IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA

Case Number: 21-01099CFANO

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CORNELIUS TREVON WHITFIELD,

Defendant.

DEPOSITION OF: NOEL AGUDO, MD

TAKEN BY: Counsel for the Defendant

DATE: February 13, 2025

TIME: 2:00 p.m. - 2:36 p.m.

PLACE: Medical Examiner Office

District 6 - Ulmerton Road

Largo, FL

REPORTED BY: Tamara M. Pacheco, RPR

Notary Public, State of FL

Pages 1 - 31

APPEARANCES

THOMAS KOSKINAS, ESQUIRE
THEODORA TAKTIKOS-DANZIG, ESQUIRE
Assistant State Attorneys
14250 49th Street North
Clearwater, Florida 34620
Attorneys for the State of Florida

JULIA SEIFER-SMITH, ESQUIRE
MARGARET RUSSELL, ESQUIRE
LAMARK MCGREEN, ESQUIRE
Assistant Public Defenders
14250 49th Street North
Clearwater, Florida 34620
Attorneys for the Defendant

ALSO PRESENT:

District 6 Medical Students

INDEX

NOEL AGUDO, MD		PAGE:
Examination by Ms	. Seifer-Smith	4
Examination by Ms	. Russell	26
Examination by Mr	. Koskinas	26
Examination by Ms	. Seifer-Smith	28
Certificate of Oa	th	29
Certificate of Re	oorter	30
Errata/Signature	Page	31

EXHIBITS

(No exhibits were marked for identification.)

1	WHEREUPON,
2	NOEL AGUDO, M.D.
3	(the deponent herein, being first duly sworn, was examined
4	and testified as follows:)
5	EXAMINATION
6	BY MS. SEIFER-SMITH:
7	Q Can you please state your name for the record.
8	A Yes. My name is Dr. Noel Agudo.
9	Q We're here in the matter of Cornelius Whitfield.
10	Our court case number is 21-01099CF. My name is Julia
11	Seifer-Smith. Together with Margaret Russell and Lamark
12	McGreen, we represent Mr. Whitfield. I have a medical
13	I'm sure you're looking at the case number. It's
14	different for your office.
15	A Yes.
16	Q It is M21-00354. Is that what you have on your
17	paperwork?
18	A Yes. That is correct.
19	MS. SEIFER-SMITH: Also present are two
20	attorneys from the State Attorney's office. Do you
21	want to
22	MR. KOSKINAS: Tom Koskinas. Nice to meet you.
23	MS. TAKTIKOS-DANZIG: Theodora Taktikos-Danzig.
24	THE DEPONENT: Hello.
25	Q (By Ms. Seifer-Smith) Was there anything that

1 you reviewed in connection with your work on this case 2 before you were sworn in by our court reporter? Just my report, the photos, toxicology, and the 3 Α 4 death investigator's report. So that's probably a total of about 11 pages. 5 6 Does that seem right? 7 Probably. Α I know your report is five pages, and then there 8 0 9 are a few more for the others. It looks like toxicology is three pages 10 Yeah. and then -- oh, my body diagram is in here, and then two 11 pages of the investigator's report. 12 13 Having reviewed the items that you mentioned, 14 did that jog your memory as to your involvement in the 15 case? 16 Α Yes. 17 Do you actually, like, independently remember Q 18 this case and the work that you did? 19 I do because I remember the projectile was just Α kind of interesting. 20 21 Oh, okay. It's not often I feel like you say Q that you remember these, so that's pretty cool. 22 So can you tell me how you got involved in this 23 24 particular case?

25

Α

Yes.

