IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR POLK COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 23-02935-CF

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

TOMASZ KOSOWSKI,

Defendant.

VIDEOCONFERENCE

DEPOSITION OF: HONORABLE JUDGE SHERMAN COLEMAN

DATE TAKEN: July 10, 2024

TIME: 1:03 p.m. to 1:20 p.m.

PLACE: Via Zoom videoconference

STENOGRAPHICALLY REPORTED BY:
Lori A. Seiden, RPR, FPR-C
Notary Public, State of Florida at Large

Verbatim Court Reporting, Inc. 728 S. New York Avenue, Lakeland, FL 33815 863-500-3603

```
Page 2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
     (Appearing via Zoom videoconference)
 2
     NATHAN T. VONDERHEIDE, ESQUIRE
     eservice@flsa6.gov
     - and -
 4
     ALEXANDRA G. SPADARO, ESQUIRE
     eservice@flsa6.gov
 5
         Office of the State Attorney
         County Justice Center, 14250 49th Street North
         Clearwater, Florida 33762
 6
         (727) 464-6221
 7
              Appearing on Behalf of the Plaintiff
 8
 9
     BJORN E. BRUNVAND, ESQUIRE
     bjorn@acquitter.com
10
         Brunvand Wise, P.A.
11
         502 East Tyler Street
         Tampa, Florida 33602
         (813) 970-0000
12
13
              Appearing on Behalf of the Defendant
14
15
     LYNDSEY E. SIARA, ESQUIRE
     lsiara@jud6.org
16
         14250 49th Street North, Suite J4601
         Clearwater, Florida 33762
17
         (727) 582-7424
18
              Appearing on Behalf of Honorable Judge
              Sherwood Coleman
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

			Page 3
1	CONTENTS		
2			
3	TESTIMONY OF HONORABLE JUDGE SHERMAN COLEMAN	PAGE	
4			
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BRUNVAND	4	
6	ERRATA SHEET	16	
7	CERTIFICATE OF OATH	17	
8	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	18	
9	WITNESS NOTIFICATION LETTER	19	
10	EXHIBITS	NONE	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

- 1 The deposition of HONORABLE JUDGE SHERMAN COLEMAN
- 2 was taken pursuant to notice by counsel for the
- 3 Defendant on the 10th day of July, 2024, commencing at
- 4 1:03 p.m., via Zoom videoconference. Said deposition
- 5 was stenographically reported by Lori A. Seiden, RPR,
- 6 FPR-C, Notary Public, State of Florida at Large.
- 7 - - -
- 8 HONORABLE JUDGE SHERMAN COLEMAN,
- 9 a witness, having been duly sworn to tell the truth,
- 10 was examined and testified upon his oath as follows:
- 11 THE WITNESS: I do.
- 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MR. BRUNVAND:
- 14 Q. My name is Bjorn Brunvand. I represent Tom
- 15 Kosowski. We're here on State of Florida vs. Tom
- 16 Kosowski.
- 17 If you can, please state your name.
- 18 A. Sherwood Coleman.
- 19 Q. And Judge Coleman, the reason that we have
- 20 scheduled your deposition is that you are the one who
- 21 signed off on a presumptive certificate of death as it
- 22 relates to Steven Cozzi. And we would like to find out
- 23 if that is, in fact, accurate, that you're the one that
- 24 signed off on it.
- 25 A. I believe that's correct. I have not reviewed

- 1 the case file or anything else, but I believe that to be
- 2 true.
- 3 Q. Okay. How does -- how does that come about?
- 4 What -- how does that work when you are asked to issue a
- 5 presumptive certificate of death?
- 6 A. There's a statute and then a related rule. I
- 7 cannot tell you the exact rule number, but it starts
- 8 with a five. I believe it's in Chapter 731 under the
- 9 statute. There are certain people who are authorized to
- 10 file a petition. That petition is assigned to the
- 11 probate section. And depending on which part of the
- 12 county it's from, it would be assigned to my section or
- 13 Judge Campbell. And that petition is reviewed and
- 14 transmitted to me for action, typically electronically,
- 15 by the clerk of court.
- 16 Q. And is that petition a public document that's
- 17 filed with the clerk of court?
- 18 A. I believe it's public. You could check with
- 19 the clerk about that. I don't usually get involved with
- 20 making that determination unless somebody has had a --
- 21 files a petition to have a particular document sealed or
- 22 confidential or it's confidential by virtue of a statute
- 23 like most guardianship proceedings are.
- Q. Okay. So, presumably, the petition would have
- 25 been filed by a member of the Florida Bar?

- 1 A. Typically it is. I don't know if that is a
- 2 requirement or not, and I don't recall specifically in
- 3 this case, but that is most common.
- 4 Q. Okay. The -- do you know the -- is there a
- 5 case number associated with it or assigned to it?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. Do you happen to know that case number,
- 8 or no?
- 9 A. I don't know that case number for this case.
- 10 Q. Okay. And your thought is that the clerk of
- 11 court might be able to assist us in finding that
- 12 information?
- 13 A. I would expect they would be able to identify
- 14 that for you, yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. Was there some sort of an evidentiary
