSELL: Can we ask that Dr. Railey leave the room while we do this motion? 9 10 THE COURT: I can't hear you. 11 MS. RUSSELL: Can we ask that Dr. Railey leave 12 the room while we argue the motion? 13 THE COURT: Sure. Dr. Railey, if you can have a 14 seat out in the hallway, I'd appreciate it. Okay. 15 He's in the little side room there. 16 MS. RUSSELL: That's fine. Your Honor, we feel 17 that Dr. Michael Railey's testimony is neither based on reliable facts and data and should be excluded 18 19 under Daubert. 20 Recalling back to June 26th, when Dr. Fabian 21 gave his testimony on the identification and 22 diagnosis of Intellectual Disability, he told us a 23 lot of very important things. Number one, a diagnosis of Intellectual 24 25 Disability needs to depend on a valid IQ score. We

know in this case that Dr. Railey gave an IQ test less than three months after one was given at the South Florida Evaluation and Treatment Center, and that probably the score is invalid according to the WAIS manual due to the practice effect, which has been well documented and discussed by a number of the experts in this case.

Then Dr. Railey assessed adaptive functioning solely from prison behavior, not during the developmental period, and didn't use any standardized measures usually used for that purpose, such as the Vineland or the ABAS. He did no collateral interviews with family.

In fact, he scheduled collateral interviews with family, but then had them wait by the phone when he never actually followed up by calling them. Instead, he did end up giving Renee Mosley a WHODAS by e-mail, which is, again, not an accepted measure of adaptive functioning in use for Intellectual Disability.

None of his facts and observations were from the developmental period, which would have been 22 or below, and all of them were in the institutional setting.

So we believe that his testimony is not scientifically valid because it's not based on

1 reliable facts and data and it should be excluded 2 under Daubert. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Response? 4 MS. SULLIVAN: So normally, when there's a 5 Daubert challenge, we would have a full motion where 6 we would call the individuals being challenged and do 7 the whole testimony and lay the foundation and all of that. 8 In terms of efficiency, I don't really want to 9 10 do that twice today if the motion is denied. My 11 argument to the Court would need to let Dr. Railey's 12 testimony proceed, reserve ruling on the motion, and 13 after hearing the foundation of his training, 14 experience, educational background, and what he did, 15 why he did it, his assessment of Mr. Mosley, you can 16 make a ruling on the motion of whether or not to 17 exclude his testimony or not. 18 I don't really know how else to handle it at 19 this point without doing something twice, if that 20 makes sense. THE COURT: Okay. Anything else you'd like to 21

MS. RUSSELL: No, Your Honor. But I'd also, for the record, just like to say that, also, he did no diagnosis of autism except wave his hands over

22

23

24

25

say?

Mr. Mosley at the jail. No testing, no collateral interviews, no language testing, nothing, so...

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. RUSSELL: We'd add that to our motion.

THE COURT: All right. So, you know, part of my responsibility in hearings like this is to listen to all the doctors, including Dr. Fabian and anyone else who was either hired or appointed, and compare and contrast their testimony.

And you are relying on Dr. Fabian, which I understand why you are, but I haven't made a decision yet about his testimony. I need to compare what he said compared to what Dr. Railey says, and every other doctor that testifies in this case, and make a decision about -- just like I would -- you know, a jury would with a finder of fact, I can believe all of one person's testimony, none of it or some of it, depending on what they say and how they say it.

I'm not going to take Dr. Fabian's word for it that he should have done X, Y, and Z, just like I'm not going to take Dr. Railey's word for it that he should have done X, Y, or Z. I need to listen to all of the doctors, what they say, and what they believe is the appropriate way to conduct their evaluations and decide.

1 What I'll say is that, at the end of Dr. Railey's testimony, if you want to readdress the 2 3 issue as to whether or not I can -- whether or not I 4 should consider any of his testimony, I'll certainly 5 allow you to make those arguments, and I'll listen to 6 them, but I don't think I can make that decision 7 until I actually hear him testify. So if it's something where you think I should 8 9 discount everything he said, just like I would any 10 other witness -- or just like I could with any other 11 witness, I will certainly do that. So the motion is denied. 12 13 All right. Are we ready to get started with 14 Dr. Railey, then? 15 MS. SULLIVAN: Yes, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: This is your witness? 17 MS. SULLIVAN: It is. 18 THE COURT: Let's have Dr. Railey in, please. 19 THE BAILIFF: Step this way, stand right here. 20 Face the clerk, raise your right hand to be sworn. (Witness was duly sworn on oath.) 21 22 THE BAILIFF: Come have a seat up here. Adjust 23 the mic. Speak in a loud and clear voice for the 24 Court. 25 DIRECT EXAMINATION

```
BY MS. SULLIVAN:
 1
 2
               Good morning, Doctor. Get yourself comfortable.
          Q.
     Let me know when you're ready. All set?
 3
               Okay. I quess this is good.
 4
          Α.
 5
          Q.
               All set?
               All set.
 6
          Α.
 7
               Okay. Could you please state your name and
          Q.
 8
     spell your last name for the court reporter?
               Michael G. Railey, Sr. R-A-I-L-E-Y.
 9
          Α.
10
               And what do you do for a living, sir?
          Q.
11
               I'm a psychologist.
          Α.
12
               Okay. Did you provide a CV to both the State
          Q.
     and the Defense --
13
14
          Α.
               I did.
15
          Q.
               -- in preparation for this case?
16
          Α.
               I did.
17
               MS. SULLIVAN: May I approach the clerk?
18
               THE COURT: Yeah.
19
               MS. SULLIVAN: I'm showing Defense what's been
20
          premarked State's 1, Mr. Railey's CV.
21
               May I approach the witness?
22
               THE COURT: Yes.
23
    BY MS. SULLIVAN:
24
               Dr. Railey, will you take a look at this and
          Q.
25
     confirm that this is the CV that you provided to all
```

```
parties?
 1
 2
          Α.
               Yes.
 3
               MS. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, I'd ask, at this
          time, that we move into evidence State's 1,
 4
 5
          Dr. Railey's CV.
 6
               THE COURT: Any objection?
 7
               MS. RUSSELL: No objection.
 8
               THE COURT: All right. It will be admitted as
 9
          such.
10
               (State's Exhibit 1 was received into evidence.)
11
               THE COURT: Do you happen to have a hard copy
12
          for me?
13
               MS. SULLIVAN: I do.
14
               THE COURT: Great.
15
    BY MS. SULLIVAN:
16
               Dr. Railey, let's start with your educational
17
     background. Where did you go to college, and then where'd
18
     you go on from there?
19
               Well, if I may, you indulged me, my education
20
     did not start in the classroom at Florida State
21
     University. My education in forensic psychology started
22
     in 1968 when I was 4 years old. I feel like -- well, I
23
    believe my experiences as a child growing up in the -- in
24
     the housing projects of South Florida during the Columbia
25
     cartel days, that also informs my clinical judgment as a
```

forensic psychologist.

So I -- I have been to drug drops. My dad was one of the biggest -- you can Google that, too -- my dad was one of the biggest drug dealers in Palm Beach County. I started school at 4 years old, not because I was smart, but my mom had to work, and so that's when I saw the first killing, you know. Right there, I saw it.

I have a lot of relatives who've been incarcerated and who are still incarcerated. After -- after all of that, it's just been my whole life I've dealt with it. No one had a good education. My dad was illiterate, and yet, he -- he ran one of the -- I mean, Palm Beach County was his. He owned it. They never could really get him on anything.

He owned a nightclub. I don't know how many women he had, but he couldn't read. He couldn't read one bit. Now, in a classroom, you know, he was, frankly, he was ignorant. But in those streets, he was gifted. And I know a lot of other people like that. Again, I have relatives like that right now.

I had a brother like that, he's now deceased, but the reality is that's where my education started. It helped me make some decisions, too, about my own life. I can tell you that.

But after that, I -- I went to -- I graduated

1 from North Shore High School in 1982. I went to Florida 2 A&M University, and I got two degrees from there. Some of 3 this -- I've probably taken some of that stuff off my resume because it's really not relevant when I'm -- when 4 5 I'm trying to impress people about my skills as a forensic psychologist. 6 7 But I have two degrees from there; one in education, the other, it's school guidance. I taught at 8 9 Family for, I don't know, about seven years. After that, 10 I enrolled in the counseling psychology program at Florida 11 State University. There's so much information. I'm 12 trying to remember everything. 13 So after enrolling at Florida State, I 14 eventually started working in the Florida Department of 15 Corrections. I have there, you see, several stints. 16 get annoyed how things work, and I'd come back, and they'd 17 raise the salary; I go back. 18 But altogether, it's probably about 14 years of Department of Corrections I've had. Some of that was at 19 20 the Northeast Florida State Hospital in Chattahoochee. Let's see. And my -- my post -- my predoctoral 21 22

the Northeast Florida State Hospital in Chattahoochee.

Let's see. And my -- my post -- my predoctoral

internship, which is required to get licensed as a

psychologist after you graduate, there were -- there were

two -- the two top internship choices in the country was

one at The Department of Justice Bureau of Prisons or The

23

24

25

Florida State Hospital circuit.

I got offered both of those, but I chose the one that paid the most money. I had a family to raise. But anyways, I -- my education is not just in the classroom. That's what I'm trying to -- that's what I'm trying to portray here.

In all of my experiences, that's part of my education, and it also ties into how I come about making the decisions I make. The decisions I make tend to be, you know, a lot of times, not very palatable, but I believe, based on my education and training, I'm qualified to make every decision that I make.

And I make every decision with all the seriousness to -- to my responsibilities as a psychologist, not so much with deference to what's at stake as far as what I'm evaluating because that's not my role to do that. I mean, that's the Court's job, so I don't -- I don't really put much time into that.

I was invited to take a faculty position at Florida State. I taught there for about three or four years. Then after that, I was clinical faculty. So when — when doctoral students were getting ready to enter the profession, they would spend some time with me. I would take them along with me.

I would allow them to conduct evaluations that

```
they were allowed to conduct. Even that was also part of my education because a -- one of my doctoral students -- I've been a disability examiner since 2008, I believe, and I've been doing that for a while.
```

Then one of the -- one of the professional relations officers at The Division of Disability

Determinations called me and asked me, Well, we've got this kid who we believe has autism. Would you evaluate him? I declined and I didn't want to do it because I didn't really understand that, but I had a -- but I had a practicum student who had certain dissertation topics, so I actually learned from one of my students.

And so since 2000 -- about 2008 or '09, I've been -- I've been -- I'm pretty skilled at diagnosing Autism Spectrum Disorder. In fact, I do that right now. In Northwest Florida, without -- without a doubt, I'm one of the go-to psychologists to provide that evaluation. That's a -- that's a neuropsychological evaluation, so I provide that as well.

I've also been a contracted evaluator for the Division of Vocational Rehabilitation. So I evaluate a lot of -- I mean, I -- I don't have any numbers, but I keep every evaluation I've written. So it's kind of somewhere over -- it's pretty close to a thousand, but I've evaluated -- I've helped them determine what they can

```
1
     do for their applicants who had intellectual disabilities,
 2
     as well as Autism Spectrum Disorder. So that's it in a
 3
     nutshell.
               I've attended conferences. I -- the standard
 4
 5
     bearing instrument for diagnosing Autism Spectrum
 6
     Disorder, the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule; I'm
 7
     trained in that. Every year -- I mean, the laws don't
     change a ton, but since -- since about 2005, I would say,
 8
 9
     I've attended the Florida Forensic Examiner Training.
10
               Also, I have a -- I'm the only psychologist,
11
     according to William James College in Newton,
12
     Massachusetts, I'm the only psychologist they know in the
13
     State of Florida. I have a postdoctoral certificate in
14
     child and family forensics, so I do a lot with
15
     disabilities, disabled children, and them being abused,
16
     which is also a matter that the Courts deal with a lot.
17
               I'm not sure I'm -- I think that's about it.
18
     can't remember anything else.
19
          Q.
               And your CV is now in evidence, so I'm not going
20
     to go through the exhaustive list of everything in here.
21
     I just want to touch on a couple more things --
22
               Sure.
          Α.
23
               -- if you don't mind.
          Q.
24
          Α.
               Sure.
```

You are a member of a few professional

25

Q.

1 organizations as well, right?

- A. I'm going to end -- yes, but I'm not -- not for long.
  - Q. Okay. And then you also -- have you published your own articles?
    - A. I have.

- Q. And just briefly, can you tell the Court the topics that you've published on?
- A. Well, the first -- the first article I published was -- I was -- at the time that my doctoral degree was conferred, I was working in a female prison in Jackson County, Florida, Jackson Correction Institution, and I -- I got permission from the Department of Corrections to use female inmates in my study.

So one of the things I was -- I was always interested in -- I just kind of felt like the prison system was missing a lot with the way they were handling inmates, and how they -- and what they would -- it seemed that the system was more, you know, we just kinda punish you and send you home.

It's kinda like a three-legged stool. So you take one of those legs, they're just going to fail. So I made some proposals in that. It's been a while since I pulled it out, but I made some proposals in there about how the Department of Corrections could restructure their

-- their process. It's not about letting people out early or any of that. It's about when they get out, I mean, they actually have something that they can contribute to some of the needs of society. So that was -- that was the major one.

Honestly, it's been a while since I've published anything. I cannot remember. I do know there was one -there was one proposal or article that had to do with -- I think it had something to do with recidivism among juvenile offenders.

For years, I had DJJ contract. I evaluated -there's a -- there's a law that says with kids -- you guys
know better than I do, that before kids can be sent to one
of those commitment programs, they have to be evaluated by
a licensed psychologist. I was one of two psychologists
in West Florida that had a contract to do just that.

And I was in contact with the -- I think it was the Rockefeller Foundation. I was going to -- I mean, there was a -- there was -- we had some -- we had some ideas about how to get this word out. I think I published an article from that.

Then there was another article where I was a junior author. There were a number of people on that article who -- some of them are probably -- most of them are probably dead now. They knew way more than I knew. I

can't remember what that article was about, but it's in there somewhere in the CV.

- Q. You mentioned that you've been trained and have experience in evaluating individuals for possible Autism Spectrum Disorder?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Have you also -- learning disability evaluations in general, do you have training and experience in doing that?
- 10 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

14

15

24

25

- Q. How about intellectual disability?
- 12 A. Yes, all of that.
  - Q. And you said you have been trained and experienced in conducting neuropsychological evaluations, which would cover some of those --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. -- disorders we just discussed?
- A. Well, for sure, Autism Spectrum Disorder is -is a neurological disorder. So there's special training
  we have to do to -- and you can do -- you can do it until
  the rules catch up with you. Then you're going to get
  fined and probably lose your license if you're practicing
  something that you're -- you've not been trained to do.
  - Q. In going through your experience, it sounds like you've had an occasion to evaluate individuals who are

incarcerated; is that fair?

- A. Oh, yes. Yes. I -- like I said, 14 years in the Department of Corrections as a senior psychologist, that's pretty much what I did. All of the other stuff, I had staff that would do those things, like therapy. But when it came down to the evaluations, I was the only one at my facility that performed those.
- Q. And when you're doing an evaluation of somebody that is incarcerated, do you take that fact, in and of itself, into effect when you're sitting down with someone that they have been incarcerated for some reason, charged with something --
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. -- and when you're assessing them and using your clinical judgment, are you thinking about that?
- A. Well, obviously, you have to keep that in mind for matters of safety and all of that, but it's just been my -- and because I -- again, going back to the way I was raised, a lot of people if they -- I mean, I've -- I've been -- I have -- I have relatives who -- who were in a similar situation that we're dealing with here and we're in -- I mean, they're out, and we're in the same room.

So it doesn't -- it's -- it's normal for me. It really is. It's -- it's normal to -- so it didn't -- in terms of, it doesn't -- it's not an audit. It's not a

- novel situation for me to communicate with someone like
  that because, I mean, that's -- that's who I am anyway. I
  mean, that's where I'm from. That's who I am. So it's
  nothing new. It's just like riding a bike, I guess it --
  - Q. And have you previously been called to conduct evaluations concerning competency in the court system?
  - A. Yes.

I guess you could say.