So I was the pathologist on for the day,

and so this case came in during -- while I was on call and 1 2 so it fell to me. was there anybody who worked with you in terms 3 Q 4 of like doing the autopsy itself? Yes. We have autopsy technicians. Yeah. 5 Those are the people who would be with me. 6 So just the technicians. Nobody else? No 7 0 medical students like we have present today for the 8 9 deposition? 10 I'm not sure if there were that day. Α might have been medical students. But typically -- yeah, 11 12 I can't remember if there were medical students or not. Okay. So I guess let's maybe walk through your 13 14 five page report. Or actually, I guess before you start 15 work, was there anything that you received from anybody else in terms of information, conversation, documents, 16 17 whatever before you started your work? 18 Just everything from the investigator, which Α 19 would have been in his report. Okay. So you get that actual, like, 20 investigation report before you start your work? 21 22 Typically the summary. He's finishing up his Α report as I'm doing the autopsy, but he informs me of all 23 the information that is going into his report that morning 24

25

of.

Okav. And so what kind of information was that 1 0 2 in this case? 3 Just of the circumstances, what he knows as to Α 4 what happened. Okay. And so you said you have that before you 5 0 start your work? 6 7 Yes. Α Okay. And what was the first thing that you did 8 0 9 in this case? So I'll also review the autopsy or the morque 10 Α 11 photos at my desk. Oh, prior to starting your work? 12 13 Prior to starting because they'll take standard 14 pictures before we start. 15 Q Okay. And then after that is when I go back into the 16 17 morgue, and I'll begin my autopsy beginning with the external examination where I will document, you know, all 18 19 the physical characteristics and injuries, scars, tattoos, those kind of things. 20 21 And when you say you review the technician's Q photos, are those like the external photos then? Is that 22 what you mean? 23 Yes, the external photos and also, sorry, the 24

investigator also loads -- if there is a scene, which in

25

this case there was, they load the scene photos as well. 1 2 So I review those before doing the autopsy as well. Okay. Do you happen to know how many 3 0 4 photographs there were taken by your office in this case? 5 By our office? So you mean in the morque? 6 well, I mean by like folks from the medical Q examiner's, like either the investigator or technician. 7 8 I don't know off the top of my head. 9 go -- in the file it will tell me. well, I guess you said that you reviewed your --10 Q whatever photographs were available to you before coming 11 in today. 12 13 Α Yes. 14 I'm just looking back at my file and I looked at 0 this over lunch, which I guess is a little dark, but I 15 don't recall seeing any photographs of an internal 16 I'm assuming in the course of like 17 examination. 18 extracting the projectile that you would have had to do 19 some internal examination. Yeah. I did not take any internal pictures in 20 21 this exam. 22 So it wasn't like those were omitted. They just Q 23 were never taken. 24 Correct. 25 Okay. So I think we've covered what you Q

reviewed before you got started kind of on your work. 1 IS 2 that fair? 3 Α Yes. Yes. 4 Okay. So why don't you walk me through what you 0 5 then did after your review of whatever information was 6 available to you. Okay. So then, like I said, I went back there 7 Α and started the autopsy with the external examination, and 8 9 I documented everything here in my report under the 10 external examination. In terms of your observations during the 11 Q external examination, was there anything that appeared to 12 you as though there had been a physical struggle? 13 14 Let me just review here. No, I didn't see Α anything that -- you know, any other injuries on the skin 15 that would be consistent with a struggle. 16 17 I mean, I think you documented some older 18 injuries, like scars, things like that, but nothing like 19 newer abrasions? 20 Α No. And in terms of the fingernails, it looked like 21 Q they had been cut. Would those have been cut before you 22 ever started, before you ever saw the body? 23 They -- they typically do that. So if, you 24

25

know, the pictures are being taken, the nails are cut at

that time, and they take it for forensics. 1 2 So like in terms of documenting whether or not 3 anybody has any torn fingernails, that would have been 4 documented on the scene of the actual homicide itself 5 before the body is transported to your office. Is that right? 6 I mean, we take pictures of the hands at the 7 Α scene, and at autopsy they'll take pictures. So anything 8 9 there will be documented. Okay. But in terms of like your review of your 10 Q report, the photographs, you saw, like, nothing to 11 indicate that there was any kind of physical struggle as 12 far as you're aware? 13 14 As far as I'm aware, no. Okay. And so you documented, like, your 15 Q 16 external observations, the tattoos, and then it looks like the evidence of the injury itself. 17 18 Α Yes. 19 Okay. So tell me about this in terms of your 0 20 observations, your conclusions. 21 Do you want me to describe the injury and 22 everything involved with that? 23 0 Yes.