- 16 hearing or was the -- was it strictly based on pleadings
- 17 and attachments to the pleadings that this certificate
- 18 was issued?
- 19 A. I didn't go back and review the docket or any
- 20 of the filings or orders. I wanted to come in and
- 21 testify without having created some false narrative or
- 22 recollections in my mind, but I do not recall doing an
- 23 evidentiary hearing in this case.
- 24 The process is somewhat laid out by the rule
- 25 and the statute. And in probate, typically, matters are

- 1 not adversarial unless they are designated to be
- 2 automatically adversarial by virtue of a particular rule
- 3 or statute. And so, if it's not adversarial, not
- 4 contested, the Court typically does not conduct an
- 5 evidentiary hearing.
- 6 Q. Do you recall -- do you recall whether or not
- 7 law enforcement had any involvement in seeking the
- 8 presumptive certificate of death in this case?
- 9 A. I do not recall.
- 10 Q. Okay. Normally, would law enforcement be
- 11 involved in that, or is it more of a probate-related
- 12 matter?
- 13 A. Every one of them that I've had has been
- 14 remarkably different from the others. It's not uncommon
- 15 for materials from government records or something else
- 16 to be referred to in the supporting documents, but it's
- 17 not in every case either. So I can't say and I don't
- 18 recall what the supporting materials were in this case
- 19 offhand.
- 20 Q. Okay. When this was presented to you, did you
- 21 recall when it was presented to you?
- 22 A. I do not.
- 23 Q. Okay. This particular case and the arrest of
- 24 Dr. Kosowski was very much in the news media for an
- 25 extended time period.

- 1 So were you familiar when this came before you?
- 2 Did you recognize the case?
- 3 A. I believe I recognized the name of the
- 4 decedent.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- 6 A. But I did not and don't typically familiarize
- 7 myself with most news coverage just because I see enough
- 8 of it in real life.
- 9 Q. Okay. Did you -- did you know the
- 10 decedent as an attorney who came before you to practice
- 11 law or through the legal community or just from the news
- 12 media?
- 13 A. I did not have any dealings with him in any
- 14 professional or professional-related settings. I simply
- 15 recognized the name.
- 16 Q. Okay. And as far as the name was -- well,
- 17 presumably, was Dr. Kosowski's name even included as far
- 18 as you know?
- 19 A. I do not recall.
- 20 Q. Okay. Do you recall what was presented to you
- 21 as far as, you know, whether it was by circumstantial
- 22 evidence or by affidavits as to the cause of death, and
- 23 what led you to conclude that it was appropriate to
- 24 issue a certificate of death?
- 25 A. I do not recall. I didn't review the

- 1 supporting materials before coming here, so...
- Q. But it is your belief that any supporting
- 3 materials would have been most likely in a proceeding
- 4 that was not under seal, it would have been a public
- 5 proceeding?
- 6 A. As far as I know, those are -- those are public
- 7 documents and public filings. I rely on the clerk, on
- 8 Mr. Burke to adequately, you know, discharge his duties.
- 9 I think it's his responsibility as a matter of law to
- 10 make those designations and things like that. So it's
- 11 possible that they might tell you it's confidential if
- 12 you went to request it, but it wouldn't be by any
- 13 specific order that I entered.
- 14 Q. Okay. Is it possible that this was sought and
- 15 obtained related to a wrongful death civil lawsuit
- 16 that's pending in Pinellas County?
- 17 A. I can't speculate. I don't -- I don't recall
- 18 that.
- 19 Q. Do you know if it's a matter of --
- 20 A. I couldn't exclude it either.
- 21 Q. Okay. Do you know if it's required for
- 22 purposes of a civil wrongful death lawsuit?
- 23 A. I don't want to make assumptions, but I have
- 24 seen it done for those purposes, but I've also seen it
- 25 done, largely, because you need it in order to open up a

- 1 formal administration of an estate under the probate
- 2 rules. You would have to provide a death certificate,
- 3 so -- and if you don't have a medical examiner signing a
- 4 death certificate, the other means outlined by statute
- 5 and rule is for the Court to sign it.
- 6 Q. Is the -- the certificate that was issued, I
- 7 believe is referenced as a presumptive certificate of
- 8 death. Is there such a thing as a nonpresumptive
- 9 certificate of death? Do you know?
- 10 A. I don't know that. Vital statistics could
- 11 probably tell you which forms and -- different forms
- 12 they have. I know they have short form death --
- 13 certifications of death. They have a longer form
- 14 version. I don't know if they have specific
- 15 nomenclature for presumptive or nonpresumptive.
- 16 Somebody representing them would probably be better to
- 17 clarify for that.
- 18 Q. And do I understand correctly that the petition
- 19 that's filed, does the clerk of court do their own
- 20 evaluation of whether or not the documentation and