- Q. And have you previously been called as an expert in court regarding matters of competency?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Can you estimate about how many times you've had to come testify regarding your evaluations in the court system?
- A. Oh, I don't know. Most of these are Zoom court but that counts, too, correct?
- 17 Q. I think so.
- A. I don't know. In the last -- I'd say in the
  last 10 years, a handful of -- a handful of times, maybe
  last 10 or 20 that I've actually had to come to court because
  usually these things are kind of cut and dry.
  - I've done -- in the last 6 months, I've probably done several -- I've done several hundred competency evaluations, and I'd say about four or five of them, I've actually had to testify. The others were pretty

```
perfunctory, I guess. I was never called to testify.
 1
               Obviously, we're here in the Sixth Circuit, and
 2
          Q.
 3
     you were on the court-appointed list regarding this case?
          Α.
 4
               Yes.
 5
          Q.
               Do you -- are you on the court-appointed list
 6
     for other circuits, as well?
 7
               Well, I -- at that time, I was actually residing
          Α.
     here. I was over in the Twelfth Circuit. But, frankly,
 8
     due to some pretty significant differences of opinion, I
 9
10
     decided to reopen my practice over in Tallahassee.
11
               So currently, I'm contracted with the Third
12
     Judicial Circuit, the First, the Second, and the
     Fourteenth.
13
14
               Okay. And so at the time that you were
          0.
15
     court-appointed on this case involving Mr. Mosley, you
16
     were here, and you had your practice here locally?
17
          Α.
               Yes.
18
          Ο.
               And then after -- in the most recent months, you
19
     moved back up to Tallahassee and have your own practice?
20
          Α.
               Yes.
21
          Q.
               All right.
22
               THE COURT: So do I understand you're still on
23
          those court-appointed lists or you --
24
               THE WITNESS: No, I --
25
               THE COURT: -- you decided to do no
```

1 court-appointed work? THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor. I -- it's -- it's 2 3 always nice to be wanted. Everybody likes to be wanted. But -- but it's -- it's kind of a challenge 4 5 that -- I liked it. I think it's kind of fascinating to use what I know in the best way I can. 6 7 But as far as I know, I should -- I'm still getting called. I've got several hearings coming up 8 because those were -- those were evaluations that I 9 10 did. So they're calling me now to -- I think in the 11 next 30 days, I probably have about another four --12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 THE WITNESS: -- coming up. And I think one of 14 them is in this circuit. 15 THE COURT: Going forward, no court-appointed 16 work for you, just private practice? 17 THE WITNESS: Well, in -- no, Your Honor. 18 -- I'm still going to be contracted with those --19 those --20 THE COURT: With those circuits that you just 21 listed? 22 THE WITNESS: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 THE WITNESS: Because those, in terms of driving 25 distance and all of that, you know, I'm sort of --

```
1
          I'm aging, so the driving gets to be a little bit
 2
          more difficult.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay.
               THE WITNESS: But if something is fascinating
 4
 5
          enough, I can be enticed to do it. If, Your Honor,
          if you -- if you're taking any notes on that, if you
 6
 7
          can let the Court Administrative know.
 8
               THE COURT: Thank you.
    BY MS. SULLIVAN:
 9
               So for the record, for the Sixth Circuit,
10
          Q.
11
     currently, you're no longer on that court-appointed list,
12
     right, because you moved --
13
          Α.
               That's correct.
14
               -- up to Tallahassee?
          Ο.
15
          Α.
              That's correct.
16
          Q.
              All right. Let's get into why you're here
17
     today. You were court-appointed to evaluate Thomas
18
    Mosley, right?
19
          Α.
               That's correct.
20
               And what date did you conduct that evaluation?
          Q.
21
               I'd have to -- can I look at my --
          Α.
22
               Absolutely. Do you have a copy? You wrote a
          Q.
23
     report?
24
          Α.
               Yes.
25
               MS. SULLIVAN: And while we're doing that, if I
```

```
1
          may approach the clerk, Your Honor?
               THE COURT: Yes.
 2
 3
               MS. SULLIVAN: I'm showing Defense what has been
          premarked as State's 2, Dr. Railey's report.
 4
 5
               May I approach the witness?
               THE COURT: Yes. Do you have a hard copy?
 6
 7
               MS. SULLIVAN: I do.
 8
     BY MS. SULLIVAN:
               I'm showing you what's been marked as State's 2.
 9
          Q.
10
     I know you have your own copy, but for evidence, can you
     just confirm that's your evaluation?
11
12
          Α.
               That's mine.
13
          Q.
               Okay.
14
               MS. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, the State would ask
15
          to move in, as State's 2, Dr. Railey's evaluation of
16
          Thomas Mosley.
17
               THE COURT: Any additional --
18
               MS. RUSSELL: No objection.
19
               THE COURT: -- objection to Dr. Railey's report?
20
               MS. RUSSELL: No objection, Your Honor.
21
               THE COURT: All right. It will be admitted as
22
          such.
23
               (State's Exhibit 2 was received into evidence.)
24
               MS. SULLIVAN: Here's your copy.
25
               THE COURT:
                           Thank you.
```

## BY MS. SULLIVAN:

1

16

17

23

24

25

- Q. All right. Do you have a copy of your report in front of you now?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. What date did you evaluate Mr. Mosley?
- 6 A. May 12th.
- Q. Okay. And is that of this year, 2025?
- 8 A. May 12th, 2025.
- 9 Q. Okay. Where did that evaluation take place?
- 10 A. At the -- at the jail.
- 11 Q. All right.
- 12 A. Pinellas County Jail.
- Q. And what's the date of your report that you generated from that evaluation?
- 15 A. May 20th, 2025.
  - Q. Okay. Let's start with what, if any, records did you review prior to meeting with Mr. Mosley?
- A. As a matter of practice, I typically -- I
  received the records, but, as a matter of practice, I've
  never ever, in my career, read anyone's record with the
  exception of when I was in the prison system because it
  was required. That was their policy.
  - But I typically don't read a record before I evaluate a person because I don't want to be prejudiced.

I want to make my own decision. Then if I see something

- that contradicts my decision, then I've got to resolve
  that for myself.
  - And the reason that I -- one of the things I was taught, and I totally agreed with it, is my -- my report right now is my testimony. I can't say, Well, what I -- what I intended to say or anything like that. So I want it to be my thoughts based on what I saw, which -- which includes what I've read. So that's what this is.
  - But I did review -- I reviewed -- I didn't -- I didn't bring it. I didn't print everything out, but his school records. So, yes, I reviewed a plethora of information prior to -- or after evaluating Mr. Mosley.
- Q. Okay. So you had received some school records from Defense Counsel. You reviewed that?
- 15 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. And that was Mr. Mosley from, like, grade school, third grade, up through high school?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Does that sound right?
- 20 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Did you review reports from other doctors that had evaluated Mr. Mosley?
- A. I did. I did. They were -- and that's what I

  -- I don't have in front of me, but I do -- I do recall

  what stuck out to me is that there was -- I think there

```
were three reports, and of the -- of the three, two, they
 1
 2
     agreed that I think it was a not competent to proceed to
     trial. Then there was another one, I think it was the
 3
 4
     psychologist at the hospital who had a different opinion
     about it.
 5
 6
          Q.
               Okay.
 7
               That's -- I don't remember the details about the
          Α.
     report, but those -- that's what -- that's what sticks
 8
 9
     out.
10
               All right. Did you review any test results from
          Q.
11
     other doctors regarding Mr. Mosley?
12
          Α.
               Well, what was in their reports, yes.
13
               So the State hospital report from Dr. Tenaglia,
          Q.
14
     you reviewed that and --
15
          Α.
               Yes.
16
          Q.
               -- observed her assessments and her results.
17
          Α.
               Uh-huh.
18
          Q.
               Okay. And I think you said you looked at these
19
     records and reviewed all this after you did your
20
     evaluation of Mr. Mosley?
21
          Α.
               I did.
22
               Okay. So let's just jump into it. You go to
          Q.
23
     the jail on May 12th of this year, and you sit down with
24
    Mr. Mosley.
```

Did you -- what was the first thing you did when

you sat down and began your evaluation with him?

A. I introduced myself. It was just, frankly, it was — it was just like a conversation, just me socializing with someone. I think that's the best way to make an evaluation because otherwise, you know, you're looking at some sort of impression management kind of a situation. I don't like for it to be that.

So I introduced myself to him. I don't -- I don't have to work to act like someone who is in a different situation than I'm in, that they're -- but they're the same as me.

In fact, one of the things I always told -- when I was working in the Department of Corrections, you know, we're the same. You know, I don't throw my pants up in the air and jump into them. I have to put them on one leg at a time just like that. So we're the same.

That's the way I approach all of my interviews.

That's the way I deal with people in general. I try to be

-- I -- I try to -- as much as I can, I try to treat

people like I would want to be treated. So it's like two

dudes having a conversation. That's what it was.

Q. Before you got into any testing or any of that forensic stuff with Mr. Mosley, did you just ask him about his family background and try to obtain some historical information from him?

A. Yes. That's -- that's part of the way I conduct my evaluations. I do the history and the background first because I think it just sort of eases -- maybe if there's any tension that exists, I think it serves to ease that tension, but it also gives me my own information about what he's saying about himself.

Because, frankly, sometimes when you read some of these reports, what they describe in the reports is not what I -- it's not what I see. And, frankly, I just don't believe there's -- I don't think there's very many people in the State of Florida -- in the entire State of Florida, who have what I have and know what I know to be able to do this.

So that's just -- it may sound arrogant, but that's -- that's -- that's how I see it. I just think I'm a cut above most people who do this because of my experiences in life.

- Q. Now, I'm not going to have you just regurgitate what you've written in your report, it's in evidence. But in talking to Mr. Mosley about his family and his background, what of relevance to the Court did you find in that back-and-forth just social conversation with him?
- A. Well, first of all, I mean, I think he has -- I think he has excellent communication skills. We don't use the same words, but he can carry a conversation. He can

carry a conversation. That's -- and that's neither here nor there, as far as I'm concerned. But he could -- you know, he -- he was respectful, but at the same time, he wasn't, you know, overly, you know, con -- deferential, you know, to an extent that it -- that it made the conversation difficult.

So I'm asking about -- I'm asking about his background. I always do that. I want to know, you know, what were things like for you before this happened to you?

So I asked him what -- one of the questions in my evaluation that pertains to the history and background is, you know, What sort of work did you do? What's the last job you had? That's a common question I ask.

He told me how he worked with his dad and that, you know, he had to use -- I guess his dad went to work before he -- he did, so he would use his mom's transportation.

I asked him, Well, tell me how -- so what's a typical day like for you with your dad? He told me, I'd go -- get up. Go to work. Before he went back home, he would gas the car back up and take it back to his mom. I know as a kid sometimes I didn't do that. You know, you used up all your mom's gas, and she's got to go to work the next day or go somewhere, and she's -- the car is on E, but he didn't do that.

Then I want to know about your social life and, you know, there were some interesting things there, but it showed me that -- that he has some cognitive sophistication. I mean, he can -- he can manage -- he can jungle -- he can juggle different -- different situations, different life tasks.

- Q. Can you give me examples of that?
- A. One of -- one of the parts of the evaluation we got into, you know, his -- his social life, with regard to women. He made it clear to me that he had a number of women, and I -- and I -- I think I made a comment, something to the extent that, Well, you know, boy, that can get you into a lot of trouble. I hope you didn't get caught. He was like, No, he was able to do this successfully, and that he never got caught doing that.

I know guys with multiple Ph.Ds who can't get away with doing that. So that -- that was a seminal moment for me in the -- in the interview.

Q. Okay.

- A. Those two things.
- Q. And when you're just having this back-and-forth conversation getting this historical information from Mr. Mosley, has your evaluation of him begun at that point?
- 25 A. My evaluation begins the first time I set eyes

on the individual.

- Q. Okay.
- A. It doesn't begin when they start talking. It begins when I see them.
- Q. So in terms of -- and we're going to get into this in more detail in a little bit, but just while we're on this topic. In terms of, obviously, when you were court-appointed, you had an order from the Court. And in the order from the Court, it was specifically asking to focus on any possible intellectual disability or autism issues, and you were aware of that, right?
- 12 A. Yes. Yes.
  - Q. When you begin your evaluation, are you -- even just talking to him, in a back-and-forth conversation, are you beginning your assessment into those areas during your evaluation?
  - A. Yes. And I dare say any competent psychologist does the same thing. I mean, it's -- that's -- that's not something that's just a nuance to me. Yes, that's when the evaluation starts.
  - Q. Is clinical judgment and your training a part of that assessment for intellectual disability or autism or anything that you're evaluating --
  - A. Yes.
- Q. -- someone for?

A. Clinical judgment, I mean, that's what sets me

-- I mean, that's why I'm sitting in this chair today

because of -- I have clinical judgment. So, yes, it does.

It matters significantly as to how you approach the situation and how you assess it and what your findings are.

So it plays a huge role. Otherwise, it's just information. It's -- it's just -- I mean, just like, the information on that -- on the -- even though it's pretty simplistic, but that WHODAS. I mean, to -- to the untrained person, it's just -- it's just numbers and words, numbers and big words, but you have to understand what those numbers and big words mean.

And that's -- that's where -- and also, it is an ethical violation if you just -- if you administer an evaluation -- well, let's say an IQ test, and the person scores below 70, and, you go, that's it, they have intellectual disability. No. That's not how it works.

You have to -- you have to make that judgment in light of everything else. So that's -- that's the important part.

Q. And then whether or not you conduct further tests, actual tests for either intellectual disability or autism, is that decision made based on what clinical indicators you're seeing during this conversation in just

normal assessment of an individual?

A. Yes. And that's -- that's real important.

That's -- there are so many ways to get tripped up as a psychologist. You know, we get parents -- I get parents all the time, they want me to evaluate their kid for this or that. Even if they're paying with cash, certainly the insurance companies aren't going to go for that, but I can't just give you what you ask for. I have to -- I have to have -- I have to be convinced that it's appropriate because in the final analysis, if I -- if I -- it's sort of like, you know, when I went to Afghanistan, we have this thing called the Geneva Convention. And -- and I was a commander and I had people under me and I was also under someone.

So we all had to sign this contract that we understand that we can't -- we can't do something just because we were ordered to. It had to -- we had to run it through our own -- our own thinking and understanding and then make a decision about whether or not to comply.

So I cannot just because someone asked me to do something, frankly, even if it's the Court, I can't just do that if I don't see -- if I don't see the clinical indicators to do it.

- Q. Okay.
- A. And so as far as that, the Autism Spectrum

- Disorder, I'm -- I mean, I'm pretty -- I'm pretty clear on that, that it's not. And part of that -- part of what helps me understand that, too, is the video -- I don't -- like, I'm thinking it was somewhere around three hours, I can't remember, but I watched -- I watched all of it, and I read some inmate requests, and those aren't -- those -- that is not the picture of Autism Spectrum Disorder.
  - Q. And we're going to get to that in a little more detail, but I just wanted to kind of brush over that --
  - A. Sure.

- Q. -- that when you're beginning that beginning conversation with him, you are looking for any signs of those possible disabilities or learning disorders in deciding whether or not you do the further testing; is that fair?
  - A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. Okay. All right. After you had your historical conversation and talked about his family and some of the social relationships, did you do -- did you go ahead and do the Mini-Mental Status Examination on Mr. Mosley?
  - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And can you tell the Court -- again, I don't need you to regurgitate your entire report, but any findings that you found interesting or relevant when making your competency determination during that exam?

A. Yes. The Mini-Mental Status Examination is also one of those. It's -- I'm not sure it's easily accessible as the WHODAS, but it's pretty simplistic. And some of the things -- I mean, I've -- I've used this with -- I use this with kids and adolescents, as well as adults with Autism Spectrum Disorders.

So some of the questions, I mean, you really have to be in some state of altered consciousness to get it wrong, and some of the things he got wrong. And I just based on everything else, our conversation, I knew -- I knew it was not accurate. So I just said -- I looked at him and said, Don't do that. And then we went back, and he -- he straightened it up a little bit, but then he went back to some of that responding.

For instance, I asked him to -- I don't have the feedback from it, but I asked him to just write a sentence about where he lives, you know. I have 5-year-old kids -- well, maybe 6 years old, you know. I live in a house. It's in a fun neighborhood. That's all that is, but he couldn't -- he couldn't do that.

There's a drawing that you -- that I show him a picture of and you have to draw it. And, again, the only people that really blow this are people with significant brain injuries. And that's one of the reasons, you know, we -- that's in there. According to the research, only

people with brain injuries, they get that wrong for some reason. So they — they can't draw it. They can't make those two shapes commingle like that. So there was — there — there were a few things like that.

I read him a story and, you know, the instructions are, I'm going to read you a short story. I want you to listen carefully. And after I'm done reading it, I want you to tell me the story back as close to the same words I used as possible, and go in the same sequence. And he only got two. And that's -- you know, I mean, again, I have -- I've evaluated people with dementia who they get more than that.

I mean, so -- so when you -- when you superimpose this type of performance over time with other types of evaluations, it just doesn't fit. But that's -- that was the performance, so that's what I -- that's what I used. But in my opinion, is that he could have done better than that.

Q. Now, did you notice a difference in Mr. Mosley when you were in the middle of a formal testing situation, like the Mini-Mental Exam, and then the less formal testing when you're just talking back and forth and you're kinda asking him some questions?

Was there a shift in how he responded to you and how he performed?

```
1
          Α.
               Well, I think during the testing part of it, he
 2
     was a bit more guarded, reserved, a bit more calculating.
 3
     The history and background part and he was -- I mean, I
 4
     thought that part was a little bit better. I did observe
 5
     a couple of times where it appeared to me -- I didn't say
 6
     anything about it; I just kept going -- it appeared to me
 7
     that he -- and I think if we had the video in here, you
     could see it -- that he -- he appeared to peek over to his
 8
 9
     Defense to maybe get some cues as to how to -- how to
10
     proceed. I don't like that.
11
               That's why I like to do my own evaluations by
12
    myself because I want to -- I mean, that kind of
13
     situation, it changes the data that I'm getting, but
14
     that's -- that -- those are the rules of the game, so I
15
    have to abide within that. But if I had my way, that
16
     would never happen.
17
               Okay. So after you did the Mini-Mental Status
          Q.
18
     Examination, let's talk about your intellectual disability
19
     analysis. Did you --
20
               THE COURT: Hold on. I saw Joel Johnson --
21
               MS. SULLIVAN: Is he here?
22
               THE COURT: -- come in at some point, and then
23
          he left. Do you want to --
               MS. SULLIVAN: Take a break?
24
25
               THE COURT: That's fine. I don't care if
```

1 Dr. Railey stays right there or if you want him to 2 step out, that's fine, too. I just -- I don't want 3 to waste Mr. Johnson's time. Have him come in, answer a couple questions, if you have questions for 4 5 him. 6 Are you comfortable doing that now? 7 MS. SULLIVAN: Sounds good. MS. RUSSELL: Yeah. Yeah. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Dr. Railey, you're welcome to 9 10 stay there. If you wanted to take a brief break, you 11 can certainly do that, but I need to get this guy from the jail hospital in and out, okay? Thank you. 12 13 I'll swear him in. 14 THE BAILIFF: Okay. Raise your right hand, and 15 the Judge will swear you in. 16 (Witness was duly sworn on oath.) 17 THE COURT: Thank you for accommodating us 18 today. I know --19 MR. JOHNSON: Of course. 20 THE COURT: -- it's a great inconvenience for 21 you to come over here. You've got plenty of other work to do, okay? 22 You know you were brought over today to talk 23 24 about Mr. Mosley; is that correct? 25 MR. JOHNSON: Yes, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: It has been brought to my attention 2 that, at some point recently, he had stopped taking 3 medication and then may have restarted taking some medication. 4 5 I would like for you first to, if you could, just tell me what it is Mr. Mosley is supposed to be 6 7 taking, as far as it relates to; Number one, any mental health medication that he takes; and Number 8 9 Two, any other just physical medication that he needs 10 for other physical ailments? 11 MR. JOHNSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Can you tell me what those are. 12 13 MR. JOHNSON: I can. He is on Fluphenazine, 14 that's an antipsychotic; he's on Trazodone, that's an 15 antidepressant; he's on Zoloft; that's also -- it's 16 an SSRI, another antidepressant; he's on melatonin to 17 help with sleep, and then he takes levothyroxine for 18 a thyroid disorder. 19 THE COURT: Okay. What does the -- tell me 20 about the thyroid disorder; that medication does what 21 for him? MR. JOHNSON: Well, it just regulates his 22 23 metabolism, like, his heart rate, and all that.

