

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA
CASE NO. 2023-CF-2935

STATE OF FLORIDA,

v.

TOMASZ KOSOWSKI,

Defendant,

TAKEN BY: TOMASZ KOSOWSKI

DEPOSITION OF: REBECA D'JIMAS

DATE: August 28, 2026

TIME: 9:38 a.m. - 10:10 a.m.

PLACE: ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE

REPORTED BY: CHARLENE M. EANNEL, RPR
State of Florida, Notary Public

PAGES 1 - 29

VERBATIM PROFESSIONAL REPORTERS LLC
2996 Shannon Circle
Palm Harbor, FL 33761
vpreporters@aol.com

1 APPEARANCES:

2
3 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA:

4 Nathan T. Vonderheide, Assistant State Attorney
5 Alexandra G. Spadaro, Assistant State Attorney
6 Office of Bruce Bartlett, State Attorney
7 Pinellas County Justice Center
14250 - 49th Street North
Clearwater, Florida 33762

8
9 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT:

10 TOMASZ KOSOWSKI - PRO SE DEFENDANT
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS

REBECA D'JIMAS

Direct Examination by Dr. Kosowski

4

Certificate of Oath

22

Certificate of Reporter

23

* * * * *

REBECA D'JIMAS,

HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN ON OATH, WAS EXAMINED AND
TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY DR. KOSOWSKI:

Q. Good morning, Ms. D'Jimas.

A. Good morning.

Q. My name is Tom Kosowski. I'm the defendant in
this case. And what I wanted to say to you is thank you
very much for taking the time out to do this deposition
this morning. I know this is the second time you're doing
a deposition in this case, and my questions will be very
focused, but I wanted to thank you personally for taking
the time to do this.

A. You're welcome.

Q. For the record, would you mind spelling your
name for the record.

A. Yes, sir. My first name is Rebeca, R-E-B-E-C-A.
My last name is D'Jimas, D, as in "David," apostrophe,
J-I-M-A-S.

Q. Okay. You authored two supplements in this
case, correct, Number 10 and Number 16?

A. That is correct.

Q. Did you review the supplements prior to today's
deposition?

1 A. Yes, sir, I did.

2 Q. Are they complete and accurate?

3 A. Yes, sir, they are.

4 Q. Do you recall when you wrote Number 16 and when
5 you submitted it?

6 A. When I actually authored it?

7 Q. Yes, ma'am.

8 A. The exact times, I don't know in ACISS exactly
9 when I started it and when I submitted it for approval,
10 but I can tell you the dates that I responded for that
11 report.

12 Q. Okay. Did you review the ACISS report for
13 today's depo?

14 A. Yes. I already answered that, yes.

15 Q. Okay. How else did you prepare for today's
16 deposition?

17 A. I reread my prior deposition.

18 Q. And did you prepare with any other person for
19 this deposition?

20 A. No, I did not.

21 Q. Okay. With regards to Supplement Number 16, I
22 would like to ask the time of your arrival at the scene,
23 which was 511 Seaview Drive in Tarpon Springs.

24 A. Yes. The time that I arrived would have been at
25 2255 hours.

1 Q. And when did you leave?

2 A. I left that residence at 8:00 in the morning,
3 about 8:22 is when I cleared.

4 Q. Did you go to your office or to Property and
5 Evidence anywhere afterward?

6 A. I would have responded back to our office.

7 Q. Okay. Do you recall the Tundra that was in the
8 garage at that residence?

9 A. Yes, I do.

10 Q. Do you know who called for the tow truck of that
11 Tundra or who called for the wrecker?

12 A. No, I do not.

13 Q. Okay. Do you know the time of arrival for the
14 wrecker?

15 A. No, I do not.

16 Q. Do you know the time of departure for the
17 wrecker?

18 A. No, I do not.

19 Q. Did you see the Tundra being loaded onto the
20 wrecker?

21 A. I'm sure I did. I just don't recall while we
22 were there.

23 Q. Do you know if the Tundra was driven out of the
24 garage before it was loaded onto the wrecker?

25 A. No, I don't believe it was. I don't recall

1 that.

2 Q. So do you believe that the wrecker pulled up to
3 the garage, and then the Tundra was hoisted up onto the
4 bed of the wrecker from the garage bay?

5 A. It could have been. I don't recall, but I don't
6 recall anyone getting in and driving the vehicle out of
7 the garage.