- 21 supporting documents is sufficient before it's presented
- 22 to Your Honor, or how does that work?
- 23 A. They would have to answer any questions you
- 24 might have on what process they engage in. There are
- 25 cases -- case types that they review and make sure that

- 1 all the requirements are met, typically with
- 2 administration of the estate and petition for
- 3 guardianship.
- 4 However, there are large chunks and types of
- 5 cases that they just send up and the only note that
- 6 accompanies is if the clerk doesn't review this type of
- 7 case, and then it just comes to me to manage and rule on
- 8 from there. I might give them an instruction as to, you
- 9 know, whether anything needs to be administratively --
- 10 or, you know, whether they need to recheck the file in a
- 11 certain amount of time, but otherwise, there's a
- 12 substantial number of cases they don't do any review on.
- 13 They definitely don't do reviews, in my experience, on
- 14 these cases for sufficiency of evidence.
- 15 Q. Okay. So not sufficiency, but possibly just to
- 16 make sure that formalities have all been submitted as
- 17 they should be.
- 18 A. Right. But again, they have a lot of
- 19 checklists down there.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. And they could probably tell you whether they
- 22 review this against some sort of rubric or whether they
- 23 simply pass it along to the Court for action.
- 24 Q. The presumptive certificate of death, was that
- 25 a court finding that, in this case that Steven Cozzi is,

- 1 in fact, dead?
- A. Well, I guess I would say it's whatever my
- 3 order says.
- 4 Q. Yeah.
- 5 A. I hadn't reviewed my order, so I don't know
- 6 what's on there. I think I might have to point you back
- 7 to the statute that outlines what the inquiry is of the
- 8 Court. I think you also have to understand that -- and
- 9 I'm not speaking specifically in this case, of course,
- 10 but there are -- at least the probate grants in this is
- 11 limited to probate proceedings.
- 12 I'm not -- it doesn't have -- it's not a
- 13 universal effect. I think you'd have to go back and
- 14 read the case law if you wanted to get fully up on that,
- 15 but there's a few cases that discuss sort of what the
- 16 import and the legal significance of the ruling that the
- 17 Court makes is on these types of petitions.
- 18 Q. Okay. So what we have is basically what
- 19 appears to be a certificate of death. We don't actually
- 20 have a copy of any order that you may have signed, but
- 21 we have a certificate that basically indicates that you
- 22 are the one that made the finding in support of the
- 23 certificate of death.
- 24 So is it your belief that there should be,
- 25 like, an order related to that that we might be able to

- 1 find at the clerk's office?
- 2 A. Again, I didn't go back and look at the docket,
- 3 but it's common for me also to sign an order granting or
- 4 denying a petition.
- Q. Okay.
- 6 A. That's sort of the -- it amounts to the final
- 7 judgment in the case. And then the signing of the
- 8 certificate is sort of a ministerial act on top of that.
- 9 O. On this certificate there's a date certified of
- 10 May 17th, 2023, and a date filed of July 16th, 2023.
- 11 Do you know what the significance are of those
- 12 two different dates, the date certified and the date
- 13 filed?
- 14 A. I do not. I don't know whether those are, you
- 15 know, based on the date that I signed it or that some
- 16 other agency accepted it. I'd be speculating to answer.
- 17 Q. And just to make sure, you don't have
- 18 recollection as to the facts that may have been
- 19 presented to you in this case in support of the ultimate
- 20 finding of the presumptive artifact of death or
- 21 certificate of death?
- 22 A. I'm sorry. Can you give it to me one more
- 23 time?
- Q. Yeah. I'm sorry.
- 25 Am I correct that you don't recall the facts

- 1 that were presented in support of the presumptive
- 2 certificate of death in this case?
- A. I don't recall them as I sit here today. I
- 4 didn't review the filings or any of my orders in the
- 5 case.
- 6 Q. Okay. But whatever it was, it would be part of
- 7 the pleadings and/or if there was a hearing there should
- 8 be a -- presumably, a transcript of that hearing, or no?
- 9 A. Not all proceedings are transcribed. I don't
- 10 recall conducting a hearing. If you see a notice of
- 11 hearing on the docket that would be the more accurate
- 12 record.
- 13 Q. Okay. If there is no notice of hearing with
- 14 the clerk's office, can we then assume that there was no
- 15 hearing and it was strictly based on the documents that
- 16 were submitted?
- 17 A. I think that would be a fair assumption. I did
- 18 not conduct any independent investigation of any of the
- 19 facts or circumstances beyond what was presented.
- 20 MR. BRUNVAND: Okay. I don't think I have any
- other questions. I greatly appreciate your time,
- 22 Your Honor.
- THE WITNESS: Good to see you.
- MR. BRUNVAND: Good to see you as well.
- MR. VONDERHEIDE: No questions from the State.