JTP REPORTING (727)422-8287

head. We had shaved the wound to get a better look at it,

So he had an entrance wound on the back of his

24

25

and there was just a partial abrasion collar around it. There was no searing, soot, or gunpowder stippling or muzzle imprint that I saw.

Q What does that mean to you in terms of the partial abrasion?

A So we see abrasions — it just tells me it's an entrance wound, because those are characteristics of an entrance wound. The other things that I mentioned can help us determine at what distance the gun was held at when it was fired, and because it had none of those features that I look for — you typically don't see those features beginning at three feet or beyond.

Q Okay. So it sounds as though the projectile exiting the barrel of the firearm would have been at least three feet from the back of this person's head?

A Yes.

Q Does the abrasion and the location of the abrasion collar that you saw, does that give any kind of indication as to directionality of the projectile at the time that it made contact with the skin?

A Let's see. From 6 o'clock to 12 o'clock. I mean, in this case, it's just consistent with the bullet entering the body. So the abrasion is -- I mean, it helps you to tell an entrance wound. If the exit wound, you know, looks like it could be an entrance wound, typically

the exit wounds don't have any abrasions, whereas entrance wounds would.

Q I guess my question is, like, since the collar is not total, like it doesn't go all the way around the wound itself if I'm reading that correctly, and maybe I'm not.

A Uh-huh. Let me see here. Top of the head. In this case --

Q And I'm happy --

A I think I know what you're saying. So we have an abrasion wound from -- if you think of the wound as a clock, from 6:00 o'clock from 12:00 o'clock, so on that left side of the clock. So that would be suggestive of the wound maybe having a slight left to right trajectory, which is consistent with where I found the projectile in the right cerebral hemisphere. So I had the wound it looks like right at the posterior, so from the middle to slightly right.

Q Okay. So does that, the abrasion collar being on the left side of the wound indicate that the left side of the bullet would have struck the skin slightly ahead of the right side of the projectile or just from that direction?

A I think it's more consistent just with that direction.

1 Q Okay.

A I'm not sure about, you know, the timing of it.

Q Okay. So in terms of the projectile entering this person's body or striking the skin of this person, it was just from at least three feet away and slightly to the left of where the wound is encountered. Is that a fair statement?

A Say that one more time.

Q Sure. So the projectile was fired from at least three feet away?

A Uh-huh.

Q And slightly to the left of where that wound was encountered?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And then I think you were looking at your report a little bit further down in terms of the path of the wound. Is that something that you tracked?

A Yes. So I mentioned the direction of the path in that last part of the injury. So I typically only give directionality if it is obvious. So the obvious one here is back to front. Again, I didn't give any left to right directionality because if there was, it's slight. But like I said, there is — based on this, there is — there is consistency with it being slightly left to right.

Q Okay. Is there anything in terms of like when

you're looking at somebody's head, like -- I don't know -- down or up for the directionality of the wound? I don't know if it's helpful to talk about where the projectile and fragments were ultimately found.

A I mean, yeah, those are helpful because we know where the bullet went in and where I found it. So that gives, you know, slight directionality. The head is not -- it's not as large as say like from here to here, so we're working like this. (Indicating).

Q Sorry, just for our record, you indicated from the shoulder to kind of the abdomen, from the right side to the left side. So we're working within a small space.

A Yes.

Q And that small space has something very soft in it?

A Yes.

Q So is it more difficult to determine the directionality of a wound within that small space?

A I mean, it is because there is no exit wound here. When we have exit wounds, it's easier to tell obviously, but here I only say -- I only mention what was obvious, which is back to front. Other directionalities that aren't as obvious, I don't really mention those.

Q Okay. This was pretty obviously a catastrophic injury to Mr. Barnes?