8 Q. Did you check to make sure that the Tundra was
9 locked prior to transport?

10 A. I did not ensure the Tundra was locked, but we
11 had placed evidence tape over the doors and windows to
12 show that when it left, it was properly sealed from the
13 scene to our processing garage.

14 Q. Does having evidence tape mean or designate that
15 the vehicle would be locked in its doors?

16 A. It doesn't -- no, it does not denote that it's
17 locked. It's just showing that we're sealing it. Then
18 when we actually open it again, it just secures it to know
19 it was not entered prior to that.

20 Q. Did you lock the Tundra yourself?

21 A. No.

22 Q. Do you know if anybody did lock it?

23 A. No.

24 Q. Do you know where the keys to the Tundra were?

25 A. I don't recall.

1 Q. All right. And I believe you already indicated
2 that you did not see the wrecker leave, correct?

3 A. I don't recall.

4 Q. Did you witness any law enforcement agent follow
5 the wrecker as it left?

6 A. I know that our lieutenant at the time was going
7 to follow it to our processing garage because he was on
8 scene.

9 Q. But you did not see -- witness him actually
10 follow the wrecker?

11 A. I don't recall.

12 Q. What else can you tell me about the towing of
13 the Tundra?

14 A. What I recall is our lieutenant was on scene.
15 He was our division commander at the time, Lieutenant
16 Gross. He was going to follow the Tundra back to our
17 processing garage until it was ready for processing. So
18 he left the scene when the wrecker left the scene,
19 whatever time that was. You would have to ask him when he
20 left our scene to tow it back to the garage. So it was
21 towed from the scene and that was the last I had anything
22 to do with it.

23 Q. How did that plan materialize to tow the truck?

24 A. The plan to tow it?

25 Q. Yes, ma'am.

1 A. Oh. It's common practice when we are collecting
2 a vehicle for evidence that it is towed back to our
3 facility followed by typically one of our people, one of
4 our forensic specialists and a law enforcement officer.
5 In this case our lieutenant at the time followed it back
6 to the garage to secure it into our facility.

7 Q. Okay. Who came up with that plan?

8 A. I don't recall.

9 Q. Okay. Did Lieutenant Gross volunteer himself to
10 follow the truck back?

11 A. That's a question you would have to ask him. I
12 don't recall.

13 Q. Okay. Was it necessary to have Lieutenant Gross
14 follow the truck?

15 A. Well, as I stated, it's our common practice to
16 have law enforcement personnel follow the evidence back to
17 our processing bay. Whether it was him, it could have
18 been anyone else, he is just the one that did it in this
19 particular case.

20 Q. But why is that important to have somebody from
21 your office follow the truck?

22 A. Well, he just happened to be in our office as
23 well and he is certified law enforcement. It's just a
24 common practice that they're following it back to our
25 processing garage to ensure that it is safely towed there.

1 It's safely secured in our facility. And one of us has to
2 be present for it to actually be received into our garage
3 because it is a secure facility that only certain people
4 have access to.

5 Q. If Lieutenant Gross did not follow the Tundra on
6 the wrecker to the FSD processing garage, what are the
7 implications of this?

8 A. Are you -- I'm not really understanding the
9 question. So another law enforcement personnel would have
10 followed it back? Is that your question?

11 Q. My question is if no law enforcement personnel
12 followed the truck to the FSD garage, what are the
13 implications of this?

14 A. I'm not really quite understanding because the
15 vehicle was followed.

16 Q. Just so you know, Lieutenant Gross testified
17 that he did not follow the truck.

18 A. Okay.

19 Q. (Indiscernible.)

20 A. I don't know what to say with that. I mean, the
21 easiest way -- maybe he doesn't recall. You could check
22 the badge reader to see -- he would have had to badge in
23 to our processing garage to open up the door to let the
24 vehicle in. We have logs that you have to fill out. Any
25 personnel that -- other than a forensic member -- has to

1 fill out the log if they entered our garage. That can be
2 checked. I don't know how to answer that. I know that he
3 was -- he was leaving with the vehicle to go back to our
4 garage.

5 Q. Do you have access to that badge reader or to
6 that log?

7 A. Well, yes, I do. Not right now, but I do at the
8 office, yes, sir.

9 Q. Understood. So if we wanted to verify this
10 information, would you be a person -- an appropriate
11 person to contact to get that information?