THE COURT: Okay. What happens if he doesn't

take it? Are there any side effects to it.

24

MR. JOHNSON: Oh, absolutely. It mimics the hormones released by the thyroid. So he could have, you know, an elevated heart rate, that sort of thing. It shouldn't affect his mentation, really.

THE COURT: Okay. At some point, did he stop taking, whether it be mental health or his thyroid medication at any point during his current stay at the Pinellas County Jail?

MR. JOHNSON: Well, I went back to the middle of May, and he is pretty consistent with his a.m. medication, which is the levothyroxine. I don't see any refusals of that whatsoever.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. JOHNSON: And then -- and then he takes his sertraline, the Zoloft. That's also given in the morning. I don't see any missed doses since the 11th of May.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. JOHNSON: With the evening medications, the Fluphenazine and the Trazodone, as well as -- as well as the melatonin, there's quite a few refusals throughout June, mostly. There were 12 refusals of both those medications. And then in July, so far this month, he's refused four times, both those medications.

1 THE COURT: Okay. I don't know if this is 2 something you keep track of or not, but as a result 3 of not taking some of those medications periodically, 4 has there been any other side effect issues that your 5 department would need -- the medical department of --6 the medical department of the Pinellas County Jail 7 needs to deal with? MR. JOHNSON: I --8 THE COURT: You know, I didn't -- I'm remiss. 9 10 But at some point before we're done, I'm going to 11 have to ask you to go over just your brief title and 12 job description and all that. 13 MR. JOHNSON: Yes, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay? 15 MR. JOHNSON: So I did take a look at his 16 Incident Reports and that sort of thing. I didn't 17 see any negative, really, behavioral issues 18 happening. He is in a ward, so he's around other 19 people. That's usually a good sign as far as 20 behavior. He hasn't caused us any problems that I 21 can find --22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. JOHNSON: -- since he's been back. 24 THE COURT: And since we didn't do this in the 25 beginning: Tell me your full name, please.

1 MR. JOHNSON: Yes. My name is Joel Johnson. 2 I'm a registered nurse. I've been working with the 3 Sheriff's Office for almost 18 years. THE COURT: Okay. So we have a hospital next 4 5 door that's associated with or part of the Pinellas 6 County Jail, and you work there, correct. 7 MR. JOHNSON: Well, I work as the case manager, but I am in the health care building. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. And do you have any sort of 10 supervisory role? I know whenever we have issues 11 where we need someone to give us information for 12 court purposes, you're generally the go-to guy for 13 that, correct? 14 MR. JOHNSON: Right. Well, Jim Jones has been 15 doing it a bit longer than I. He is a supervisor. 16 I'm kind of -- he has sort of mentored me over the 17 years. I don't supervise anyone. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MR. JOHNSON: I'm just a case manager. I handle 20 a lot of discharge stuff, and I've -- I've come to Court a few times --21 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. JOHNSON: -- to discuss patients' cases. THE COURT: Any questions? 24 25 MS. SEIFER-SMITH: Yes. Were you able to look

1 at the records up until this week, Mr. Johnson? MR. JOHNSON: Well, I reviewed -- I reviewed a 2 3 psych note. He was seen on the 28th of June by one 4 of our counselors, and then he saw Dr. Hernandez in 5 May. 6 MS. SEIFER-SMITH: In terms of the medication 7 administration which logs, like, if the medication is administered or if it's refused, and there's a log 8 9 for each and every time that the nurse, like, goes to 10 the individual with their medication that they're 11 supposed to be receiving; is that right. 12 MR. JOHNSON: That is correct. 13 MS. SEIFER-SMITH: Okay. 14 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, I have that in front of me. 15 MS. SEIFER-SMITH: So every single time the 16 medication is administered, that's noted. Every 17 single time it's refused, that's also noted; is that 18 right? 19 MR. JOHNSON: That is correct. 20 MS. SEIFER-SMITH: Okay. And in terms of the 21 records that you saw, were you able to review those 22 administration of medication records up through this 23 particular week? 24 And the reason we're talking about it is 25 because, well, we're here for a competency hearing

1 this week. MR. JOHNSON: Of course. Yeah. The last date 2 that I have on here is the 8th. 3 MS. SEIFER-SMITH: Okay. And during the week 4 5 prior to the 8th of July -- I'm assuming you mean the 6 8th of July? 7 MR. JOHNSON: Correct. I'm sorry. Yes. MS. SEIFER-SMITH: Okay. And during the week 8 9 prior to the 8th of July, there were repeated 10 refusals of the -- I'm going to mispronounce this --11 the Fluphenazine? 12 MR. JOHNSON: Correct. 13 MS. SEIFER-SMITH: Which is an antipsychotic? 14 MR. JOHNSON: That's correct. 15 MS. SEIFER-SMITH: And the Trazodone, correct. 16 MR. JOHNSON: That's correct. 17 MS. SEIFER-SMITH: And then on the morning of 18 the 8th, Mr. Mosley received none of his medication, 19 correct? 20 MR. JOHNSON: Yes. I'm trying to get to the 21 bottom of that. Generally, when they're coming to 22 court, they get woken up really early and given their 23 meds. For some reason, that didn't happen two days 24 in a row. 25 MS. SEIFER-SMITH: Right. It's noted that they

1	couldn't administer because he was out of the
2	facility.
3	MR. JOHNSON: Right. Which, generally I
4	don't know what the again, I've got e-mails out.
5	I'm trying to track it down. He should have been
6	woken up early knowing that he would be coming to
7	court. We generally give court meds a lot earlier
8	than the regular daily meds.
9	MS. SEIFER-SMITH: And I think you mentioned
10	this earlier, but you would certainly agree that
11	regular regimentation of these medications is
12	extremely important?
13	MR. JOHNSON: Oh, I'm sure it is.
14	MS. SEIFER-SMITH: Okay. And that Mr. Mosley
15	could suffer side effects if he's not taking those
16	medications as prescribed by the doctors?
17	MR. JOHNSON: That's probably out of my scope.
18	I'm not a mental health provider.
19	MS. SEIFER-SMITH: Okay. I don't have anything
20	further.
21	THE COURT: Ms. Sullivan?
22	MS. SULLIVAN: No.
23	THE COURT: Thank you.
24	MR. JOHNSON: Absolutely.
25	THE COURT: Can we, just as an aside, that was a

1 valid point. Can we make sure --MR. JOHNSON: Of course. 2 3 THE COURT: -- he is getting his morning meds? 4 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. 5 THE COURT: We're bringing him over -- instead of getting him up at 4:30, we have him marked and the 6 7 deputies order room at 8:30. So there should be plenty of time for him to get his meds. 8 MR. JOHNSON: Absolutely. I think a lot of 9 10 times, they're coming around at 9:00 or whatever to 11 give the morning meds. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MR. JOHNSON: So that's probably what happened. 14 THE COURT: All right. Great. Thank you. 15 MR. JOHNSON: Absolutely, Your Honor. 16 MS. SEIFER-SMITH: Your Honor, just, I guess, 17 kind of an evidentiary point, when we put in the 18 Pinellas County Jail records, with respect to 19 Mr. Mosley, we did not have them up until this week. 20 This is obviously a new issue that's arisen. 21 My copy is not in the courtroom to have it 22 admitted as an exhibit, but I think it might be 23 important given the circumstances that have 24 arisen --25 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

```
1
               MS. SEIFER-SMITH: -- would it be possible to
          have that added?
 2
 3
               THE COURT: I don't think there will be an
 4
          objection admitting those.
 5
               MS. SULLIVAN: No.
 6
              MS. SEIFER-SMITH: Okay.
 7
               THE COURT: All right. So just --
 8
              MS. SEIFER-SMITH: Will do.
               THE COURT: You two go over them, make sure
 9
10
          they're complete, and I'll put them in as an exhibit.
11
              MS. SEIFER-SMITH: Thank you.
12
               THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Thank you for
13
          coming over.
14
              MR. JOHNSON: Yes, Your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: I appreciate it.
16
              MR. JOHNSON: My pleasure.
17
               THE COURT: All right. Back to our regularly
18
          scheduled programming with Dr. Railey.
19
               Thank you for enduring.
20
               MS. SULLIVAN: All right. Dr. Railey -- I'm
21
          sorry, Charlene.
22
               THE COURT: We're moving on to the next --
23
               THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
24
               THE COURT: -- test, and I don't remember the
25
          name of the test. I didn't write it down.
```

```
1
               MS. SULLIVAN: We were going to talk about IQ
 2
          testing.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay.
     BY MS. SULLIVAN:
 4
               In your report, the next thing that you had
 5
     chronologically was the WHODAS, but I'm going to skip that
 6
 7
     for a minute and come back to it, because I want to talk
     about what you actually did with him in that eval
 8
     testing-wise, first.
 9
10
               So did you perform an IQ test on Mr. Mosley?
11
          Α.
               I did.
12
               Okay. And what test was that that you
          Q.
13
     administered?
14
          Α.
               The Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale.
15
          Q.
               Known as the WAIS-IV?
16
          Α.
               Yes.
17
          Q.
               My understanding is that there's a new WAIS, the
     WAIS-5.
18
19
               Right.
          Α.
20
          Q.
               Are you familiar with that?
               I am. Actually, I have it.
21
          Α.
22
               Okay. And is that something that you've started
          Q.
23
     to administer now, or are you still in training for that,
24
     or?
25
               Well, there's really, I mean, once you --
          Α.
```

- there's really no training that's necessary. When a new version comes out, you have -- you have a period of time before you have to switch. And that's -- and that's up to the individual provider.
  - I haven't made the switch yet. I do have an instrument, but I have not made the switch. But it's it if if an individual is and this goes to I want internal consistency. So is the test the same? Does it measure the same thing?
  - His score would -- his score would be the same.

    If someone administered him with your -- if he -- if his

    -- if he performs as he did on that new version, his score
    will be the same.
    - Q. Okay. So let's talk about the WAIS-IV that you administered. When you administered it to Mr. Mosley, were you aware that Dr. Tenaglia at the State hospital had also administered the WAIS-IV?
    - A. Yes. I know.

- Q. Okay. And we've heard this week that it's not optimal for a WAIS-IV to be administered to somebody back-to-back in that close of the time; are you aware of that?
- A. I'm aware that is a fallacy, but I'm aware of it.
- Q. And is the concern for that -- we've heard the

term practice effects this week --

A. Yeah.

- Q. -- is that one of the concerns if you administered that close together?
- A. That's one of the concerns, but it is up to -- and they're -- I mean, I have -- I've conducted my own research of the guidelines on this. Even what Pearson, the publisher of the test, says about it.

But the reality is when — there are times when we have to do it, and this is one of the times, you have to look at that. So, in other words, if I look at his performance on her administration and on mine, the qualitative descriptor is identical, extremely low. That — that's how his IQ was characterized by both administrations. So there is no statistical difference between my administration and hers.

- Q. Okay. So you were aware that she had issued the WAIS-IV?
- 19 A. Yes.
  - Q. You're aware through your experience that it's not the best practice because of the practice effects that could occur to do it back to back. But when you got the results, what did you notice and I think you said it a little bit, but I want to kinda get detailed about it your score versus Dr. Tenaglia's score?

What did you notice about that?

- A. There was no significant statistical difference between the score he derived with her and with me.

  They're -- they're in the same category of descriptor,
- Q. And were you looking -- because you knew that he had just been administered this at the State Hospital, were you looking for any signs of these practice effects that could come into play when you administer two tests close together?
- A. Yes. I -- you know, I do want to, if I may --
- 12 Q. Sure.

extremely low.

- A. I do want to say that I'd have to -- I'd have to push back some on the idea of not ideal, because that's not what the American Psychological Association says.

  That's not what the publisher of the test says.
- I think we -- and I'm one of those because one of the reasons I didn't -- I didn't want to evaluate -- I didn't want to use that was because I said it, but the folks that I was speaking to about that, everything they know about what you just said, they know everything that I told them, but what they don't know is everything that I know about the situation.
- So I conducted my own research. I have -- I have -- I have guidelines that have been put out by the

```
1
     American Psychological Association discussing this.
     one of the allowances for doing that is when there's a --
 2
     there's a forensic situation and there's a -- there's a
 3
     timeline involved.
 4
 5
               What would be something that you were looking
 6
     for that would indicate to you that we --
 7
               MS. RUSSELL: Objection, Your Honor. So now,
          Dr. Railey has testified that he has done his own
 8
 9
          research, and he has his own practice guidelines for
10
          allowing --
11
               THE WITNESS: No, that's --
               MS. RUSSELL: -- the WAIS to be given more than
12
13
          twice in a year. We'd like to ask that that be
14
          produced to us so that we can review it in time to
15
          cross-examine Dr. Railey.
16
               THE COURT: Okay. Overruled.
17
     BY MS. SULLIVAN:
18
               Hold on. Let me get my brain back to where it
19
     was. When you -- what type of practice effects were you
20
     looking for or that would clue you in that maybe that was
21
     in play when you administered the WAIS-5 to Mr. Mosley,
22
     and did you see them?
23
               He would -- he would perform extremely better
          Α.
24
    than he did the first time. And so typically, in the
```

world of statistics, something is significant when -- when

everything is -- where standard scores are expressed, there's a standard score, and then there's a certain amount of error that's to be expected, you just accept that.

All right. And when scores -- when you see significant changes that are above, say, one standard deviation above the other score, then that's when you started looking in -- and it's not so much an issue in the forensic environment, but it is in the school environment where -- where people are vying for -- they want to be in the gifted program and things like that. Those are areas where I absolutely would not do it.

But in a situation like this, I wouldn't expect that -- for that to happen, but I did inspect the scores.

- Q. Okay. Had you seen some indication that these practice effects were occurring, and the one example being what you just gave, the standard deviation being the way above how he performed with Dr. Tenaglia, would you have then done a different IQ test on him?
- A. I would have had -- I would have been forced to because that -- because that informs my overall -- so I would have had to do that.
- Q. Okay. But given the results you obtained, you did not have concerns about the practice effects and you didn't do that?

- 1 Α. I did not. But in retrospect, one of the things 2 that I could have done is I could have put what I -- the 3 statements that I have here in front of me from The American Psychological Association, I would have just 4 5 simply put that in the report for the reader to -- so 6 allay any concerns they might have regarding the practice 7 effects. But, again, in a forensic situation, practice effects is not a concern. Malingering is a concern. 8
  - Q. Okay.

17

21

22

- 10 A. Not practice effects.
- Q. All right. So let's get into that. So you got an IQ score of 55.
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And you've broken down in your -there's different subsets of the IQ tests, and you have
  those results in your report.
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. What did that score indicate to you when you compared it to just the observations you were making of Mr. Mosley in general?
  - A. I thought I -- I didn't -- I don't -- I don't believe, and I still don't believe, it is a reflection of his true cognitive abilities.
- Q. Okay. In your experience and in your training, an IQ of 55, is that low?

- You know, back in -- we can't use terms now that 1 Α. 2 we used to use, but there were some terms that were 3 applied to people that scored that low. I mean, they were 4 almost incapacitated. So, I mean, again, that's the 5 score, so that's what I had to -- that's what I had to 6 report, but, no. I just don't -- I don't -- I don't see 7 it. It's not my opinion. It's based on my experience and everything else I've seen. 8 Okay. What were your findings in the area of 9 10 Mr. Mosley's ability to understand and communicate with 11 you? 12 No. I'm going to have to take a little minute. Α. 13 So as I'm looking for this, so one of the -- one of the --
  - A. No. I'm going to have to take a little minute.

    So as I'm looking for this, so one of the -- one of the -one of the things with people with Autism Spectrum

    Disorder when it comes to socialization is that they
    always misinterpret social cues. They don't understand
    it. They don't -- they don't understand the nuances of
    social communication, and just based on my conversations
    with him, I didn't see any of that.

But to answer your -- you mean -- you mean on page 5; is that what you're referring to?

Q. Yes.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. They understand -- okay.

So Mr. Mosley showed when unguarded and free from prompting to feign deficits, that he understood

- questions posed to him and communicated coherent
  responses. He remained able to engage in a culturally
  appropriate adult dialogue, verifying that his receptive
  and expressive language skills did not reflect a severe
  deficit.
  - Q. Did that match up with the IQ score that you got?
    - A. No, it does not.