1 A Yes.

Q Okay. Would it have been immediately fatal?

A I think it would have been rapid for sure.

Q When you say "rapid" --

A Well, it's -- I wouldn't say immediate just because the structures that were affected weren't like super vital for function. They're important, but it's not going to be immediately incapacitating. I would say rapid just because you have structures that are involved predominantly in motor control, some movement, and maybe some learning and memory, but also -- so just those structures alone I would say is not immediately. That's why I say rapid.

Looking at the scene pictures, there's a great amount of blood at the scene. So I think it -- death was likely due to a combination of bleeding out and then the fact that he was shot in the back of the head. And the structures that I mentioned is very close to the brainstem, and I think the impact of the gun -- of the bullet going in, you know, has some shock wave to the brainstem. That is, you know, a vital part of the brain. That is in charge of you breathing and also heart rate and vital functions like that.

Q Why don't you talk a little bit about what the projectile did in terms of the damage that it did when it

1 entered Mr. Barnes' skull.

A Sure. So it went in at the back of the head at the occipital bone. So that's the bone at the back of your head, and it then goes through the right cerebral hemisphere.

Q What is associated with that part of the brain?

A Let's see.

Q In terms of function. I'm sorry. Because you were talking about the different structures and what they're capable of.

A Sorry. I should have said right cerebellar hemisphere. So this is the cerebellum. Cerebellum is going to be mainly important in motor control, balance, and movement. And then it goes on into the right occipital lobe and — it's kind of hard to do without, like, a picture.

So I don't know if you know what your brain looks like. You have this, the big part that we all know that's up here, and you have the smaller lobe that's kind of underneath that. So the cerebellum is that smaller lobe that I just described. So now it's moving on frontwards toward the bigger part of the brain, which is — it goes next through the right occipital lobe, which is in the back part. That is in charge of visual processing. Then it goes on into the right temporal lobe,

which is kind of on the side of the brain, and that is in charge of processing audio information from your ears, and also it has some role in, like, language understanding. And then from there it goes to the right parietal lobe, which is right next to the right temporal lobe, just in situ it, and that is in charge of processing information from all the five senses. And that is, right, end of the track. So that is where I would have recovered the projectile.

And then also, some other associated injuries were some little hemorrhages in the -- again, in that cerebellar, that small part in the back of the head, and then the pons, which is a part of the brainstem or adjacent to the brainstem. And then also, there's small hemorrhages there in the left basal ganglia, which are deeper in the main part of the brain, and then the left hippocampus, which is just underneath what I just described, the basal ganglia.

Q Now, earlier you had described the projectile maybe not directly hitting the brainstem but certainly impacting the brainstem because of the location of the entrance. Am I saying that --

A Yes.

Q And I think you described that there would have very, very likely been pretty dire effects as a result,

like, to Mr. Barnes' ability to continue to breathe and 1 like those kind of basic functions. Is that right? 2 3 Α Yes. 4 So is it possible that he stopped breathing 0 5 while his body continued to exsanguinate? I mean, it's possible, yes. I don't really see 6 the majority of the blood, you know, leaving the body for 7 8 too much longer after -- well, after the heart stops 9 beating because once the heart stops beating, then the blood is not going to be pumping. Then any motion out of 10 the body would just be kind of passive. You're talking 11 12 about breathing, correct? Yes. Well, my question was about breathing. 13 14 I think if the breathing stopped, then a Yeah. lot of the -- all the other functions of the body would 15 shortly follow after that. It's not going to take a long 16 17 amount of time. Could the breathing stop before the heart stops? 18 Q 19 This one -- I mean, if the brainstem was affected, at that point if it knocked out the breathing, 20 21 then I think it's reasonable to say that the heart would shortly follow. I don't know if it would be at the same 22 time. 23

you said that you saw the scene photographs as well.