12 A. Any supervisor or our section commander would be
13 able to provide that information to you.

14 Q. Very good.

15 Do you know for certain where the Tundra was
16 towed?

17 A. Yes. It was towed to our forensic processing
18 bay. We call it bay garage.

19 Q. Do you know if it made a stop anywhere else
20 prior to arriving at the FSD garage?

21 A. No, because I did not follow it back.

22 Q. Okay. Can you tell me the address of the FSD
23 processing garage?

24 A. I should know this. I've been there for 23
25 years. I believe it's 14905 49th Street. Something like

1 that. It's Unit 10.

2 Q. Can you finish that address? Do you know the
3 city and the ZIP code?

4 A. I believe it's the same one as our office
5 building. It's located -- our building is in Clearwater,
6 so it's 33762, I believe.

7 Q. And who owns or leases this garage?

8 A. Well, the Sheriff's Office leases it because
9 that's part of our garage. So it's a facility that we
10 lease. That's something I don't know who actually owns
11 the facility.

12 Q. And does the Largo Police Department conduct
13 operations at this address?

14 A. Well, they could respond to the garage as long
15 as we're present with them if they're working a case, but
16 they don't have access to our unit within that area. So
17 it's basically a storage facility and it's -- it has a
18 lock and it has the badge reader key access. So, again,
19 they can only access that facility if one of us forensic
20 personnel member was there with them.

21 Q. Very good. But there are no regular Largo
22 Police detectives or staff or officers working from that
23 building or they're not taking phone calls from that
24 building?

25 A. No. It's not a Largo Police Department

1 facility. It's a Pinellas County Sheriff's Office
2 facility.

3 Q. Thank you.

4 Doesn't the ACISS report document the chain of
5 custody of evidence?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. So correct my understanding, but if an item is
8 seized by the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office, the ACISS
9 Report should show that it went from A to B, and from B to
10 C, correct?

11 A. Correct.

12 Q. Okay. If Lieutenant Gross was tasked with
13 following the Tundra to the FSD garage, should his name
14 appear in the ACISS report for this case?

15 A. So typically what will happen -- not necessarily
16 because it would -- the forensic member that would have
17 taken in that vehicle into the garage, they would
18 establish that chain of custody. Like, they would have
19 received custody of that when it was there and they would
20 denote that, if that makes any sense.

21 So I believe, like, when it's secured in there,
22 you can actually see by the badge readers when it was
23 actually secured in the facility. ACISS doesn't
24 necessarily reflect that exact time, but that key code --
25 that key card access would.

1 Q. I see. I see. What would help me a great deal
2 is if we could go over the ACISS report for this case
3 together.

4 Would you be able to do that with me?

5 A. Yes. I have until 10:15-ish, just so you know.
6 I'm not trying to -- I just have a doctor's appointment.
7 I'm sorry.

8 Q. This will only take about 10 more minutes.

9 A. Okay. That's fine, sir.

10 MR. KOSOWSKI: Okay. So Mr. Vonderheide, would
11 you mind sharing that ACISS report again on the
12 screen?

13 BY MR. KOSOWSKI:

14 Q. So what I'm going to ask you about, Mrs.
15 D'Jimas, is about the entry for Item Number 141. Item
16 Number 141 is the Toyota Tundra, okay. The gray Toyota
17 Tundra that was seized at 511 Seaview.

18 Do you need me to show you that on the report
19 that that is -- that 141 is the Tundra, or can we assume
20 that I'm not lying to you?

21 A. I can see it says, FSD vehicle processing
22 garage. So I believe 141 is the vehicle.

23 Q. Okay. Thank you very much.

24 MR. KOSOWSKI: Mr. Vonderheide, could we go to
25 page 482, please? Could you scroll up just a little

1 bit so that 141 entry is right in the middle? There
2 we go.

3 BY MR. KOSOWSKI:

4 Q. Mrs. D'Jimas, do you see where it says
5 LA23-2583-141 in the middle of the screen?

6 A. Yes, I do.

7 Q. Wonderful. I'm just going to read from this.
8 This is the first entry for that item in the ACISS Report.
9 The first entry occurs at 3:27 a.m. on 3/24/23. And on
10 the very right-hand side it says: From, no one. Then it
11 says, To Zuchetto.

12 I was wondering if you could shed some light on
13 this entry. What does this mean?

14 A. I don't like how this looks. We look at it a
15 little bit differently. Well, the question here would be,
16 because at 3:27 -- I would have to see if we were -- like,
17 if Dana was still on the scene. A lot of times some of
18 the specialists will build their evidence while still on
19 scene, and it just is like -- it just means that, you
20 know, that we have that evidence label. I don't know if
21 you have seen them. But they'll just build on scene their
22 evidence that they are going to submit to Property and
23 Evidence.