7

8

9

10

19

20

21

23

24

- Q. All right. How would you describe his affects in general during the evaluation?
- 11 Well, there's a term that we use when it comes Α. 12 to anything psychological, and then I would say they was 13 within normal limits. I mean, there was nothing -- there 14 was nothing significant about it that caused -- that 15 caused concern. He wasn't -- he wasn't overly happy. 16 wasn't overly sad. He wasn't -- he wasn't overly 17 reserved. Again, he -- he understood the expectations and 18 the boundaries, and he adhered to them.
  - Q. Okay. Did you observe during your assessment of him and in talking to him, any overt signs of autism spectrum traits?
- 22 A. No.
  - Q. Can you give examples of what you would be looking for when you're evaluating someone for that possible disability?

- A. People -- adults with Autism Spectrum Disorder, they tend to -- I mean, they -- in a job situation, they get in trouble a lot because they always say the wrong thing. I mean, they -- they're just odd in their -- in their social approach. They just don't fit in. They never can say the right thing. It like they have two left feet or two right hands. I didn't -- I didn't see -- I didn't see any of that. He communicated appropriately at all times. He interacted appropriately.
- Q. Okay.

- A. I didn't see anything that signaled to me that he was anywhere near the spectrum.
- Q. Did you see any evidence of psychosis or internal stimuli or anything of that nature?
- A. No.
- Q. All right. Did he tell you about any visual or hallucinations or delusions that he was suffering from?
- A. No. It's pretty easy, though, if someone is hallucinating. If you've ever experienced it, you never forget it. The first time you saw it, they're just some -- when it comes to perceptual distortions, I mean, there's just some oddities about it. You know, the way -- the way it's like they're looking at you and they're looking at this thing, did you see what I just seen? Or when they're -- the same thing when they're hearing

1 something.
2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

Now, those typically, when it comes to schizophrenia and spectrum disorders, most of the time what we're looking at is auditory and visual hallucinations. There are other ways. I mean, they could be having hallucinations along the -- any -- any of the perceptual proclivities we have, taste, smell, but those are the two, and I didn't see any indication of that.

- 9 Q. Okay. Did you talk to him a little bit about 10 his history of self harm?
  - A. I always ask about that.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. Just so -- you have to.
- Q. And did he acknowledge to you that there was in

  -- at least one incident in his past where he had been

  Baker Acted; do you recall that?
- A. I can't recall. But I could -- I would have to

  18 -- let's see here.
- Q. On page 3 of your report I think is when we were back talking about his historical and backgrounds and family life.
- 22 A. Oh, okay. Oh, I see it. I saw it.
- Q. If that helps you a little bit.
- A. So, yeah. He -- so he did two hospitalizations for suicidal ideation.

- Q. And he was open and honest with you about that situation in his life? I'm also looking at the bottom of page 3 with your behavioral observations, that --
  - A. Okay.

- Q. -- second paragraph, if that assists you.
- A. Okay. I mean, I'm looking at a paragraph here, the last paragraph on page 3, but it just seemed to me that there were at points in the process he was working to appear to be impaired somehow, but I just didn't see that he was. And there's no way -- you're not going to have that sort of -- you're not going to have that switch, not like that within such a short span of time.
- Q. Okay. And I guess that leads to my next question. Your evaluation as a whole, was his behavior and his -- I'm thinking about the word -- was how he behaved consistent throughout the evaluation, or was it dependent on what part of the evaluation you were conducting?
  - A. Well, it varied.
- Q. Okay. Can you give some examples to the Court of how that was not consistent during your evaluation?
- A. Well, again, I mean, typically, the most salient part of it, you know, if the way I interview and it's I mean, it's not trickery. It's not manipulative, but it's, you know, if I'm if I'm skilled at what I do,

then I want to make a person at ease. And then when I -- and we're just going to talk.

I think there were times when he was, you know, where it was formed a bit more than maybe they felt like was in his best interest, and I would see him just do that, glance over like that, before he would answer questions and those were points in time where, you know — where I had to — you know, I had to hide all of that, but I — that was problematic for me because I wasn't getting — so — so now my data is tainted at that point. That's how I see it.

Some of the things that he didn't see that -that didn't -- weren't necessarily perceived as revealing,
he talked about freely, and that's the part about going to
work and his social life and all of that, but -- but it
did, it varied quite a bit during the -- during the whole
process.

- Q. Okay. I want to talk about adaptive functioning for a little bit.
- A. Uh-huh.

- Q. Were you assessing him regarding adaptive functioning during the evaluation?
- A. Yes. If you -- if you take a look at the
  questions that are on the WHODAS or the descriptors that
  -- that the respondent has to respond to -- they've got to

rate the person on, some -- sometimes I have to ask the question. Other times, based on what you're telling me and my judgment, I can make -- I can make that -- make that leap and actually respond to that.

And, what -- when he would describe, you know, his daily life when he was, you know, before -- before he got incarcerated, that showed me someone who -- who can function and can function on their own. I did see school records where -- I really don't like -- one of the reasons I don't look at school records before is because that was -- you know, that was years ago.

I mean, if you saw what was in my school records

-- I mean, the teacher would say, Well, he's not going to
amount to anything. I mean, that's in my school record.

So I think -- I don't think we can -- I don't think we use
the background in that way.

I think it's -- I think it promotes stigma. It promotes putting your thumb on a person and holding them down, and that's -- that's happened for far too long, but it also can promote having a -- having an excuse. So the way he responded to the question, him -- him telling me how he did what he did, that is the assessment.

And after the interview, and I go back, and I listen to it -- I don't have my copy. I think it -- it may have taken me a while to fill it out because I would

go back and I would rewind the tape and listen to it,

there were some things missing out of -- things that I

remembered in the interview that were not on the tape when

I -- when I got my copy of it. But those are seminal

moments in the -- in the interview that there's no way I

could forget it.

- Q. Okay. So let's talk about the WHODAS test for a minute.
  - A. Uh-huh.

- Q. Is that a test that is meant to assess adaptive functioning?
- A. Yes. That is it -- its purpose. That is one of the least expensive ways to do it. And I learned about that test as an evaluator for a division of a dis -- I'm sorry -- the Division of Vocational Rehabilitation because it's stated pretty clearly in the DSM -- and it's been this way for some time -- but the DSM-5 clearly states that you can't diagnose intellectual disability with just an IQ score.

So that right there, that rule right there, recognizes that it takes more than a low -- there are a lot of functional people that have low IQ scores. So you have to look at, How do they function? How do they go through life? You know, can they -- do they need help with things? You know, do they know when to bathe and

- 1 this sort of thing. Those are the things you have to take
- 2 | into consideration because those speak to his -- his
- 3 | functioning. That's what adaptive functioning is all
- 4 about, can you do what you need to do for yourself to get
- 5 by?
- 6 Q. Okay. So we understand how that test works, and
- 7 | correct me if I'm wrong, but while you're evaluating him,
- 8 | you're asking him questions, you're talking to him, you're
- 9 | watching him, you're observing him?
- 10 A. Uh-huh.
- 11 Q. And then after your evaluation is complete, you
- 12 go back, and you input your ratings on various questions
- 13 | that are in this WHODAS that we now have --
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. -- in front of us?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So one way to do that assessment is
- 18 | essentially him self-reporting to you some things?
- 19 A. Yes, his self-report.
- 20 Q. And then is there another way to administer that
- 21 test to family members of an individual?
- 22 A. Yeah. The WHODAS by proxy, which is what I sent
- 23 to his mom and she completed it. I think she finished
- 24 hers in about 10 or 15 minutes or something like that,
- 25 | which, I mean, I'm not surprised. So -- because, again,

1 | it's based on her experience with him.

Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

- A. That's the intent, at least, of the instrument.
- Q. I'm going to talk about her assessment in a minute, but staying on yours, that you did after talking to him and observing him, did his results on that regarding adaptive functioning line up with his IQ score?
  - A. No.
    - Q. Okay.
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. How so?
  - A. I think his adaptive functioning is actual observed adaptive functioning, in my clinical judgment, is far -- is far better than what -- what his IQ score shows.
  - Q. Okay. Now, his mom, Renee Dixon, you said you e-mailed her a copy of this assessment, and that's so -- it's e-mailed to her, and then she can fill it out, and then e-mail it back to you?
- A. No. It -- what happens is it's by secure link.

  I have a portal that -- that pretty much I buy uses of
  this test so I can send it out to people. I have a

  portal, so I just, you know, I made Mr. Mosley one of my
  -- one of my patients in my portal. And I put his mom's
  e-mail address in there as a -- her name and e-mail
  address as a proxy, and I can just instruct the system to

send her that assessment.

And she -- I don't score it. Essentially, she's scoring it because she inputs the numerical rating on it.

And all the -- all the computer does, or the system does is add everything up, and then it just categorizes the responses, and that's how you come up with the descriptors there at the end.

- Q. Was there a difference in scores between your assessment and then his mom's assessment?
  - A. Significantly.
    - Q. How so?
- A. As far as the east is from the west. And I'm -I'm not being facetious here. I mean, her -- all of her
  scores and some of the -- if you actually read the
  descriptors, if you just read it. If you look at what
  it's saying, when you get this -- when you mark this
  number, this is what it means, there's absolutely no way.

Like, one of them has to do with mobility. I mean, he can't get around on his own. I mean, that's just not -- so in situations like that -- I mean, I've been -- I've been doing this for a long time, too, when it comes to kids who get in trouble in school, and you've got to give the teacher a rating, apparently.

So you triangulate the data, and you sort of look at what the relationships, what the individuals are

saying, and, frankly, we have to look at motivation when it comes to this sort of stuff. And there's no way -- if you read those descriptors, there's no way that that is indicative of what he is.

I did not -- and, boy, I wish I would have -- I did not -- typically, what I would do is get disciplinary records, but I didn't do that in this case, and I'm not sure why I didn't. But I think the gentleman that was just here, he kind of spoke for me on that.

I mean, there are -- there are no DRs. None.

And from what I know about -- I mean, inmates who just have attitude problems. You know, they're not impaired in any way. They just got an attitude problem. They're going to have those. They're going to be there. Fights.

I think I read one response, a text message or something, where, actually, he was helping someone understand how the prison — how the jail culture works and when fights happen. I don't have that one in front of me, but he was explaining something. Something happened, and people get upset, and this is what happens, but I just — I know how to stay out of the way of that stuff, was pretty much his summation, which it's smart. I mean, that's certainly what I would do.

Q. And I'm just looking at his mom, Renee Dixon's responses to these questions. It appears that for a

I'm

1 majority minus --

A. Uh-huh.

- Q. -- maybe five to six other questions, she checks

  "severe" or "extreme" or "cannot do" for her answers.
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Okay. Do you -- again, you're still doing your clinical analysis and using your clinical judgment.
- 8 Obviously, you received these tests scores --
  - A. Uh-huh.
  - Q. -- which would indicate, if you're just looking at it on its face, that there's extreme adaptive functioning issues here.
  - A. Uh-huh.
    - Q. Did you take into consideration when you come to your ultimate conclusion regarding competency, that this is, in fact, the mother of Thomas Mosley filling this out and answering these questions?
  - A. It could have been, yes, but it could have been anyone. And, you know, I remind you what I said about my upbringing and mothers. You know, I've got —— I've got aunts who are mothers. You know, I've got a cousin who is in a similar situation, and they asked me to help, but I knew that I couldn't say what they wanted me to say. There's no way I could say that stuff because, I mean,

that's -- it's -- I mean, I'm not here to do that.

here to just practice my craft, and I have to report what I get whether I like it or not.

There are times when I -- when I have to say stuff that I don't agree with, but that's clearly what the data says everything is consistent. So I was wrong on that one. I chalked it up to that.

But on this one in research, as well as in evaluations, especially when you're triangulating information, that -- her -- her response says what we call an outlier. It is a data set that is totally inconsistent with all of the other information. And what you do with outliers is you set it aside.

Now, you have -- now, in this case, in research, you don't even report it. But in this case, it is part of the record so everybody can see it. So it's not like I hid it, but there is no way that a report like that can -- can influence everything else that I've seen.

It's the -- the other part about this is that my observation consists of my time with him when he knew I was -- when he maybe knew I was looking, but it also consists of way more time when nobody knew I was going to see -- I didn't know I was going to see this stuff. I didn't know. But, I mean, I could have just watched that. I could have watched those things and all the -- all of the written things that I had after, and I could have just

used that.

I mean, I made it pretty clear the only reason I did the WHODAS is because if you're going to consider intellectual disability, ethically, you have to administer something like that. I didn't have to give one to the mom, but I did it to be nice, but I didn't have to do that. Mine — mine would stand sufficient, and — and the way we practice would support that.

- Q. In your review, you said you did look at the school records.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And I'm -- in terms of a possible intellectual disability diagnosis, did you observe anything of interest regarding his grades from, like, one quarter to the next or one --
  - A. Well, --
- 17 Q. -- semester to the next?
  - A. There was a lot of variance in the grades.

    There was no consistency one way or the other. And someone who is intellectually disabled, you know, I think, the only class and you can't even do it now, but back in the day when I was in school, the only class they would pass would be physical education. But now the way they do it, you can't even pass that. There's no way you can pass it if you have an intellectual disability.

```
1
               So there were -- there were some grades that
 2
     were above C, I think, at several times, and so there's no
 3
     way you can achieve that. It's all -- a lot of this is
     about motivation and interest, but that's -- that's not a
 4
 5
     knock.
 6
               I mean, it -- you know, I think we all -- I
 7
     mean, that's why I excelled in psychology classes, I was
 8
     interested in that, but there were some other classes I
 9
     bombed. And the only reason I actually passed certain
10
     classes, like statistics, is because it was tied to my
11
     success in getting a doctoral degree in psychology, so I
12
     had to.
13
               In your opinion, does the Defendant meet the
          Q.
14
     DSM-5 criteria for intellectual disability?
15
          Α.
               No, he does not.
16
          Q.
               And in your opinion, does the Defendant meet
17
     DSM-5 criteria for Autism Spectrum Disorder?
18
          Α.
               No, he does not.
19
          Q.
               All right. I want to talk about the competency
20
     criteria that you were asked to evaluate him for.
21
               Did you find him to be -- what were your
22
     findings in all of the six criteria regarding --
23
          Α.
               Okay.
               -- competency?
24
          Q.
```

Well, my overall forensic opinion was competent

25

Α.

to proceed to trial. The placement recommendation, well,

obviously, since he's -- he doesn't need any competency

training, so that's not applicable. But going down to my

-- my view of whether or not he is impacted by Autism

Spectrum Disorder, no.

Whether or not his adaptive functioning skills are intact, yes, they are.

Intellectual disability, no.

Is he willing to help himself, yes. I think that's pretty clear in some of the things we just talked about. He's -- he's willing to help himself, and I think he also has excellent coping skills, anyhow.

One of the things people who are disabled somehow, it could be Autism Spectrum or Intellectual Disability, there's no way they would survive. They — there's no survivability for them. That's why they, you know, they would get sectioned off. Even if you go to the Department of Corrections, legally, they're supposed to have a place to place inmates like that so they don't get taken advantage of.

Q. In terms of Mr. Mosley's ability to disclose pertinent facts and discuss -- and testify relevantly, is it your -- what is your opinion regarding his ability versus his willingness or wanting to talk --

A. Yes.

- Q. -- about those types of things?
- A. I think he has the ability to testify relevantly. I'll just leave it at that. Yes --
  - Q. Okay.

- A. -- he has the ability to testify relevantly.
- Q. Okay. Did you observe some hesitation on his part during your evaluation of him wanting to talk about the facts of this case?
- A. Yes. And -- but what I try to do -- I mean, I

  never -- I try to make it clear that I'm really -- you

  know, I don't want -- I don't want you to tell me what you

  did. I'm trying to understand what you know about what

  they're saying you did because there's a -- in the -- in

  the Correction's population, there's a substantial

  difference between the two.

I learned years ago that you could get someone to talk if you say, What did they say you did? I mean, they'll pretty much tell you everything. I didn't even go there because I know that his counsel would have stopped him, but I wasn't trying to get -- I don't -- I don't think I need to know that anyway.