24

25

And in terms of like your view of the -- because

1 Α Yes. 2 Did that appear kind of consonant with what you 0 observed in terms of the injuries? Like a single shot. 3 4 Mr. Barnes falls down, and then moves not at all after 5 that. 6 Α Yes. Okay. And there were -- you noted the skin 7 0 around his eyes -- this is the second paragraph under 8 external examination -- is edematous. I probably did not 9 10 pronounce that correctly. It's swollen. 11 Let me see where you're at. Oh, edematous, yes. Α 12 Edematous. I was close. What do you think was 0 the cause of that? Was it just the -- I guess the 13 14 location of the body? I mean, I can't say for certain. There could 15 Α have been multiple -- I mean, I don't know that I can 16 17 pinpoint any one cause of it. You didn't see any kind of bruising to the 18 Q 19 occipital -- like no bruising to his skin around that area, just the swelling? 20 No bruising. Just the swelling. 21 Α would it be consistent with how his body was 22 Q 23 located? You know, he was facedown at the time. The injury was to the back of his head. Is that consistent 24

with the pooling?

25

1 2 3 4 with that. 5 0 way, the swelling around his eyes? 6 7 Α 8 me anything. 9 0 10 11 12 13 14 15 Α 16 17 18 Q 19 20 21 22 23 out at that point. 24 0 25 case in particular because of the projectile. Can you