24 Q. Uh-huh.

25 A. Because it looks like she built numerous items

1 around the same time.

2 Q. It does look that way, correct. Just so I make
3 it perfectly clear, you did not make this entry yourself
4 into ACISS, correct?

5 A. No. It says Dana Zuchetto.

6 Q. Did you make any entries in the ACISS Report?
7 In this case's ACISS Report yourself?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Do you know if, at the time that this entry was
10 made at 3:27, had the truck already been towed from the
11 location at this point?

12 A. I don't recall. I don't recall.

13 Q. Okay.

14 A. Because remember I said I didn't remember what
15 time the vehicle actually left the residence?

16 Q. That's right. Thank you for refreshing my
17 memory.

18 Who is in possession of the truck at this point?

19 A. I don't know. Again, that would be something
20 you could ask Specialist Zuchetto if she prebuilt her
21 evidence labels or not. When we have down time at a
22 scene, they will do this. They will start building their
23 evidence so that when they get back to the office, they
24 can, like, package and stuff like that. So I don't know
25 if she did this or not. That is a question that you would

1 have to ask Dana.

2 Q. I just finished the deposition with Mrs.
3 Zuchetto --

4 A. Okay.

5 Q. -- and she indicated that she, in fact, did
6 build this label at the scene.

7 A. Okay.

8 Q. So what does that mean?

9 A. I'm sorry. I thought I already explained that.

10 It just means that she's building the items of
11 evidence that are being collected. So what she did is
12 that, because we are actually -- the Pinellas County
13 Sheriff's Office is physically collecting -- we're going
14 to go with 141, because this seems to be what we are
15 talking about, which is the vehicle, right? That it is
16 going to be in our custody. So she is building an
17 evidence label so that when it is towed to our facility,
18 it could be -- it could be intaked into our facility which
19 would still maintain the chain of custody.

20 Q. So --

21 A. So I don't know -- again, I'm sorry. I didn't
22 mean to interrupt you, but you might have to ask -- I
23 don't know if you asked Dana did she have that -- did she
24 build that prior so whoever is at the office, they have
25 that physical evidence label to work with. If not, they

1 could look at it in ACISS and know what number the truck
2 is or, you know, any type of evidence.

3 Q. So, at this point, is the vehicle in PCSO
4 custody?

5 A. I'm going to say most likely because she is
6 building the item that we are taking.

7 Q. Very good.

8 And do you know why it doesn't say from Zuchetto
9 to -- excuse me. Yes, from Mrs. Zuchetto to Lieutenant
10 Gross, this entry?

11 A. No.

12 MR. KOSOWSKI: Mr. Vonderheide, can we please go
13 to page 479?

14 BY MR. KOSOWSKI:

15 Q. All right. Do you see, Mrs. D'Jimas, in the
16 middle of the screen Item 141 again?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. This is the second entry for that truck in the
19 ACISS Report. It's at 4:48 a.m. on 3/24/23. And on the
20 right-hand side of this report it says, From no one, to
21 Dana Zuchetto. And it says -- after Zuchetto it says,
22 Bin: VPG FSD vehicle processing garage.

23 What does that mean?

24 A. Is it okay if I open my own ACISS right now?
25 It's very hard for me to read this because it is not how I

1 normally read it when I look at it.

2 Is that okay?

3 Q. Of course it is.

4 A. Okay. Can you all still see me if I'm on my
5 screen?

6 Q. I can see you in the car. Yes, I can see you.

7 A. So I'm looking at this and -- okay. So it looks
8 like it was -- item collected. So it looks like she built
9 the evidence label at 3:27, and then when she was about
10 to -- it's kind of weird. So it looks like then 4:48 to
11 5:52 to Supervisor Stropes.

12 So it looks like, at that time, when they
13 started to do a chain of custody into the item received,
14 so it would have been taken into the garage and then
15 transferred into Kristen's custody at 5:52 a.m. because
16 she's on days. Yeah.

17 Q. Okay. Going back to the 4:48 entry.

18 A. Uh-huh.

19 Q. What does this mean?

20 A. So it looks like that -- because it's still in
21 Dana's custody because she collected it. So ACISS is,
22 like, because sometimes, even for us to receive stuff, it
23 would be a transfer from myself to myself. It doesn't
24 really make that much sense, but it is how the system
25 works.