```
Page 15
 1
                             And Your Honor, if we transcribe
              MR. BRUNVAND:
 2
         this, do you want to read or waive?
 3
              THE WITNESS: Put me down for a read, please.
              MR. BRUNVAND: Okay. I'm going to stop the
 5
         recording, and then you can let the court reporter
 6
         know about contact information for the transcript.
 7
               (At 1:20 p.m., no further questions were
         propounded to this witness.)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 16 1 ERRATA SHEET 2 IN RE: STATE OF FLORIDA vs. TOMASZ KOSOWSKI DEPOSITION OF: JUDGE SHERMAN COLEMAN 3 TAKEN: 07/10/2024 DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANGES HERE 4 5 Please sign, date, and return this sheet to our office. If additional lines are required for corrections, attach additional sheets. 6 7 At the time of the reading and signing of the deposition the following changes were noted: 8 9 PAGE LINE CORRECTION REASON 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 Under penalty of perjury, I declare that I have read my deposition and that it is true and correct subject to 23 any changes in form or substance entered here. SIGNATURE OF DEPONENT: 24 25

		Page	19
1	January 14, 2025		
2			
3	HONORABLE JUDGE SHERMAN COLEMAN section3@jud6.org		
4	Sectionse Judo. Org		
5			
6	Dear Judge Coleman:		
7	Your deposition taken in the case of State of		
8	Florida vs. Tomasz Kosowski on July 10, 2024, has been		
9	transcribed. Per your request to review the		
10	transcript, it is being held at our office at 728 South		
11	New York Avenue, Lakeland, Florida, until February 14,		
12	2025.		
13	Please call (863) 682-8737 to make arrangements to		
14	do this during our regular business hours of 8:30 a.m.		
15	to 5:00 p.m.		
16	Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.		
17			
18	Sincerely,		
19	Lori a Leiden		
20	dori a. Leiden		
21			
22	Lori A. Seiden, RPR, FPR-C		
23			
24			
25			