I mean, it would definitely aid in -- the position would aid in the pooling of fluid in that area. I don't see it all the time, but it could be consistent Is that like a remarkable observation in any To me, I don't -- I mean, it doesn't really tell Okay. And I think maybe at this point we can talk a little bit about -- so in terms of your documentation of like the structures that were damaged by the gunshot wound, how did you make those determinations as to like what structures were damaged? Did you go like step-by-step through the brain? How did that work? So, basically, when I remove the brain, I just slice it like a bread loaf and lay it all out, and I can see, you know, where the track of the bullet went. Okay. And were you able -- is this when you recovered the projectile, or was that before or after? I don't know if I can say for certain. It would have been either at the step where I'm dissecting the brain or when I took the brain out and if it had fallen Okay. And you said that you remembered this

```
tell me about that, why it was remarkable?
 1
 2
               Oh, just because the projectile -- I think it's
     the only case I had where the projectile, it has like the
 3
 4
     floral kind of look to it.
               Yes. It's pretty dramatic on the x-ray.
 5
          Q
 6
               Yeah.
          Α
               So it looks like it was, like, one pretty large
 7
          0
     fragment and two smaller fragments that were recovered.
 8
 9
     Is that fair?
10
          Α
               Yes. Yes.
11
               And where in the brain did you recover it?
          Q
12
               So I say the right side. The right cerebral
          Α
13
     hemisphere.
14
               Okay. And it looks like you pulled all three
          Q
     fragments out. Is that right?
15
16
          Α
               Yes.
17
               And the photograph of that, is that something
          Q
18
     that you did or something that the technician would have
19
     done?
               Of just the projectile outside of the --
20
          Α
21
               Yeah.
          Q
               The technicians would have done that.
22
          Α
               And then do you know what happens with those
23
          Q
24
     items?
                     So they're placed in the envelope that
25
          Α
               Yes.
```

```
it's photographed with, and then I sign and seal it, and
 1
 2
     then our technicians will place it into the evidence
 3
     locker.
 4
               Okay. Anything else with regard to the autopsy
          0
     and the autopsy report that you think we should go over?
 5
 6
     Anything else remarkable?
 7
               I don't think so.
          Α
 8
               Did you do any further, like, internal
          0
 9
     examination, or was it just of the brain?
               I mean, I did a full autopsy, the torso --
10
          Α
11
               But nothing else was remarkable?
          Q
12
          Α
               No.
               And then you -- the other thing that you
13
          0
14
     generated was the body diagram. Is that right?
15
          Α
               Yes.
16
               It looks like there is a couple of different
     handwritings on there. Does that seem right to you? Like
17
18
     up at the top, I think there's some a little different.
               Yes. So my handwriting is all that stuff -- if
19
          Α
20
     I can show you.
21
          Q
               Sure.
               Basically in the middle. Our technicians will
22
    write the date and time and then the organ weights.
23
24
               That's them?
          Q
25
          Α
               Yes.
```

```
And so you have all the notations on the actual
 1
          Q
 2
     kind of body section of the chart. Is that right?
 3
          Α
               Yes.
 4
               Can you tell me what those initials are, like
          0
     the H, E, E, N, T, S, things like that?
 5
 6
               That's for me. I usually write that down for
 7
     basically all the head observations. H is hair. E is
     eyes. The other E is ears. N is nasal/septum. And T is
 8
 9
     teeth.
10
               Okay. And is that an S at the bottom?
          Q
               Yeah, S. I guess I was still doing that at the
11
          Α
12
     time.
13
               What is that?
          Q
14
               I think that's just skin.
          Α
               And it looks like nothing notable regarding the
15
          Q
16
     skin?
17
               Yeah.
          Α
               And on the diagram itself, I think those are Ms?
18
          Q
19
               Yeah. So that just for me is monochromatic
20
     tattoo.
21
               Got it. Is that a -- are those all Ms?
          0
22
               Yeah.
          Α
               And then is that an ENT for entrance when you're
23
          Q
     describing the wound?
24
               Correct. So that's the wound and then all my
25
          Α
```

```
1
     measurements associated with it.
 2
               Okay. And we've already discussed all the
          0
     things you see in terms of your notations here?
 3
 4
          Α
               Yes.
                      So -- okay. So the Case Information
 5
          Q
               Okay.
     Summary, is that something that you generate, or is that
 6
     something that's kind of generated by everybody involved
 7
     in the investigation, like your investigator, yourself,
 8
 9
     the technician?
10
               With which --
          Α
               It's a two page document called Case Information
11
          0
12
     Summary generated 2/20/21.
13
          Α
               Can I --
14
               Uh-huh.
          Q
15
               MR. KOSKINAS: I think this is what she was
          referring to.
16
17
               Okay. So, yeah, this looks like it's generated
     by the -- this looks like information that the death
18
19
     investigator would have entered into the system.
20
               So you wouldn't necessarily have anything to do
          0
21
    with this?
22
          Α
               NO.
               Okay. And in terms of the readying anything
23
          Q
     like the detective wants, the projectile, any buccal swabs
24
     or anything like that, do you handle that, or is that
25
```

```
something done by either the technician or the
 1
     investigator?
 2
               Like actually taking or --
 3
 4
               Yeah. Like preparing, making sure everything is
          0
     labeled appropriately, is that something that is your
 5
     responsibility, or is that something somebody else in your
 6
     office --
 7
 8
               Our technicians.
          Α
 9
               Okay. And you said that you also reviewed a
          Q
     toxicology report?
10
11
          Α
               Yes.
               Okay. Can you talk to me about that?
12
          Q
               Yes. So his toxicology came back negative, so
13
14
     nothing -- no drugs of abuse were found in the
     examination.
15
               Okay. And you are not a toxicologist.
16
          0
     gets sent to somebody else within the office?
17
18
               Correct.
          Α
19
               And then it makes it -- the report makes it back
          Q
     into your file. Is that right?
20
21
          Α
               Yes.
               And I'm assuming, but correct me if I'm wrong,
22
          Q
     that the toxicology work is not done in advance of the
23
     autopsy work that you do?
24
25
          Α
               No.
```

```
1
               MS. SEIFER-SMITH: Okay. I don't think I have
 2
          any other questions.
               MR. MCGREEN: No questions from me.
 3
 4
                             EXAMINATION
 5
     BY MS. RUSSELL:
               I'm just curious how long the autopsy took.
 6
          Q
               I mean, for something like this, I typically
 7
          Α
 8
     average 45 minutes to an hour.
 9
               MS. RUSSELL: Thank you.
               MS. SEIFER-SMITH: Any questions, State?
10
11
                             EXAMINATION
12
     BY MR. KOSKINAS:
               Do you take into account the toxicology report
13
          0
14
     before ultimately opining about the cause and manner of
     death?
15
16
               Not in a case like this.
          Α
17
               Okay. Why?
          Q
18
               Because we have the cause of death.
          Α
19
               So, obvious. Is that why?
          0
20
               Correct.
21
               Can you articulate for us to a reasonable degree
          Q
     of medical and scientific certainty the cause and manner
22
23
     of death in this case?
               Yes. Cause of death was gunshot wound of head
24
25
     and manner was homicide.
```

```
Counsel asked you about internal
 1
               Okay.
          Q
 2
     photographs, and we had talked about x-rays. There were
     some x-rays taken, right?
 3
 4
               Yes.
          Α
                      So when -- I think the answer was no,
 5
          Q
               Yeah.
     there were no internal photographs. You're excepting any
 6
     kind of x-ray that depicts the interior of the body.
 7
 8
          Α
               Yes.
 9
               Right?
          Q
10
               Yes.
          Α
               Is this the only -- what I'm showing you, is
11
          Q
     this the only x-ray that was taken that you're aware?
12
13
          Α
               Yes.
14
               That's it?
          0
               So whenever there is penetrating injuries, we
15
          Α
     take x-rays where the injury was just to make sure -- to
16
17
     see if there is any retained projectiles or in case of a
     stab wound to see if there's anything there in the body.
18
19
               Okay. Did this assist you in tracking the path
          Q
     of the projectile from the entrance wound to the final
20
21
     resting position?
22
               I mean, yes.
          Α
                             Yes.
               MR. KOSKINAS: Okay. All right. I don't think
23
          I have any other questions. Thank you, sir.
24
25
                             EXAMINATION
```