1 So, in this case, it looks like she built it,
2 and then it looks like during the transfer process, I
3 don't know if at 4:48, it looks like it was being received
4 into the FSD garage into that location the Bin: VPG, and
5 then it looks like, after it was being intaken in, it
6 looks like it was transferred from Dana to Kristen to
7 maintain the chain of custody.

8 Q. Okay. But Lieutenant Gross is not mentioned
9 anywhere?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Why is that?

12 A. Hold on. Let me get back to you. I've got to
13 find you.

14 A number of reasons. A lot of times we'll just
15 put in our report that we took -- because what we'll do is
16 for law enforcement when they do follow with the vehicle,
17 we could just usually notate in a report that we received
18 custody from so-and-so. Like, in this case, Lieutenant
19 Gross followed the vehicle back to the garage and I
20 secured it into our facility. So we'll document it that
21 way.

22 Q. So this 4:48 timestamp, does that mean that
23 somebody -- somebody's badge triggered the garage at the
24 FSD processing garage, or is this something that would be
25 on a log that you previously said?

1 A. No. So it -- ACISS is not -- is not key coded.
2 That is two totally separate systems. One is a security
3 system and this is a report writing system. ACISS is
4 something that, like, as we built stuff that the times
5 appear. Key code access is going to be completely
6 different.

7 So to get that, we have to run a report for
8 badge access. It will show -- for this case, you can run
9 badge access for the VPG, which is our garage, for that
10 particular date, and you can see every single person that
11 badged into that facility and what time.

12 Q. So this entry, it wasn't badged in because
13 that's --

14 A. No, it's not saying it's badged. Correct,
15 that's not a badge.

16 Q. Is this something that Mrs. Zuchetto would have
17 inputted herself into the ACISS system? Would have typed
18 in on a keyboard into the ACISS system?

19 A. Would she type in what? I'm sorry.

20 Q. This entry.

21 A. So what they were doing is essentially it -- the
22 way it looks to me on this is it looks like it went from
23 Dana's custody into Kristen's custody and it was secured
24 in the garage. So when Kristen conducted it, they would
25 have conducted a custody transfer from Dana to Kristen at

1 the correct facility.

2 Q. I'm just trying to figure out when the truck got
3 to the FSD garage.

4 A. And that is where you need to refer to -- you
5 could refer to the key card access and then also our log
6 book which will show what time -- because the tow truck
7 company would have had to -- we would have had to complete
8 that log, it's a visitor log book, that will show who
9 entered and what time.

10 Q. Okay. So the 4:48, we don't know if -- we can't
11 come to any conclusions as to whether the truck was there
12 at the FSD garage at that time?

13 A. I would have to look at everything. I was not a
14 part of this. I mean, I'm just giving you generalities
15 for answers without being able to research to see the key
16 code access, who entered the garage, what time. I'm just
17 going off of this.

18 Q. Okay.

19 A. I haven't talked to Kristen or Dana to find out,
20 did they speak? I don't know.

21 Q. And the 5:52 a.m. time that you alluded to
22 earlier, what does the 5:52 allude to?

23 A. I've got to get back to my screen. So the 5:52
24 is the custody transfer from Dana to Kristen, which is,
25 for me, I'm looking at it as that it is now secured in our

1 facility.

2 Q. Understood.

3 How is it possible that Specialist Zuchetto
4 transferred the car to Stropes if she was not herself at
5 the FSD garage?

6 A. What I was trying to explain earlier is that,
7 because it was in Dana's custody when she collected it,
8 we -- it was maintained in Dana's custody because she
9 built it, and then it went to the garage, then that is
10 when Kristen in-took the vehicle in our facility.

11 We would notate in a report, typically, because
12 we're not physically following it back at this time,
13 because Dana is probably still at the scene with me. So
14 they would notate that it was -- it was transferred. It
15 was followed by whoever. This case, again, was Lieutenant
16 gross. And then Kristen should denote that she met him or
17 something at the garage and in-took, like, the vehicle
18 into inventory.

19 Q. Okay.

20 A. Or for a chain of custody.

21 MR. KOSOWSKI: Mr. Vonderheide, would you mind
22 going to page 461?

23 BY MR. KOSOWSKI:

24 Q. Mrs. D'Jimas, I have my eye on the clock. I
25 see. This is going to be real quick.

1 A. No, you're still okay.

2 Q. Do you see Item 141 in the middle of the screen?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. It says, Item transferred at 5:52 on 3/24/23,
5 from Zuchetto to Stropes. This is what we just previously
6 talked about, correct?