I just need to know, Does he have some understanding of it? And the fact that I don't even think he had given me a cue for that. I mean, he wasn't going to talk about that anyway.

```
1
          Q.
               Okay. Did you have any concerns at all that it
     was -- he was not able to talk about that or wouldn't
 2
 3
     understand or anything like that when it came to the facts
     of this case?
 4
                    That's -- that's a smart young fellow
 6
     there. I think he understands all that.
 7
               Okay. So, ultimately, do you have an opinion,
          Q.
     within a reasonable degree of medical certainty, whether
 8
    Mr. Mosley is competent to proceed in this case?
 9
10
               I'm 100 percent sure that he's competent to
11
     proceed to trial.
12
               MS. SULLIVAN: I don't have any other questions
13
          at this time, Your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: All right. It is 10 minutes to
15
          12:00. We're going to take our lunch break now, and
          I will see everybody back here in an hour and a half.
16
17
               (Lunch break taken.)
18
               THE COURT: Dr. Railey is in the back.
19
          Mr. Mosley is here.
20
               Are you ready for Dr. Railey?
21
               MS. RUSSELL: Yes, Your Honor. Can I approach
22
          quickly? Dr. Railey provided two extra pages from
23
          his --
24
               THE COURT: Sure.
25
               MS. RUSSELL: -- WHODAS.
```

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	MS. RUSSELL: And so
3	THE COURT: And those would be for me?
4	MS. RUSSELL: the State made us copies so you
5	can have one.
6	THE COURT: Perfect. Thank you.
7	Dr. Railey, come on up and make yourself
8	comfortable. You're still under oath.
9	So just for the sake of clarity, I don't know if
LO	I we discussed it enough this morning, but the
L1	do you are you intending on giving this WHODAS to
L2	anybody else?
L3	MS. RUSSELL: No, Your Honor.
L4	THE COURT: Okay. So it's going to be
L5	maintained in your custody and not to be utilized or
L 6	provided to anybody else except for Mr. Mosley's
L7	testimony, is that correct?
L8	MS. RUSSELL: Possibly to our experts.
L 9	THE COURT: I would ask that you not do that
20	without talking to me first.
21	MS. RUSSELL: Okay.
22	THE COURT: All right?
23	MS. RUSSELL: I'm trying to think if anyone else
24	has I mean, they may already have
2.5	T have a feeling

1 THE COURT: Well, --2 MS. RUSSELL: -- Dr. McClain has it. I feel 3 like Dr. Hall has it. THE COURT: Dr. McClain has it. I don't know if 4 5 Dr. Hall has it. That's why I wanted to make sure 6 we're clear. I would just ask that, if you're going 7 to want to provide it to somebody else, that we have a conversation about it before you do, that's all. 8 9 MS. RUSSELL: Also, just for the record, I 10 didn't upload it as a PDF in my computer because I 11 wasn't sure if you were going to ask that copies be 12 destroyed after. I did have a copy marked, and, 13 obviously, when we move it into evidence, we can ask 14 that it be put under seal. 15 THE COURT: Yes. My main concern is just what 16 is it going to be utilized for. I understand that 17 it's a record -- it's evidence. I would understand 18 why you would want to keep it in some form or 19 fashion. I just -- the only thing I want to 20 safeguard against is its distribution without having 21 a conversation about it first, so... 22 MS. RUSSELL: Understood. 23 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 24 So, with that, Dr. Railey, are you ready for us?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

```
1
               THE COURT: All right.
                         CROSS-EXAMINATION
 2
     BY MS. RUSSELL:
 3
 4
          Q.
               Good afternoon, Dr. Railey.
               Good afternoon.
 5
          Α.
 6
               My name is Margaret Russell. And I know you
          Q.
 7
     remember me because we met one day back in May at the
 8
     Pinellas County Jail.
 9
          Α.
               Correct.
10
               And we also had numerous phone conversations
          Q.
     before that May 12th examination --
11
12
          Α.
               Uh-huh.
13
               -- and numerous phone conversations after; isn't
          Q.
14
     that correct?
15
          Α.
               That's correct.
               All right. You filed a report in this case?
16
          Q.
17
          Α.
               Uh-huh.
               I believe it's State's Exhibit Number 2. And
18
          Q.
19
     you filed your report on May 20th, correct?
20
               That's correct.
          Α.
21
               And you understand that this is a very serious
22
    matter since it's a death penalty case, correct?
               I treat all matters as serious, but I do
23
          Α.
24
     understand that.
25
               Yes. And, obviously, then, you would be
          Q.
```

```
applying the highest standards of professional
 1
 2
     responsibility and accuracy in your report?
 3
          Α.
               I try to do that with all my reports, but,
 4
     yes --
 5
          Q.
               Yes.
 6
               -- to answer your question.
          Α.
 7
               So on May 12th, I met you at the Pinellas County
          Q.
     Jail, and we went over to the Health Division together in
 8
 9
     the golf cart.
10
          Α.
               Uh-huh.
11
               And at the State's request, I videotaped the
          Q.
12
     evaluation, right?
13
               Yes. Uh-huh.
          Α.
14
               And all of your examination, right, your
15
     Mini-Mental Status Exam, every bit of the examination that
16
     you did, except for the administration of the WAIS, was
17
     captured on the videotape; is that correct?
18
          Α.
               I believe so, yeah.
19
               Okay. Now, aside from the documents you
          Q.
20
     reviewed and the WAIS, everything Thomas Mosley said to
21
     you was captured on the video?
22
               Well, I have -- I have some issues with that. I
          Α.
23
     mean, there are a couple seminal things that, in the copy
24
     that I received back, I didn't -- I didn't see that on
```

there.

```
1
          Q.
               All right. So are you saying that you don't
 2
     believe that the videotape is an accurate representation
 3
     of the evaluation that --
               I don't -- I'm --
 4
          Α.
 5
          Q.
               -- you did? Are --
               -- I'm not sure.
 6
          Α.
 7
               -- you trying to say that it was altered?
          Q.
 8
               I'm not sure. I'd have to listen to it again,
          Α.
     which I did not do. But I did not -- I did not hear --
 9
10
     the night I listened to it again, when I was putting
11
     together my WHODAS assessment, I don't recall hearing a
12
     couple of things on there that I know that he said, which
13
     are cited in my report.
14
               Okay. So you did testify in direct exam, and
15
     tell me if this is correct, Dr. Railey. "There were
16
     things in my memory that didn't end up on the tape."
17
               Is that true?
18
          Α.
               That's true, yeah.
19
               Tell me all the things in your memory that
          Q.
20
     didn't end up on the videotape that was produced --
               Well, --
21
          Α.
22
               -- to you after your exam?
          Q.
23
               Well, there were two -- and I -- and I -- and we
          Α.
24
     -- this is one of the things we discussed in our
25
     conversation -- one of the post-conversations, as well.
```

```
There were two seminal things that he did say, I know he said, but I didn't hear them on the recording. I -- I pretty much -- I identified those, I think, in my report.
```

- Q. Tell me where they are in your report.
- A. I'm not sure, but it had to do with, you know, what he said to me about, you know, his social life and then about -- about working and how, you know, how he handled that when he was working with his dad. I don't -- I don't recall hearing those.
- 10 Q. You don't recall hearing them on the videotape,
  11 or you --
  - A. On the videotape.
  - Q. -- don't recall hearing them --
- 14 A. Oh, no. I --

- Q. -- elsewhere?
  - A. Now, those are -- there -- there's one thing you've got to understand, there's -- things that stick out like that. Those are two things I didn't forget. Those are two things that I made a mental note of just because they stuck out, like, really, really significantly. So I don't remember hearing them on the tape, but I do recall him saying it during -- during the interview.
- Q. So when we walked in together and we sat down and I started the tape rolling because, at the State's request, I was supposed to tape as much of the interview

```
as I could, --
 1
               Uh-huh.
 2
          Α.
 3
               -- which was everything except the WAIS because
          Q.
     your administration of the WAIS is proprietary, correct?
 4
 5
          Α.
               Correct.
 6
               All right. Was there any time when I wasn't
          Q.
 7
     running the video camera when you were talking to
 8
     Mr. Mosley?
               I was not paying attention, but I was focused on
 9
10
     him. I don't -- I don't know what you were doing. I
11
     honestly do not.
12
               So are you telling me that you believe that
     there was a fabrication in the videotape?
13
14
               Like I said, I can't say that. I'd have to
15
     listen to my tape, the one that I received, again. I'd
16
     have to listen to that again to be able to say that.
17
          Q.
               All right.
18
               MS. RUSSELL: Your Honor, may we retake a recess
19
          while Dr. Railey reviews the tape so that I could get
          to the bottom --
20
21
               THE COURT: I would like to finish your
22
          questions, and we can discuss that at the end of this
23
          testimony.
24
               MS. RUSSELL: All right.
25
     BY MS. RUSSELL:
```

- Q. So, Dr. Railey, I sent you a copy of the video, and you were able to review it. How many times did you review it in writing your report?
  - A. Twice.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

- Q. So in your report, there are things in quotation marks, right?
  - A. Um, either I put things in quotation marks where I clearly gave credit, this is what was said, but -- but, yes, that's typically how we do it.
  - Q. Now, if something is in a quotation marks, does that mean that those are exactly the words that were said?
- 12 A. Yes.
  - Q. As reflected in your report?
- A. A direct quote, yeah.
  - Q. So we can depend on the fact that things and quotation marks that are in your report are exactly the words that came out of Thomas Mosley's mouth during your exam?
- A. We can also depend on -- to answer your

  question, yes, but we can also depend on if I -- if I put

  something in my report, there's certainly no reason I'm

  going to fabricate it, and that would be utterly

  ridiculous to do such a thing.
- Q. All right. Well, I'd like to direct your
  attention to page 2 of the report. On page 2, the first

```
paragraph under Advisement and Limits of Confidentiality.
 1
               Are you following me?
 2
 3
          Α.
               Yes.
 4
               In that, you state, Mr. Mosley replied, quote,
          Q.
 5
     Yes, I understand you have to tell them whatever I say,
     and I am okay with that, unquote, right?
 6
               Uh-huh.
 7
          Α.
               That was a direct quote that's in your report,
 8
          Q.
 9
     right?
10
               Yes, it's in quotes.
          Α.
11
               Now, would it surprise you if at second .30 in
          Q.
12
     the videotape, Thomas Mosley actually only said, "Yeah, I
     understand."
13
14
               No, it wouldn't surprise me.
          Α.
15
               Why not?
          Q.
16
          Α.
               Maybe I was typing fast. Sometime -- I'm -- I'm
17
     not sure, but I know the bottom line is that he -- he
18
     did -- he stated that he understood the purpose of the --
19
     of the evaluation.
20
               Let's talk about another direct quote that seems
          Q.
     like it's not too accurate. How about page 9 of 11.
21
22
     Under Present Evaluation of Competency, Appreciation of
23
     the Range and Nature of Possible Penalties.
24
               Are you with me?
25
               Yes.
          Α.
```

```
1
               THE COURT:
                           Give him a minute to catch up, hold
 2
          on.
     BY MS. RUSSELL:
 3
 4
               This is page 9 of 11, Dr. Railey.
          Q.
 5
          Α.
               Yes, I see it.
 6
               Okay. Under Appreciation of the Range and
          Q.
 7
     Nature of Possible Penalties, you say, quote, the State
     Attorney is trying to get me the harshest punishment,
 8
 9
     unquote.
10
               You say that was a direct quote coming out of
11
     Thomas Mosley's mouth, right?
12
          Α.
               That's either -- that's either a quote from -- I
13
     also took some quotes from -- from the report that I --
14
     the reports that I reviewed. I'm not sure which it came
15
     from, but I do lift quotes from other reports.
16
          Q.
               Well, now, Dr. Railey, when you testified on
17
     direct exam, you said that you took the quotes directly
18
     from your examination. And I just had asked you if all of
19
     the direct quotes and all of the things that Thomas Mosley
     said came from your 45-minute interview before the WAIS.
20
21
               Well, that was an error on my part. I do take
          Α.
22
     quotes from -- from previous reports.
23
          Q.
               Okay.
```

That could have -- that could have come from

there as a -- as a quote. So I do lift the entire

24

25

Α.

1 statement.

- Q. Excellent. Tell me which report that came out of Dr. Railey?
- A. I cannot. I'd have to look through -- there were -- there were three or four reports I reviewed -- three reports I reviewed, and I don't -- I don't have them in front of me. If you give them to me, I -- if we -- if I need to go through and read them, I can find it.
  - Q. Okay. Which reports --
  - A. I don't --
- Q. -- would you like to see and try to find that quote?
- A. I don't -- there were three reports. The one from the -- from the -- the psychologist at Chattahoochee, and there were two others, but I can't remember names.

  I've got a -- I mean, this is only half of what I got from you, and you also e-mailed me a ton of things. So what I do is I summarize all the documents, and that's how I've come up with -- with my report. But I can't tell you specifically which report. There were so many things I read that day, and there are so many things I've read since then, not even pertaining to this -- to this case.
- Q. Okay. When you were doing the present evaluation of competency in your report on page 9, you were looking at the six statutory factors that would --

- 1 A. Uh-huh.
- 2 Q. -- show that Thomas Mosley is competent.
- 3 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And that's what you were hired to do in this case, correct?
- A. In addition to a couple of other things, but,
  yes --
- 8 Q. Right.

- A. -- that's one of the things.
- Q. Exactly. A competency evaluation. Yet you
  would have used some quotation from some report that you
  don't even remember to establish one of the six factors of
  competency in your report?
- A. That is a -- that -- that report was a -- you -you gave me the report, so -- so I've examined everything
  you gave me. So I don't think it's inaccurate if it
  passed the test previously. You handed me that report.
  You asked me to review certain documents, and I -- and I
  did that.
- Q. Okay. Just to get to it, in your own
  examination of Thomas Mosley, what you did was you asked
  him about what the role of the State Attorney was.
- 23 A. That was one of the --
- Q. You did?
- 25 A. That was one of the three things, I believe.

- Q. That was one of the things that you asked him on the video. And on the video, the words that came out of Thomas Mosley's mouth were that, they try to get you sentenced.
  - A. Uh-huh.

- Q. Uh-huh. He didn't say anything about the State Attorney is trying to get me the harshest punishment.
  - A. I lifted --
  - Q. A big difference.
- 10 A. I lifted that report from a -- that quote from a 11 previous report.
  - Q. But you don't know which report?
  - A. It's one of the three. I'd have to find it.
  - Q. Okay. So the three reports would be?
  - A. I don't know which three. You gave me so many things to read, I can't remember which one. I cannot remember which report. You, yourself, know, we had a conversation about how many documents you were throwing my way and I told you I had to draw the line somewhere because I had other reports to write. So I can't remember which report. I cannot, but it's in one of those reports.

Now, one of the things that I should have said that I didn't was based on a report from so and so. I didn't do that. That's the error right there. That's the error.

```
Q. Because some people might think that if you put direct quotations in your report, that it might have been a word that you had witnessed yourself in your own examination of Thomas Mosley.

A. Well, I don't -- I don't -- I don't think so.
```

- A. Well, I don't -- I don't -- I don't think so.

  Now, without the -- without giving credit like you should do of where you got it from, because you can quote a source, but you have to say the source where you got it from, which I did not do, but that -- but that -- that quote came from one of those reports. It -- it did.
- Q. Dr. Railey, where is your complete file in this case?
  - A. I didn't print out all of the documents you e-mailed to me, but this is -- minus the WHODAS, this is it right here, all of this stuff.
  - Q. So you don't have a complete file of all the documents that were provided to you or electronic copies on your laptop or anything --
    - A. I have --
- Q. -- as you sit here today?
- 21 A. I have electronic copies, yes. I'm saying, I
  22 didn't print --
  - Q. Okay.
- 24 A. -- all of that stuff out.
- 25 Q. Okay.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

```
This represents the stuff you actually mailed to
 1
          Α.
 2
     me -- or you -- somehow I got that -- I think I got this
 3
     from you in the mail, but the other things you sent me
     some things electronically that I did not print out.
 4
 5
          Q.
               Did you also receive materials from the State
 6
     Attorney --
 7
               I'm sorry?
          Α.
 8
               -- electronically?
          Q.
               Say that again.
 9
          Α.
10
               Did you also receive materials from the State
          Q.
     Attorney electronically?
11
12
          Α.
               I did.
13
               Uh-huh.
          Q.
14
               And I -- and I told you about what I received.
15
     We had a conversation about that, too.
16
          Q.
               All right. So you -- as you sit here today, you
17
     have no way to report to me where that quote came from,
     but you're sure that it came from one of the reports that
18
19
     you read somewhere at some time?
20
               It's in one of the reports that I read.
          Α.
21
               And it wouldn't have been one of the things you
          Q.
22
     said were things in my memory that didn't --
23
          Α.
               No.
```

-- end up on the tape?

It was just those two things that I already

24

25

Q.

Α.

No.

mentioned. The two things about him -- how he -- when he was working with his dad, and when he had -- his social life, when he had that. Those were the two things that stuck out, and we had a conversation about that, too. I explained that to you.

I told -- I told you that those are the two things that I would not forget. They're just seminal moments that you don't forget. Sort of like flashbulb memory. I remember those two things because they were pretty interesting and they stood out from a lot of other things that he said.

Q. Okay. Let's talk about your report on page 2, Sources of Information. So the sources of information that you used in your competency evaluation of Thomas Mosley were the Motion to Appoint Experts, previous competency and diagnostic reports filed with the Court, Defendant's available mental health and educational records, the WAIS-IV results, and collateral information obtained through interviews with Mr. Mosley's mother.

Now, Dr. Railey, did you have an interview with Mr. Mosley's mother, Renee Mosley?

- A. I watched an interaction with her and her son.
- Q. Oh. But that wasn't an interview, was it?
- A. That -- that was a source of information.
  - Q. But was it an interview? Did you interview

Renee Mosley?

- A. No, I did not. I'm -- I was referring to the tapes that I watched.
- Q. Because you and I discussed the importance of collateral interviews in an intellectual disability diagnosis, right?
- A. No, we did not have that discussion. I mean,

  I'm -- I'm not -- I know we didn't have that discussion

  because I wouldn't -- I don't -- I don't think you're in a

  position to tell me what's important in that type of

  interview because that's what I do for a living. So I

  would -- I would argue that it is not important because

  that's observational. So it is not important for me to

  interview her for intellectual disability.

And if -- if anything, I'm saying about this stuff, if you don't -- if you don't believe me, you can just Google it. I mean -- I mean, right now, the way the internet is set up, you get all the information you want to have, and it will certainly tell you that. I can observe someone, and I can assess their adaptive functioning skills, and that is entirely allowable in the field.

Q. So, Dr. Railey, we did e-mail about setting up collateral interviews with Renee and David Mosley, didn't we?

- Α. The times that I had available -- do you recall what happened? They could not be available. We could not get our calendars together. I had -- yes, we did. We discussed sometimes in addition to getting her -- her --his dad, to -- to complete one. But -- well, he didn't have his own e-mail address so that couldn't happen, but we did try to do that. Q. So --
  - A. But I don't -- but here's -- here's -- but here's my take on this: Outside of the information that I have -- and I'll share this with you -- that I have everything I need to -- to make a judgment on this case.

    I said that to you, as well.