1	BY MS. SEIFER-SMITH:
2	Q Have you understood all the questions that we've
3	asked you this afternoon?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Have you had an opportunity to answer everything
6	fully and completely?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Anything that you wish to add or change
9	regarding the testimony that you've given today?
10	A No.
11	Q Okay. If something occurs to you and you feel
12	like you need to reopen this conversation, would you just
13	be so kind as to reach out either to the State or to my
14	office to let us know?
15	A Yes.
16	Q At this point, I'll conclude the deposition and
17	reserve your right to read. Thanks very much.
18	(Deposition concludes at 2:36 p.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
1
     COUNTY OF PINELLAS
                             )
 2
     STATE OF FLORIDA
 3
                         CERTIFICATE OF OATH
 4
               I, the undersigned authority, certify that NOEL
 5
     AGUDO, MD personally appeared before me and was duly
 6
 7
     sworn.
               Witness my hand and official seal this 13TH day
 8
 9
     of MARCH, 2025.
10
                                 Tamara M. Pacheco
11
12
                                Tamara M. Pacheco, RPR
                                COMMISSION # 474485
                                EXPIRES: March 30, 2028
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA)
3	COUNTY OF PINELLAS)
4	
5	I, Tamara M. Pacheco, certify that I was
6	authorized to and did stenographically report the
7	Deposition of NOEL AGUDO, MD; that a review of the
8	transcript was requested; and that the transcript is a
9	true and complete record of my stenographic notes.
10	I further certify that I am not a relative,
11	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
12	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
13	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
14	financially interested in the action.
15	Tamara M. Pacheco
16	Tamara M. Pacheco, RPR
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	ERRATA SHEET	
2	IN THE CASE OF: STATE OF FL V. CORNELIUS WHITFIELD	
3	NAME OF DEPONENT: NOEL AGUDO, MD	
4	CASE NUMBER: 21-01099CFANO	
5		
6	Please read the transcript of your deposition.	
7	Please read the transcript of your deposition. If you feel you need to make corrections, please note on this page. DO NOT mark on the transcript itself. Sign and date the transcript below.	
8	Sign and date the transcript below.	
9	PAGE LINE ERROR/AMENDMENT REASON FOR CHANGE	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	Signature	
23		
24	Date	
25		