7 A. Yes, that's correct.

8 Q. My question to you is: Why doesn't it say from
9 Gross to Stropes?

10 A. As I said, it was maintained in Dana's custody,
11 and then it could have been transferred to Gross's
12 custody. Typically, we do not do that for certified law
13 enforcement. We just keep it in our forensic custody,
14 then we denote in a report who followed a vehicle back or
15 who we took it from.

16 So if it doesn't show in ACISS, we denote it in
17 our narratives. I don't know if that was denoted.

18 Q. Just one last question, ma'am.

19 A. You're fine.

20 Q. So this ACISS Report, it seems like it doesn't
21 truly show an accurate chain of custody because it leaves
22 Lieutenant Gross out of the picture.

23 Would you agree with that?

24 A. Yes.

25 MR. VONDERHEIDE: I'm going to object to the

1 form of the question. That's argumentative.

2 THE WITNESS: Okay.

3 MR. KOSOWSKI: Mrs. D'Jimas, thank you very much
4 for your time. I really appreciate it. I hope I got
5 you out on time.

6 MR. VONDERHEIDE: No questions.

7 MS. SPADARO: No questions.

8 (Deposition concluded at 10:10 a.m, and reading
9 was reserved.)

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CERTIFICATE OF OATH

STATE OF FLORIDA)

COUNTY OF PINELLAS)

I, the undersigned authority, certify that
REBECA J'DIMAS appeared before me via Zoom
Videoconferencing on the 28th day of August, 2026, and
was duly sworn.

WITNESS my hand and official seal this 28th day
of August, 2026.

CHARLENE M. EANNEL, RPR
Stenographic Court Reporter
Notary Public
State of Florida at large
My Commission # HH 823102
Expires August 18, 2030

REPORTER'S DEPOSITION CERTIFICATE

STATE OF FLORIDA)

COUNTY OF PINELLAS)

I, CHARLENE M. EANNEL, RPR, Stenographic Court Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the deposition of REBECA D'JIMAS; that a review of the transcript WAS requested; and that the transcript, pages 1 through 25, is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes.

I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.

DATED this 9TH day of SEPTEMBER, 2026.

CHARLENE M. EANNEL, RPR

WITNESS: REBECA D'JIMAS

CASE: STATE OF FLORIDA VS. TOMASZ KOSOWSKI

CASE NO: 2023-CF-2935

DATE: August 28, 2026

After you have read your transcript, please note any errors in transcription on this page. Do not mark on the transcript itself. Please sign and date this sheet as indicated below. If additional lines are required for corrections, attach additional sheets. If no corrections, please indicate "None."

PAGE	LINE	ERROR OR AMENDMENT	REASON
1	1		
1	2		
1	3		
1	4		
1	5		
1	6		
1	7		
1	8		
1	9		
1	10		
1	11		
1	12		
1	13		
1	14		
1	15		
1	16		
1	17		
1	18		
1	19		
1	20		
1	21		
1	22		
1	23		
1	24		
1	25		
1	26		
1	27		
1	28		
1	29		
1	30		
1	31		
1	32		
1	33		
1	34		
1	35		
1	36		
1	37		
1	38		
1	39		
1	40		
1	41		
1	42		
1	43		
1	44		
1	45		
1	46		
1	47		
1	48		
1	49		
1	50		
1	51		
1	52		
1	53		
1	54		
1	55		
1	56		
1	57		
1	58		
1	59		
1	60		
1	61		
1	62		
1	63		
1	64		
1	65		
1	66		
1	67		
1	68		
1	69		
1	70		
1	71		
1	72		
1	73		
1	74		
1	75		
1	76		
1	77		
1	78		
1	79		
1	80		
1	81		
1	82		
1	83		
1	84		
1	85		
1	86		
1	87		
1	88		
1	89		
1	90		
1	91		
1	92		
1	93		
1	94		
1	95		
1	96		
1	97		
1	98		
1	99		
1	100		

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, and I subscribe to its accuracy, to include the corrections or amendments noted above or hereto attached.

REBECA D'JIMAS

DATE _____

SIGNATURE SHEET

CASE NO: 2023-CF-2935

STATE OF FLORIDA,,

VS.

TOMASZ KOSOWSKI,

DEFENDANT.

_____/

I, REBECA D'JIMAS, declare that I have read the foregoing pages of my deposition taken in the above-captioned matter, and except for the corrections or amendments indicated on the Errata Sheet attached for such purposes, I hereby subscribe to the accuracy of this transcript.

REBECA D'JIMAS_____
DATE