- At the time, I -- and really, based on the things that he said to me, I didn't need to do a WHODAS with his -- with his mom. I did that at your insistence.
- Q. Dr. Railey, we set up an appointment on May 18th between 2:00 and 5:00 p.m., for you to talk to Renee and David Mosley. I sent you their phone numbers and you said that you would call them on Sunday afternoon, May 18th, between 2:00 and 5:00.
  - A. I don't -- I don't recall that.
- Q. Okay. And they waited by the phone for three hours for you to call them to complete the interviews, and you never called, did you?

```
1
               I don't recall making that promise, but my point
 2
     is -- my point is, is that there are -- there are other
 3
     huge cases that I have -- I don't -- I don't have -- in
 4
     order to establish competency and intellectual disability,
 5
     for an adult, I don't -- I don't need the parents because
 6
     the adult can communicate on their own.
 7
               And I do not recall agreeing to to have another
     interview with these people. I mean, at the time, as you
 8
 9
     recall this, I mean, I probably had about -- I probably
10
     got about 15, 20 hours in this case. 15 or 20 hours to
11
     which you apologized, you understand the hard work -- the
12
     hard work that I do.
13
               Did you not say that?
14
               THE COURT: Dr. Railey, just do your best to try
15
          and answer the question.
16
               THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
17
     BY MS. RUSSELL:
18
          Q.
               Did you review videos from --
19
               I did.
          Α.
20
          Q.
               -- inside the jail?
               I -- if you're referring to the -- the -- his
21
22
     recorded visits with his parents and with a -- with a
23
     friend, yes, I did.
24
          Q.
               All right. Those don't seem to be in the list
```

of the sources of information in your report.

```
A. Okay. That is an obvious error that I'm -- that
I'm not trying to hide because I spoke to you about those
videos. We had a long conversation about the videos.
```

- Q. Now, you said that you don't like to review anything until you interview the Defendant; is that right? Because you want to be fresh.
  - A. I try to do -- yes, I try to do that.

- Q. You don't want to have your opinion predisposed by anything?
- A. Yes. By what other people are saying of the person, yes. I try not to review other reports unless (indiscernible) --
- Q. But you did review the videos before you went to see Thomas Mosley.
  - A. Those were not that was him. I was that was direct I consider that a direct observation. It's it's it's actually called a naturalistic observation. It is the person functioning in their environment. That is not somebody else's report. That's his report of himself.
  - Q. So the answer is, yes, you did review the videos before you went to see Thomas Mosley?
- A. Actually, I don't know that. Actually, I don't

  -- I don't believe so because after we had all these

  conversations, I remember telling you that, Well, they

sent me some videos that I haven't watched, and that I eventually watched them and we then had a conversation about that.

I cannot remember. Well, let's just say I did.

Again, that's not somebody else's report of him. That's his report of himself. That's not a report that -- that's someone who's -- who's got their own interpretive bias or what have you. That was him. That's a direct observation.

So that would be fair game to look at before the evaluation, but I don't recall looking at that before the evaluation.

- Q. You don't recall telling me that you saw the videos and that was everything you needed to know?
- A. I recall telling you I saw the videos. But it is -- they are pretty telling. That, and all of the other things that I received. The -- the inmate request. It is pretty telling. I mean, it says a lot about his level of function. It says a ton.

I mean, I watched one of the videos where he was talking with his mom, and they -- their house was apparently down to studs. And one of the measures of -- of intellectual functioning or cognitive abilities is what we call a perceptual reading. So you can -- you can have the ability to -- to finish a novel situation that's not

```
1
     finished.
               So, studs.
 2
               I mean, I've sat there and listened to him say,
 3
     Well, I know this -- this is going to be the -- this is
     going to be the bedroom, right? Or this is going to be
 4
 5
     where the door goes, right? So that's -- I mean, I'm
     looking, and I didn't -- I didn't see it. I didn't see
 6
 7
     what he's talking about, but his mom agreed that's what it
 8
     was.
 9
               So it did say a lot. It did say a lot. It said
10
     a ton about his -- his level of adaptive functioning.
11
     spoke very loudly to that. And I think if you give those
12
     videos to any other competent psychologist, they'd tell
13
     you the same thing.
14
               Dr. Railey, I want to talk to you about your
          Ο.
15
     resumé.
16
          Α.
               Uh-huh.
17
               I'm feeling like -- that your resumé is State
          Q.
18
     Exhibit 1.
19
               Do you have a copy?
20
               I -- I -- no, I don't carry my resumé around.
          Α.
21
          Q.
               Do you need a copy?
22
               Probably because I don't -- I don't remember all
          Α.
23
    the stuff that's on there.
24
               MS. RUSSELL: Your Honor, may I approach the
25
          witness?
```

Yeah. 1 THE COURT: MS. RUSSELL: Let the record reflect that I'm 2 3 showing Dr. Railey a copy of his resumé, which is State Exhibit Number 1. 4 BY MS. RUSSELL: 5 6 So, Dr. Railey, I noticed that the resumé is Q. 7 long, and there are lots of very short appointments, 8 especially since 2020. And --So which -- which page are you referring to? 9 10 Well, just in general, but I'm going to start by Q. 11 looking at page 4 of 10. 12 Α. 4. 13 Professional Experience: University teaching. Q. 14 Now, it says here that you were an Assistant Professor of 15 Psychology, (Tenure Track) at Tallahassee Community 16 College. 17 Α. Uh-huh. But that was from 2014 to 2016. Did you ever 18 Ο. 19 make it off tenure track to get tenure? 20 Α. I think -- I -- I think I went on a military 21 deployment, if I'm not mistaken. I got assigned to 22 Tripler Army Medical Center in Hawaii. I think that's 23 when that happened. And -- and I probably just didn't go 24 back. I'm not sure, but I do -- around this time, I had 25 -- I had -- I had two or three military assignments. So

```
you have to -- I mean, you're gone for a period of time.
 1
 2
          Q.
               So the question was: You were on tenure track,
 3
     but you didn't get tenure, did you?
               No. No. I didn't want tenure anyways.
 4
          Α.
                                                        I was
 5
     -- I was in the process of continuing to build my
    practice, but -- but, no, I didn't.
 6
 7
               Okay. Then from May of 2008 to May of 2009, you
          Ο.
     had a 1-year stint at Taylor Correctional?
 8
 9
               Where were you?
          Α.
10
               Oh, sorry. Now I'm on page 3 of 10. So from
          Q.
    May of 2008 --
11
12
          Α.
               Okay. So --
               -- to May of 2009, you had a 1-year stint --
13
          Q.
14
               So this is missing --
          Α.
15
               -- at Taylor Correction?
          Q.
16
          Α.
               This is missing -- I don't -- I don't see dates
17
     on this one.
18
               THE COURT: My copy has no dates either.
               THE WITNESS: But that was a short stint at
19
20
          Taylor Correctional. Like I said, I worked for the
21
          Department of Corrections for 14 years altogether.
22
          At this present time, if I wanted to go back -- I --
23
          I get job offers from corrections at least once a
24
          week, but I don't -- I don't like the environment. I
25
          left at that time because I did not like the
```

```
1
          environment, what was going on. Things were
 2
          changing.
 3
               So that -- yeah, I left there. I left Taylor
          Correction. If that's what you're -- if that's what
 4
 5
          you you're getting at.
 6
              MS. RUSSELL: May I approach the witness, Your
 7
          Honor?
               THE COURT: Sure. What do you have?
 8
               MS. RUSSELL: I have a different resumé, so I'm
 9
10
          going to ask him to authenticate it. It was the one
11
          that he e-mailed to me, so I was working --
12
               THE COURT: Okay.
              MS. RUSSELL: -- from my own records, and I
13
14
          quess the State doesn't have the dates for his
15
          employment, so.
16
    BY MS. RUSSELL:
17
          Q. Dr. Railey, is this a copy of the resumé that
18
    you sent to me?
19
               Because I revised my resumé. This -- yeah.
          Α.
20
     don't know if I e-mailed this to you, but this -- I mean,
21
     I -- you don't do a resumé without dates. I mean, this
22
     looks more like what I -- so you're saying I e-mailed this
23
    to you?
24
               I'm saying that you e-mailed this copy --
          Q.
25
               This one. This right here.
          Α.
```

```
-- of this resumé with the dates that is not
 1
          Q.
     marked as an exhibit --
 2
 3
               Okay.
          Α.
 4
               -- but I'm asking you to identify it for the
          Q.
 5
     record --
               Yeah. Yeah. This -- this --
 6
          Α.
 7
               -- so that I can mark it as an exhibit and ask
          Ο.
 8
     you some questions about it with the dates, if --
               This -- this looks --
 9
          Α.
10
               -- that makes sense.
          Q.
11
               Yeah.
          Α.
12
               So is what I'm showing you --
          Q.
13
               Yeah, it's got -- it's got the dates. I mean,
14
     the assignments are pretty accurate, as far as I can tell.
15
     I don't see anything out of place.
16
               MS. RUSSELL: Excellent. I'm going to premark
17
          it.
               Then I'm going to ask that it be entered into
18
          evidence.
19
               THE COURT: I'm going to make it 1A. Are you
20
          find with that?
21
               THE CLERK: Yes.
22
               MS. RUSSELL: Joint 1A or?
               THE COURT: That's fine.
23
24
               MS. SULLIVAN: Yeah. That's fine.
```

25

BY MS. RUSSELL:

```
1
          Q.
               Dr. Railey, I'm going to hand you an additional
 2
     copy so that you won't be testifying from memory.
 3
          Α.
               Uh-huh.
               MS. RUSSELL: So can we ask that Defense 1A be
 4
 5
          entered into evidence?
               THE COURT: I think there's a stipulation to it,
 6
 7
          but, yes.
               MS. RUSSELL: Okay.
 8
              (Defense's Exhibit 1A was admitted into
 9
10
     evidence.)
11
     BY MS. RUSSELL:
12
               So, Dr. Railey, now that we're working with the
          Q.
13
     same document, could I direct your attention again to
14
     page 3 of 10. And you did a 1-year stint at Taylor
15
     Correctional Institution, and that was from five -- May of
16
     2008 to May of 2009, right?
17
          Α.
               Yes. That -- that was -- that was the point at
18
     which, if you look back at my Articles of Incorporation of
19
     Railey and Associates, I took this position to buy me some
20
     time to actually open up my practice and get it -- get it
21
     rolling. So, yes, this is -- that's accurate.
22
               Okay. 16 months at Twin Oaks Liberty Juvenile
          Q.
23
     Unit Specialized Treatment Program. That was from May
24
     2009 to 10/2010 --
25
          Α.
               Yeah.
```

```
1 Q. -- 16 months?
```

- A. I left that assignment because I got deployed to Afghanistan.
- Q. Okay. Then at Darnall Army Medical Center from
  May of 2020 to June --
  - A. Yeah. That was a --
- 7 Q. -- of 2021, one year.
  - A. That was a military assignment. I was assigned to the Deployment Readiness Center. That was at -- that was -- I got orders. I got military orders, so you will go. So I had to drop everything and go.
  - Q. Okay. But that was just for a year?
- 13 A. Yes, it was for a year.
- Q. Okay. Then you got a job as a staff

  psychologist for the Department of Veterans Affairs. That

  was --
- 17 A. Yes.

6

8

9

10

11

- 18 Q. -- from June of 2022 to December of 2022. So --
- 19 A. Yeah.
- 20 Q. -- 6 months?
- A. Oh, yeah. That was -- that was a horrible
  experiment. Again, that -- that job, if I want it back, I
  could get it. I don't want it. I don't like the way they
  do things, how they handle veterans. So I can't tell them
  what to do with their -- it's their place. So the best

1 | thing to do is to leave, which is what I did.

- Q. Then you were at Vets Recover as the Chief
  Clinical Officer. That was from December '23 to June of
  '24. Another 6-month assignment. What happened there?
- A. Yes. You can -- you can call Colonel

  Kirkpatrick, and what he'll tell you is that that's

  substance abuse. That's not my specialty. He had a lot

  of fundraising to do. He wanted my help with some of that

  stuff, and I told him when the next opportunity came for
- So that -- that was never intended to be a

  long-term stint because, again, I'm a forensic

  psychologist, not substance abuse. I'm very uncomfortable

  in that environment because, frankly, I didn't know what

  the heck I was doing.
- Q. So was the next opportunity Carter Psychology
  Center?
- 18 A. Yeah.

10

19 Q. Is that what you jumped over to?

me to leave, that's what I would do.

- A. Yep. Yep.
- Q. Okay. So you moved to Carter Psychology Center in August of 2024, and you stayed until May of 2025. So that was about a 9-month stint with Carter?
- 24 A. Yeah, I guess. Yeah.
- Q. And when did you get on the court-appointed list

```
here in Pinellas?
 1
               I don't know. I can't recall now.
 2
          Α.
 3
               You were on the court-appointed list about 6
          Q.
 4
    months, something like that?
 5
               I'll take your word for it. I -- I don't -- I
 6
     don't -- I don't carry around dates like that.
 7
              Now, you said earlier in your direct exam that
          Ο.
     you had significant differences of opinion with the people
 8
     at Carter. Tell me about that.
 9
10
               That -- okay.
          Α.
11
               THE WITNESS: I signed a nondisclosure
12
          agreement. Your Honor, do I have to answer these
13
          questions?
14
               THE COURT: Where are we headed with this?
15
               THE WITNESS: Your Honor, it's not to --
16
               THE COURT: No. No.
17
               THE WITNESS: -- protect me. It's to protect
18
          them.
19
               THE COURT: Not you. I'm asking the lawyer.
20
          Where are we heading for this?
               MS. RUSSELL: Your Honor, we have some credible
21
22
          information that he was actually terminated --
23
               THE WITNESS: I see. I see. That's --
               THE COURT: Hold on.
24
25
              MS. RUSSELL: -- for poor performance.
```

```
1
               THE WITNESS: -- that's how they --
               THE COURT: I'm not asking you to answer the
 2
          question. Is that credible information here?
 3
               MS. RUSSELL: No.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Okay. Then don't ask the question.
 6
          Move on.
 7
               MS. RUSSELL: Am I allowed to ask him if he left
          voluntarily?
 8
               THE COURT: Sure.
 9
10
               THE WITNESS: I can answer that.
11
               THE COURT: Yeah.
12
    BY MS. RUSSELL:
13
               Yes, I did. In fact, it was my -- I've got
14
     e-mails and text messages to prove everything I'm saying
15
     well, I can't give them to you, but, yes, I did. It was
16
    my decision to leave.
17
          Q.
              And is it a written Nondisclosure Agreement?
18
          Α.
              Yes.
19
              All right.
          Q.
20
          Α.
               My expellment, the practice manager can
21
     substantiate that.
22
               All right.
          Q.
23
               It's a one-way agreement. They can say whatever
          Α.
24
    they want to say about me, but I can't tell them. That's
25
     specifically written in there. I don't -- I don't do that
```

```
1
     anyway, so it doesn't matter to me.
 2
          Q.
               Okay.
 3
               I don't have anything.
          Α.
               Dr. Railey, do you recall giving an evaluation
 4
          Q.
 5
     in the James Peoples case? It's a murder case here in
     Pinellas County?
 6
 7
               I don't.
          Α.
 8
               It's Case Number 24-01492-CF.
          Q.
               Okay. I don't -- I don't remember.
 9
          Α.
10
               You were appointed to the case.
          Q.
11
               Okay.
          Α.
12
               And then you decided to violate the Constitution
          Q.
13
     by interviewing Mr. Peoples without Counsel present two
14
     times.
15
               MS. SULLIVAN: I object to the relevance of
16
          this. I don't know where she's going or what this is
17
          about, and how it's relevant to Mr. Mosley.
18
               THE COURT: What are we doing here?
19
               MS. RUSSELL: He, obviously, did not use the
20
          standard practice.
21
               THE COURT: How would I know that?
22
               MS. RUSSELL: Well, we can go look at the --
23
               THE COURT: It's kind of a collateral issue for
24
          this, right?
25
               MS. RUSSELL: I think whether --
```

1 THE COURT: I'm asking you -- I'm not arguing. 2 MS. RUSSELL: Okay. 3 THE COURT: I'm asking for conversation, so I 4 understand what you're trying to do and how and if 5 and when we can do it. MS. RUSSELL: Okay. 6 7 THE COURT: That's all I'm asking. MS. RUSSELL: Your Honor, Dr. Railey was 8 9 appointed to Mr. Peoples' case while he was on the 10 court-appointed list. He interviewed Mr. Peoples 11 without Counsel present despite the Court order that 12 Counsel be notified and present of his evaluation. 13 It was brought to the Court's attention, and he was 14 removed from the case. 15 So that's what happened in Peoples. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MS. RUSSELL: And where it goes to is whether or 18 not Dr. Railey is capable of following the orders of 19 the Court and the rules of forensic exams. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything to suggest 21 that he didn't follow the rules in this case? 22 MS. RUSSELL: Well, I'm going to get there. 23 THE COURT: Well, let's do that first before we 24 get into some collateral issue. You have to make it 25 relevant.

122 1 MS. RUSSELL: All right. I'll put that aside for a minute. 2 BY MS. RUSSELL: 3 4 Dr. Railey, your website says that you are a Q. 5 specialist in autism. 6 I don't think it says -- I -- I don't -- I don't 7 set myself up as a specialist. I know how to conduct the evaluations, but I don't think my website identifies me as 8 9 a specialist. 10 In fact, I'm not even sure if on my website, I 11 even -- if I listed it, it said I can conduct the 12 evaluation. So, yes, I can. I can do that. I have the 13 relevant training to do that. 14 But you don't consider yourself a specialist in 0.

- Q. But you don't consider yourself a specialist in autism?
  - A. I don't have -- I -- I don't think I have all the postdoctoral training that I have in forensic psychology to say I'm a specialist, but I'm competent to -- to conduct that evaluation.
- Q. Okay. So you evaluated Thomas Mosley for autism
  as a non-specialist, and found that he didn't have autism
  or Autism Spectrum Disorder; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, that is correct.

16

17

18

19

23

- Q. And you did no objective testing for autism?
- 25 A. I did not. And the reason I did not is because

it did not appear indicated. And that -- that is -- that is, in and of itself, I'm -- as -- as a doctor in this case, I'm not going to put somebody through something that I -- I don't -- I don't see any clinical indication that they need it.

I mean, I just -- I don't -- I don't -- it says, evaluate, but that evaluation could be just about anything. I did not see -- there were no symptoms indicated there was nothing, no presentation whatsoever.

In my interaction with him, up to that point when I started doing the evaluation, I was prepared to do it, and there was no -- in the videos I watched, I didn't see any indication of that. And in the writing samples of his writing and requesting information about his court case, I didn't see any indication of that.

Now, if there -- if there were an indication of Autism Spectrum Disorder, at that point, I would have made a beeline to his -- to his mom to ask more questions, but there was just no indication of it. There was no reason to do that -- to do a MIGDAS or certainly not -- I can't do the ADOS. I can't bring that in there. I mean, those are really the -- the two most relevant evaluations to do.

And the ADOS is not -- you want me to roll in here with a big tub of, you know, stuff -- toys and stuff and so I -- I didn't see any need for it.

```
So if -- if there's something you can disagree
1
2
    with, you can disagree with my clinical judgment, but I
3
    think -- I think as a licensed psychologist licensed to
4
    practice in the State of Florida, I can make that
5
    decision.
6
```

- Q. You looked at no historical data for autism?
- I'm sorry? Α.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- You looked at no historical data to determine if Q. Thomas Mosley had autism?
  - I'm looking at his present presentation. Α.
- You did no collateral interviews with family to Ο. assess his autism, right?
- I'm looking at -- as an adult, I'm looking at his present presentation. I've evaluated several adults, plenty of adults, I didn't talk to their parents. I have some I've made a diagnosis and some I didn't. But he's an adult. Typically, we want to get that type of information that comes to a child because they cannot communicate as well as -- as well as an adult can.
- Q. So you saw no signs of speech-language delays in the school records that might have suggested autism, did you?
- 23 His present presentation did not indicate that. It did not, so I didn't see any need to do it. I mean, --24

25 Q. Okay.

```
-- when I was -- when I was a kid, I had -- I
 1
          Α.
 2
     had to take speech therapy. I had speech problems.
 3
     have -- one of my sons had to do that. He's a drone
 4
     technician in the Air Force now, so that -- that's --
 5
     that's my -- my issue with a lot of the school record
     stuff is that you've got to look at where people are right
 6
 7
     now. Where is this person functioning at right now?
               I think it's dangerous to look at people's
 8
 9
    history and sort of keep them -- make that -- they can't
10
     live that down. His functioning right now is when I --
11
     when I interviewed him, I didn't see any indication of it.
               And, again, the video, I mean, the -- I don't
12
13
     know how long it was. I -- I wish I had wrote that down,
14
     but it was substantial, and there was no indication of
15
     Autism Spectrum Disorder whatsoever.
16
          Q.
               So when you said the interview is substantial,
17
     are you talking about the videos when he was talking to
18
     his mother and his brother Bernard, or are you talking
     about the interview that you did at the Pinellas County
19
20
     Jail while I was present?
21
               I say both were. I say both were because I -- I
```

had a chance -- in interacting with him, I can assess this
as I -- just like I can do a Suicide Risk Assessment, I
can do that -- I can do that verbally. In a legal
setting, though, I'm going to have to document that with

some -- with some data. There was no indication of it.

And, frankly, just the -- just the length of time that I'm looking at these videos, that was pretty significant. There's -- there's no way to argue that down. In my -- in my conscience, I could not -- I mean, I can't just -- I can't just set that aside. I can't even set aside my interaction with him. I just didn't see it.

So if you disagree with my clinical judgment, you know, that's one of the things, as a psychologist, I'm always accustomed to. When I tell people they don't have ADHD, well, that's -- that's a firestorm, too. But I -- I mean, you get -- it's your prerogative to disagree with my clinical judgment, but my clinical judgment stands. It stands.

- Q. So tell me all the objective tests that you're trained to use to diagnose autism as a non-specialist in autism.
- A. The Gillan -- Gilliam Autism Rating Scale, the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule, and the -- and the Montiero -- oh, gosh. I can't remember what the acronym stands for, but the acronym is MIGDAS. Those are -- and -- and to be honest with you, the only one if -- if I evaluate a kid for Autism Spectrum and I use anything but the ADOS, they're not going to be eligible for ABA services.

So really, what they're pushing is -- is I don't agree with it, but that's what they're pushing. So if I evaluated a kid, I would certainly use that just to -- just to satisfy the political climate. But -- but the reality is, is that -- an observation tool, and there are plenty of those, as well. You don't need any training for those.

As a -- as a psychologist, I mean, that's something you self-train. You read the -- you read the manual and you -- and -- and you practice it with another peer and there it goes. This -- this is -- for us, it shouldn't be. If it is, we've got a problem. It's not rocket science to do this.

Well, for him, again, I maintain that there was no reason to administer any of those measures. I did not see anything that was worthy of that.

- Q. Well, understanding that an autism diagnosis isn't rocket science, I'm curious if you've ever worked with a speech-language specialist in a diagnosis of autism?
- A. Yes, I have. I -- I've -- well, I've read their information, but the speech-language pathologist is not -- is not credentialed to -- to render that diagnosis.
- Q. Understood. But have you ever worked with one outside of the context of this case --

```
1 A. Yes, I have one of the --
2 Q. -- in autism?
```

A. One of the -- when I'm evaluating the kid, one of the -- one of the reports I want to see is what they're saying because a lot of times they get into some in-depth things that I'm not going to see there. But, again, I got a chance to communicate with him for an extended period of time. I got a chance to watch his communication, and there was just nothing there for Autism Spectrum Disorder. There was just absolutely nothing there.

MS. RUSSELL: May I approach?

12 THE COURT: Yes.

MS. RUSSELL: May I approach the witness?

THE COURT: Yes. What exhibit are you bringing?

15 BY MS. RUSSELL:

- Q. Dr. Railey, I'm going to show you what's been marked as Defense Exhibit 13, which is the report of Amy Fritz. Defense 14, Childhood Speech Language Report; and Defense Number 15, another fourth grade Speech Language Report.
- 21 A. Okay. So evaluation 2013, 2011, and -- okay.

  22 This one is -- okay.
  - Q. So would it change your opinion about Thomas

    Mosley not showing any signs of ASD or autism if you had a

    Speech Language Report showing that he scored only 59 on

```
1
     the Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test, which strongly
 2
     correlates to IQ, as is in that exhibit that you're
 3
     looking at?
 4
          Α.
               Say that it -- it strongly correlates to IQ?
 5
          Q.
               Right.
 6
               Okay. I didn't know that.
          Α.
 7
              All right.
          Q.
               Where did that -- I didn't --
 8
          Α.
 9
               Well, that's in this report.
          Q.
10
               So that person said that, but I don't -- I mean,
          Α.
11
     the only -- the only -- there's several instruments that I
12
     -- that I know of that correlate strongly with IQ, and
13
     I've never -- in fact, we, in psychology, we don't even
14
     use that. I mean, that -- that was shelved years ago.
15
               THE COURT: We don't use what?
16
               THE WITNESS: The Peabody.
17
               THE COURT:
                           The Peabody?
18
               THE WITNESS: Yes. We don't use the Peabody.
19
          That's not something -- I mean, actually, the Peabody
20
          is more of a projective test that, in the past, has
21
          been used to -- it was very -- it was a useful tool
22
          in determining particular girls if they -- if there's
23
          been some sexual abuse or something like that. I
          remember it being used, you know, in my younger years
24
25
          on something like that.
```

But I've never -- I've not read an article -- I cannot say with all certainty that that article doesn't exist, but I'm saying I, in my conversations with my peers and in my reading it, I've not seen anywhere where the Peabody strongly correlates with IO.

And this person -- who -- if she -- I mean, she's -- I mean, no disrespect to her, but she's a speech and language pathologist. I mean, they don't have any kind of understanding of IQ. And -- and I think if you look at their -- their training, they probably -- they've not had enough coursework to understand how to use and interpret tests because really only a psychologist is allowed to do that per Florida Statute.

So, I mean, I see this report, but my judgment
-- my judgment is my judgment. It's not going to -it's not going to change my judgment.

## BY MS. RUSSELL:

- Q. So the --
- A. That's going to be up to the Court.
- Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- A. That's going to be up to the Court. I mean,

  Your Honor, can -- Her Honor, can -- she can overrule my

  testimony -- my report, and my feelings won't be hurt for

```
1
     that. But I'm looking at what I saw, what I observed, and
 2
     that's going to stand above anything anybody else says.
 3
     It just is.
               And especially -- I mean, unless you have some
 4
 5
     argument, but with a speech and language pathologist who
    put this together, absolutely not.
 6
 7
               All right. My question was -- I'm not sure if
          Ο.
     we got to the answer -- would the fact that Thomas Mosley
 8
     scored a 59 in June of 2025, so recent times, --
 9
10
               Uh-huh.
          Α.
11
               -- then now. We're talking about the now. That
          Ο.
12
     he scored a 59 on the Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test,
13
     change your opinion about his having present symptoms of
14
     autism or ASD?
15
          Α.
               No. I've -- I've not -- I don't know of any
16
    publications that talk about the Peabody being an
17
     indicator of Autism Spectrum Disorder. I mean, I haven't
18
     read the -- I haven't read any articles that -- that say
19
     that. I mean, I've -- I've gotten training from some of
20
     the -- I mean, the best facility in the country, the ABA
21
     Center over in Atlanta. I mean, I've sat with these folks
     and learned from them. I've not -- I've not heard this.
22
23
     So I've not read it. I've not seen it.
```

So I'm not going to take it from a speech and

language pathologist because -- because my understanding

24

```
1
     of the construct is much more comprehensive than -- than
 2
    his or hers.
 3
               Could you turn to Table 2 on that Fritz report.
          Q.
     Table 2. Unfortunately, it doesn't have page numbers on
 4
 5
     it, which I understand makes it a little complicated, but
 6
     I feel like it's maybe on the fifth page.
 7
               Is it towards the back or in the middle?
          Α.
               No, it's right in the middle, and it's Table 2:
 8
          Q.
     CELF-5 Subtest Scores.
 9
10
               THE COURT: Which exhibit?
11
               MS. RUSSELL: It is. Can you see my --
12
               THE COURT: Oh, CELF. I've got it, yes.
13
               THE WITNESS: Oh, okay.
14
               MS. RUSSELL: CELF, C-E- -- right.
15
     BY MS. RUSSELL:
16
          Q.
               So, Dr. Railey, as you look at that chart, you
17
     can see the raw scores and age equivalency for word
18
     classes, following directions, formulated sentences,
19
     recalling sentences, understanding spoken paragraphs, word
20
     definitions, sentence assembly, semantic relationships,
21
     and pragmatic profiles.
22
               Does any of that change your opinion that Thomas
23
     Mosley might be exhibiting symptoms of autism or ASD
24
     presently?
25
          Α.
               No.
```

Q. What about a little bit further down, the results of the Social Responsiveness Scale, 2nd Edition or the SRS-2, does --

A. Okay.

- Q. -- that change your opinion?
- A. No. I -- social responsiveness. If you -- if you -- if you listen at the -- well, it's all in the transcript when you asked for my notes. He has good social responsiveness. I've seen it, you know? For instance, I mean, that was one of the big things. You know, the way -- the way he -- I mean, he can reciprocate with his mom, you know. He didn't leave her hanging with the car and all that.

But, no, this -- this does not change my mind.

Because one of -- one of the things that I understand,

okay, is that when you -- you can -- an instrument, even

the ones that I administer, can be manipulated. They can.

I mean, you can tank it. I mean, you can. But the one

thing in all of this that cannot be manipulated is my

clinical judgment based on my years of experience that go

well beyond my -- my time at school.

Like I said earlier during direct, my education started in 1968. And in that time -- and we didn't know what Autism Spectrum was. I went to school with a lot of kids. We just didn't know what it was back then. In

fact, we're just finding out what it is. But the one thing I do know is that this -- this -- none of this changes my mind about -- about my conclusion. So the results on that SRS-2, the CELF Report: Total raw score 79; T score, 74; Parent report: Raw score 128, T score 81. So it seems like the parent report by Renee Mosley actually had a higher score than the CELF report, right? 

- A. Uh-huh. I've seen that.
- 12 O. Does that --

A. I've seen that tons of times. Again, I have to reconcile that with my clinical judgment, with my observation. I mean, that doesn't surprise me. I mean, CELF report, if you -- again, this is something else you can look at for yourself. You don't have to take my word for it, but in the -- in the world of psychology, anything you measure, when the -- the most problematic measure is anything that self report. Things that are more objective, statistically based. The only thing related to the observations didn't convert to statistics, but the problem is the fundamental premise that something like this is based on self report.

Now, if there was some sort of instrument, you

```
know, that had -- the longer an instrument is the -- the more valid it is, and we can put validity indicators in it, and we'd have a better -- we'd have a better understanding of response patterns.
```

But with something like this, we don't have a handle on that, and that's the one thing that this individual clearly doesn't understand. If you -- if you look through her resumé like you looked through mine, you'll see, like a course -- a simple basic course that are used in the interpretations of the test, that's not going to be on the transcript, and that's why they tend to -- which is why they're not allowed to make this diagnosis.

Insurance companies don't take it. Schools won't take it. Nobody is going to take it because they don't have -- they don't understand how to diagnose this. And that's -- that's what's giving me the opportunity to work with speech pathologists. I mean, they give me their information and I triangulate it. I look at -- I -- I'm going to -- I'm going to put most of the weight on what I -- what I'm actually seeing at the time.

- Q. Dr. Railey, I want to switch gears for a minute and ask you --
  - A. Sure.

Q. -- to look at Exhibits Number 14 and 15 that you

have there, the two Speech Language Reports.

A. Okay.

- Q. Now, those are historical data from Thomas Mosley's elementary school.
- A. Sure.
  - Q. And you would agree that one of the incredible things about looking at historical data, right, is that malingering really doesn't come into play; isn't that right?
- A. Malingering doesn't come into play as much, but

  -- but neurological maturity does. And that's why there

  -- that's why there are certain diagnoses we don't -- we

  don't diagnose. Autism Spectrum isn't one of them. We

  want to catch that one early, unlike ADHD and some of

  these other things.

But when it comes down to social interactions, if you haven't had in your environment when you go to school, you're not going to be able to show it. But again, this is — this report is — this is 20 — that's one that's 20 — these aren't numbered, so I don't know which one — Number 15 is, but one is 2013. It's 2025. And the other is 2011. It's 2025.

So I'm looking at where he is, again, right now.

I'm not going to say I don't care. If I'm -- if I'm

interviewing a person for a job, and I want to see where

- they came from, and they -- I care where they came from at that point because it shows me their -- you know, how they can -- they -- they're reliable, they can set a goal, and get to it, and make it happen.
- But when it comes to functioning, I'm looking at 5 -- I'm not going to -- I'm not going to dwell in his past, 6 7 what he looked like back then. I looked like this, too. I can show you reports about myself, when I -- I looked 8 like that because my environment was -- it was 9 10 problematic, just to put it nicely. So I had a long way 11 to go to -- to outrun that. So that's what -- that's what 12 I prefer to focus on.
  - But for him, right now, that's what I saw. The video will back up what I'm saying. And, again, I think if you brought in any jury and you show that video to them, they're going to think the same thing.
  - Q. So the wonderful thing about historic data, when we use it in either ID or autism diagnosis is that when a child is in elementary school, there is no secondary gain, right?
    - A. No, there's not.
  - Q. Okay. There's no avoiding the death penalty or avoiding consequences, right?
- A. Correct. Uh-huh.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25 Q. There's no trying to pretend to be something

you're not? 1 2 Α. Correct. 3 It's just you and a teacher figuring out why it Q. 4 is that you have a lot of deficits. 5 Α. Uh-huh. Is that fair? 6 Q. 7 Yeah. Α. So these historic records, you would agree, 8 Q. 9 might be important? 10 Again, if I -- if I had -- if I only had access Α. 11 to one set of records, current records or historical 12 records, I'm looking at current records. I'm -- and, 13 again, and then it's got to be something -- I'm -- I'm not 14 going to just take anybody else's view, you know? 15 Because the problem with a lot of stuff -- a lot 16 of this stuff is, you know, we -- we -- this country has 17 gone through a period of time where we -- and we're kind 18 of getting back to that point -- we did a lot with labels. 19 We label people, and we found out that, you know, when you

I've had kids diagnosed with -- with both of those, and then they get to a point when they get 18, they want to do this or do that and they want to go into the military. Then they come to me, Can you help me get this removed? So it's all about the current function.

get a label like this, you can't -- you can't overcome it.

20

21

22

23

24

I mean, if Mr. Mosley were trying to apply for some job that requires a security clearance, and they were holding this over his head, I'm pretty sure, at that point, we don't want to look at the past records. It's about where he is right now. So the same holds true for this.

- Q. It's interesting because fitness for duty is a lot different than a neurodevelopmental disorder that's usually present at birth.
- A. No, that's not what I'm saying. That is -- I -- that's not -- I think you misunderstood what I was saying.

I understand what fitness for duty is because I -- I do those, as well. I'm not talking about that. I'm -- what I'm talking about is ability to function. And if someone has that type of disorder, if you have that in your history, you can't even get a security clearance. You can't get one.

I've had people -- we've had people enlist in the Army with that in their history, and they come for their first deployment where they -- where we look at everything, and we have to send them home and put them out of the Army. And -- and it's -- I don't agree with it, but, you know, that's what -- that's what the regulations say.

So, again, it is dangerous -- it is dangerous to

```
-- I mean, we're talking, you know, it's 2025 now.
 1
                                                          That
 2
     was 12 years ago. 12 years ago. So if that's what it
 3
     said back then, that's what it -- I mean, I'm not going to
     -- I'm not disputing that that's not what he looked like.
 4
 5
     I wasn't there, so I don't know. So I don't -- let's just
     say that's what he looked like, but that's not what he
 6
 7
     looks like right now.
 8
               Dr. Railey, could you look at Exhibit 14,
          Q.
 9
     please?
10
               Which one is it?
          Α.
11
          Q.
               14.
12
               But these aren't -- oh, wait a minute. Okay.
          Α.
     This one is numbered --
13
14
          Q.
               14.
15
               -- but the others aren't --
          Α.
16
          Q.
               Right. It's the Language Evaluation Report --
17
          Α.
               Okay.
18
          Q.
               -- from Melrose Elementary by Amy King.
19
          Α.
               Okay.
20
          Q.
               Do you see that?
21
               Yes. I got it. Oh, here it is.
          Α.
22
               From 2011.
          Q.
23
               Uh-huh.
          Α.
24
               If you can look at the second page of that
          Q.
25
     report.
```

- 1 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Mr. Mosley was administered the CELF-4.
- 3 A. The what? Okay.
- Q. Except really, he was -- I think it was really the OWLS and also the TOLD, the Test of Language
- 6 Development Intermediate, Fourth Edition.
- 7 A. Uh-huh.

8

9

10

- Q. Do you see how his scores were below average, very poor, poor, average, very poor, below average, going on to the next page?
  - A. I see -- I see that.
- Q. And in the subtest on the following page,
  listening comprehension, oral expression, oral composite,
  he was three standard deviations below the norm since the
  standard deviation with the OWLS is 10 and not 15.
- Does that give you any pause about his --
- 17 A. No, it doesn't.
- 18 Q. -- suffering from autism?
- 19 A. No, it doesn't.
- Q. And what about --
- A. Because one of the -- I mean, these -- the -
  the tests that they're using, these tests, they don't have

  the psychometric power to do what some of the other more

  popular things that we use. And this is why, you know,

  they're -- they can -- these are the only things they can

use. This is all they're allowed to use.

And so -- but even if -- even if these -- again, even if all this was accurate, you know, 15 years ago about him -- and, again, I'm not going to dispute that. I -- I have no place to do that, and that's not my intent here.

My intent is to talk about his current level of function. That's all that -- that's all I can talk about. So none of this stuff -- none of this stuff you gave is going to change my mind.

- Q. You mentioned you've used the Gilliam Autism Rating Scale, correct?
- 13 A. Yes.

- Q. Would it change your opinion about Thomas
  Mosley's autism or ASD to know that he was given the
  Gilliam Autism Rating Scale by Dr. Valerie McClain and
  that he was found to be a Level 2?
- Α. Wow. Well, I would say I would need to have a conversation with Dr. McClain professionally. There is --no. I mean, there's -- no. No. And, especially -- okay. I -- I want to try to say this as -- I'm sure among attorneys -- I'll just fixate it like this. I'm sure among attorneys, when things go down and things happen, there -- there's -- there's some attorneys who -- whose proficiency is more valued than others, okay?

So all I want to say is that -- and the Gilliam is also self-rating, which is -- which is one of the -- and -- and there's no -- I mean, you just circle some -- I mean, it's self report. So that's usually used in conjunction with one of the other, for the ADOS and the MIGDAS. It's used in conjunction with that as -- as a second measure.

It also can be used, you give it to another parent, put them in a separate room and let them answer it on their own. But this is not going to change my mind based on what I saw and what I observed, which is the totality of my interactions with -- my face-to-face interactions with him, in addition to the video that I watched.

Mr. Mosley does not suffer from Autism Spectrum Disorder.

- Q. I'm going to switch gears for a minute and talk about competency, Dr. Railey. Tell me all the factors you can think of that affect competency over time.
- A. I'm -- I'm not -- can you -- I'm not sure I understand that question. I mean, if a person is suffering from a disorder, any -- any -- I mean, if a person is depressed, I mean, that could affect it because they're going to have poor concentration. So any -- any disorder can affect competency.

Q. Is there anything else that can affect competency over time from day to day, since it can wax and wane from one week to the next or one month to the next?

- A. You mean to that degree to affect competency? I mean, life situations are very extreme, but, again, that ties into mental health. Mental health disorders, again, the way the person's life was going at the time, if it's extreme enough, that might that might do it.
- Q. What about medications? Would you agree that whether someone is medicated or not can affect their competency from day to day?
- A. Medication -- to answer you in short, yes. But the issue -- the problem with medication, again, I -- I've seen this so many times with medications. And -- and what I know about psychotic disorders and bipolar disorders, those are -- that's -- those two are considered major mental illnesses.

If you have those, there is a -- we're not -- and I'm not talking about side effects, I'm talking about symptoms. If you have either one of those, you are absent the medication -- that's why we have a lot of people who are incarcerated because they take the medication, they feel better. Then when they feel better, they feel, Oh, I don't need this anymore because they don't like the side effects.

1 So there are a lot of people taking this 2 medication that really don't need it. There are people 3 like this in the community, and obviously, there are people like this who are incarcerated. And when they stop 4 5 taking their medications, we don't see anything. One of the things I -- and, again, I -- I should 6 7 have -- I'm kinda kicking myself that I didn't, I should 8 have got a behavior report, but I -- I heard no 9 outstanding issues with regard to just some anecdotal 10 information when the guys were escorting me out of the --11 out of place. I didn't get any bad reports about that, so 12 it did not prompt me to -- to ask for any further 13 information to -- to document it. 14 Dr. Railey, I'm just trying to establish in your 15 mind what a list is of all the factors that might affect 16 competency. 17 So let's say mental illness? 18 Α. Uh-huh. 19 Medications? Q. 20 Uh-huh. Α. 21 And whether or not, for example, people might be Q. 22 having side effects to the medications or whether they're 23 not taking the medication they've been prescribed; is that 24 fair?

That's possible.

Yes.

25

Α.

Q. What about stress?

- A. Stress? I -- I don't -- I don't know about that affecting competency.
  - Q. That's fair.

What about nutrition?

- A. Nutrition? Malnourishment, yes; but he didn't have breakfast this morning, no.
- Q. What about conditions of confinement, whether you're in a therapeutic setting at a hospital or something a little bit more violent, like, potentially a jail or a prison?
- A. Well, I -- I think, at this point, the way the rules are set up, I mean, you can't -- there was -- there was a phenomenon where back during the time when I first started working in corrections where they had people who, you know, isolated 24 hours a day. So you can't do that. You have the ability to interact.

So if a person developing -- you can, when you're isolated, you know, by yourself like that, you can develop a psychotic disorder. So something like that would. But affecting competency to -- to the extent that, you know, you don't -- you don't understand what you're being charged with, you don't -- you don't know the fundamental components of a courtroom, the judge, the prosecutor, the attorney -- defense attorney.

```
I'd like to see it. I hadn't seen it. I hadn't

seen it. So that doesn't say that -- that doesn't -- I'm

not saying it doesn't exist. I'm just saying I have not

seen it in my years of experience.
```

- Q. And what about whether or not someone is engaged in therapy?
- A. No. I mean, not necessarily. There are a ton

  -- I mean, most of the people I've evaluated -- and not

  just me, but all the other psychologists -- most of the

  people, they should be in therapy, but they're not. So I

  would -- I would have to say no to that one.
- Q. So the order appointing you to this case gives you access to the Pinellas County Jail medical records, correct?
- 15 A. Correct.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. Did you review any medical records in conjunction with your opinion?
- 18 A. No, I did not.
- Q. Now, you were given records from the South
  Florida Evaluation and Treatment Center from Mr. Mosley's
  last stay there just prior to your evaluation.
- A. Yeah.
- Q. His 83-day stay there.
- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Did you review anything or did anything stand

```
out to you in those records, other than that he was given
the WAIS on February 18th?

A. Well, I know that he was -- he -- I just had
```

A. Well, I know that he was -- he -- I just had concerns about when he was administered that -- that test, and I'm not sure -- I'm not sure he was -- that was probably at the beginning. I'm not -- I'm -- if my memory is not failing me here.

You know, it takes some time to get to a therapeutic level on medication. And some, you know, some pretty uncomfortable things can happen during that time. So my question — and again, you know, we had this discussion — you know, was it a good administration in which what — that's one of the factors that — that caused the, okay, I'll do the WAIS again.

Was he really -- because at these hospitals, they just have a tendency to zonk people out on medication. They -- they do. They -- sometimes they go overboard and so you just have a bunch of zombies in there. So that was my concern on that one. But it was not my concern -- his -- his presentation was -- was within normal limits, everything about it. So I didn't see any of that with him regardless of whether or not he was -- he was taking his medication at the time.

I mean, he was -- he interacted just fine. There was nothing odd about his presentation, his

```
1
     responses. Everything was what one would expect from
 2
     someone who is not in danger of harming himself or someone
 3
     else at the time.
 4
               THE COURT: You're talking about your evaluation
 5
          now?
 6
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
 7
               THE COURT: Okay. I did -- I want to make sure
          I understood. Did you actually have a concern that
 8
          while he was at the --
 9
10
               THE WITNESS: The state hospital.
11
               THE COURT: -- the state hospital --
12
               THE WITNESS: It --
13
               THE COURT: -- that he was not properly
14
          stabilized on his medication before the WAIS, or it
15
          was just something that you had questions about?
16
               THE WITNESS: It was -- it was my suspicion
17
          because, I mean, I worked at -- I -- I've worked at a
18
          state hospital, and so I know how things tend to go
19
          there. You know, when you go there, you're going to
          get zonked out on some medication because that's --
20
          that's the assumed reason why you're there.
21
22
               So -- and I can't prove that, but it was -- it
23
          was suspicion.
24
               THE COURT: Okay.
25
               THE WITNESS: It was -- it was my suspicion.
```

```
1
               THE COURT:
                           Was there something in the records
 2
          that made you think that or --
 3
               THE WITNESS: No.
               THE COURT: -- it was just your concern being --
 4
 5
               THE WITNESS: It was just my concern.
               THE COURT: -- from your experience?
 6
 7
               THE WITNESS: Yes. It was just my concern.
               THE COURT: Sorry to interrupt.
 8
 9
               MS. RUSSELL: No worries, Your Honor.
10
    BY MS. RUSSELL:
11
               So are you saying that you feel that the WAIS
          0.
12
     that was administered on February 18th, from your
13
     interpretation of the records, was not valid?
14
               No. That's -- that's not what I said. I said I
15
    had concerns about it. I cannot prove, I mean, I don't --
16
     even if I had that report, I'd have to have a medical
17
    person tell me what it -- what it says, and I would like
18
    to have seen a video of when he was taking it to be able
19
     to say something like that.
20
               I'm just saying, based on my experience with how
21
     things go at the state hospitals with their -- their
22
    medication practices, it was just a question mark. And so
23
     -- and with that, it made it easier for me. And then
24
     doing -- doing some digging in -- into the -- the forensic
25
     guidelines of APA, it -- I felt like, if I ever got called
```

```
on the carpet about it, I could -- I could successfully defend my decision to re-administer that WAIS within 12 months.
```

- Q. But you never reviewed Thomas Mosley's medical records before you did anything, and so you had no idea what his  $-\!$ 
  - A. Well, that --

- Q. From the jail. You never reviewed his medical records from the jail?
- 10 A. From the jail? I never got anything from -- I
  11 -- I have -- I recall getting records from the state
  12 hospital.
- Q. Right. But you --
  - A. I don't recall getting --
- 15 Q. -- didn't review any jail medical records?
  - A. No. But, again, what I'm saying to you -- you know what, to answer your question, no, I did not review any records, but his mental presentation didn't even indicate that that was necessary. I mean, we have -- we -- that was -- that -- that was -- I -- I've dealt with thousands of people who were -- who were even stable on their medications. There are -- there are things that you look for that you see when they're -- when they're taking that medication. Their -- their behaviors. There are extra parametrial effects. You know, tremors and things

1 like that that they're going to have and they can't -- you can't hide it, and I didn't see any of that with him. 2 3 So I didn't -- I didn't have any -- I didn't have any question about his stability. 4 5 It's interesting, many of our psychiatric doctors and psychologists who are professionals feel it is 6 7 necessary to review medical records before they do an evaluation because medications are so important to 8 understand someone's mental state, but you do not agree? 9 10 No, that's not what I said. I saw no indication 11 to do that. And all of the records I received, I did look 12 at. I did watch a -- when we -- when we get a referral, 13 we send -- we -- at the office over there at Carter 14 Psychology, there's a standard protocol -- I don't even 15 send the fax, but I do know the fax goes out because a 16 copy of the request is in -- is in the -- and I don't have 17 access to that, that's with Carter Psychology. 18 But, typically, what we do is request records. We send -- we send a record fax, a request to the jail, 19 20 and typically, we get records back. But I don't -- even if we have -- I don't recall any of this being an issue. 21 22 Mr. Mosley was stable at the time I evaluated him. 23 MS. RUSSELL: May I approach just to pick up those exhibits and return them? 24

25

THE COURT:

Yes.

```
BY MS. RUSSELL:
 1
 2
               So, Dr. Railey, back to your report on page 5 --
          Q.
               Give me a second.
 3
          Α.
               THE COURT: Is there a page you're looking at?
 4
 5
               MS. RUSSELL: Oh, I'm sorry. Page 5.
               THE COURT: We'll take a break in a few minutes.
 6
 7
               You want to do it now?
 8
               MS. RUSSELL: Well, I have, like, five more
          minutes on this line --
 9
10
               THE COURT: No, go ahead.
               MS. RUSSELL: -- of questioning, and then it
11
12
          will probably make more sense to break.
13
               THE COURT: That's fine. Let me know when
14
          you're ready.
15
               MS. RUSSELL: All right.
16
    BY MS. RUSSELL:
17
          Α.
               I have it.
18
          Q.
              Are you with me on page 5?
19
               Yes, I have it.
          Α.
20
          Q.
               So, Dr. Railey, under your -- the heading here
21
     is your minty -- is your Mini-Mental Status Examination-2,
22
    MMSE-2 EV Results. In that part of your report, moving
23
     over to page 5, you look at processing speed.
24
               Uh-huh.
          Α.
25
               And you say: He was slow to complete tasks, but
          Q.
```

1 | this was not consistent with any documented neurological

- 2 | condition or impairment. At times, he simply did not
- 3 respond until prompted multiple times to proceed.
  - A. Yes. There was --
- 5 Q. Correct?

4

- 6 A. Oh, I'm sorry.
- 7 Q. Correct? Is that right?
- 8 A. There were times he did stop, and I did -- I did
- 9 prompt him to keep going. It was only for 30 seconds, but
- 10 he did stop a couple times.
- 11 Q. All right. Are you aware that Thomas Mosley is
- 12 prescribed antipsychotic medications at least since 2023?
- 13 I think he also mentioned to you that he had overdosed on
- 14 Prozac before that.
- 15 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. But at least for the time that he's been in the
- 17 | Pinellas County Jail --
- 18 A. Uh-huh.
- 19 Q. -- he's been on antipsychotic medications?
- 20 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. And he's also been prescribed
- 22 antidepressants since 2023?
- 23 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And he's also been prescribed medicine for a
- 25 | serious thyroid -- for a serious thyroid condition, right?

```
1
          Α.
               Yes.
               And you're aware from looking at the records
 2
          Q.
 3
     that you --
 4
          Α.
               Yeah.
 5
               -- did look at or the reports that you did look
 6
     at, that he's alternatively been diagnosed with
 7
     depression, bipolar, schizophrenia, learning disabilities,
     language delays, intellectual disability, and autism by
 8
 9
     others.
10
               I understand those aren't your diagnoses, right?
11
     Is it possible --
12
               THE COURT REPORTER: Can you answer yes or no,
13
          sir.
14
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
15
               THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
16
               THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Yes.
               THE COURT REPORTER:
17
                                    Thank you.
18
     BY MS. RUSSELL:
19
               Is it possible that some of the slowness might
          Q.
20
     have to do with any of those conditions or potentially
21
     side effects from the medications he had been prescribed?
22
               Well, as far as the thyroid condition, based on
          Α.
23
     what the -- I did not see that -- based on the medication
24
    he was taking and when he was asked what would happen if
25
     he stopped taking it, so it sounds like he has
```

```
1
     hyperthyroidism. So that would speed him up if -- if he's
 2
     not treated properly.
 3
               So -- and -- and that wouldn't be the case for
     that medication because he would probably go out faster --
 4
 5
    make a lot more mistakes, but he would go out faster.
     I've -- over the years, just about everybody I've -- I've
 6
 7
     evaluated, I mean, they've been on heavy-duty medications
     like this, and I didn't -- I just didn't see that.
 8
 9
               So his -- his performance in that regard did not
10
     stand out. He just -- he just stopped, and I just wanted
11
    him to keep going. So I did have to prompt him to keep
12
     going a couple of times.
13
               But, again, even -- even with that, this was
14
     just the element in the report -- but in terms of -- it
15
     seems like the big issue here is whether or not he has
16
     Autism Spectrum Disorder and that exercise doesn't
17
     necessarily correlate at all with the existence of Autism
18
     Spectrum Disorder.
19
          Q.
               All right. Thank you, Dr. Railey.
20
               MS. RUSSELL: I think that Your Honor would like
          to take a break.
21
22
               THE COURT: Okay. Let's take a 10-minutes.
23
          (Break taken.)
24
25
```