IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA

Case Number: 21-01099CFANO

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CORNELIUS TREVON WHITFIELD,

Defendant.

DEPOSITION OF: NADINE ULLOA

TAKEN BY: Counsel for the Defendant

DATE: February 13, 2025

TIME: 10:35 a.m. - 10:58 a.m.

PLACE: ZOOM Videoconference

REPORTED BY: Tamara M. Pacheco, RPR

Tamara M. Pacheco, RPR Notary Public, State of FL

Pages 1 - 22

ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE APPEARANCES

TOM KOSKINAS, ESQUIRE
Assistant State Attorney
14250 49th Street North
Clearwater, Florida 34620
Attorney for the State of Florida

JULIA SEIFER-SMITH, ESQUIRE
MARGARET RUSSELL, ESQUIRE
Assistant Public Defenders
14250 49th Street North
Clearwater, Florida 34620
Attorneys for the Defendant

EXHIBITS

(No exhibits were marked for identification.)

1 WHEREUPON, 2 NADINE ULLOA (the deponent herein, being first duly sworn, was examined 3 4 and testified as follows:) 5 **EXAMINATION** 6 BY MS. SEIFER-SMITH: Can you please state your name for the record. 7 0 8 My name is Nadine Ulloa. My last name is Α 9 spelled U-L-L-O-A. 10 Two silent Ls. Love it. Thank you for doing Q 11 that. We're here in the matter of Cornelius Whitfield. 12 Our court case number 21-01099CF. I have as a lab number from the Pinellas County Forensic Lab 21-001019. Is that 13 the lab number that you were associated with in terms of 14 15 your work on the case? 16 Yes. Okay. My name is Julia Seifer-Smith. Together 17 0 18 with Lamark McGreen and Margaret Russell, we are assistant 19 public defenders. We represent Mr. Whitfield in this 20 matter. Also present on the Zoom deposition is Tom 21 Koskinas from the State Attorney's office. 22 Ms. U -- oh, my goodness. ulloa. 23 Α was there anything that you reviewed in 24 Q connection with this case before being sworn in by our 25

court reporter?

A Yes, the report that I looked up.

Q I have a document that's a total of four pages. The report date was April 7, 2021, and it's request number one. Is that the same report that you reviewed?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Were there any other reports that you know of that you wrote in connection with this case?

A At the bottom of this report, it says that there's a related case, but I'm not sure exactly what was in that case. I did ask the lab if I worked that particular case and I did. So I wasn't sure if we wanted to review the items in that lab number or we just wanted to review these items. I don't remember what was reviewed, you know, because I can't -- because I don't have access to the records anymore.

Q Okay. We'll go over all that. I think what we'll do today is we'll just deal with this one report. Because my understanding -- and it sounds like this might be your understanding as well -- is that this is the only report that was done under lab number 21-1019. Is that fair?

A Yes. Yes, that's fair.

Q So we'll get to 21-1523 which is the case that's mentioned at the bottom of this report another day. How's

that? 1 2 Okay. That's fine. You didn't have access to that, so you're not 3 0 4 prepared to talk about that today anyway, right? 5 Yes, that's correct. Okay. Great. So my understanding is you are or 6 Q were a DNA analyst, certainly in 2021. Is that right? 7 8 Α Yes. 9 Okay. Would you mind terribly sending me and/or Q the State a copy of your CV if you have one that's 10 11 updated? 12 Yes. I'm not sure when is the last time I Α 13 updated it, but I can send you a copy. 14 Okav. That would be wonderful. You don't have 0 to do it right this second but just some time soon. 15 So I just want to kind of go over generally what 16 17 your job was in 2021 because you signed this report as a 18 DNA analyst. So what does that mean? 19 So as a DNA analyst, my duties involve examining 20 evidence and then performing and documenting any 21 serological testing. So that's for body fluid, which in 22 the case of the lab was blood and semen. And then as well, as a DNA analyst, I would process a sample for DNA, 23 and then I would compare the results I would get from 24

25

the -- compare and interpret the DNA results I would get

from the evidence samples. 1 2 And I see a couple of letters after your name at Q the signature line, an S-ABC. Can you tell me what that 3 4 means? So that is the certification for molecular 5 biology forensics. It's not a requirement to be a DNA 6 7 analyst, but I did take the certification exam. I believe 8 I was certified up until -- you have to meet certain 9 requirements every year, and you have to be working in the 10 field to maintain that certification. So I'm not exactly sure up until that was valid, but it was valid during this 11 12 report. 13 Okay. And during this time you were an employee 0 at the Pinellas County Forensic Lab. Is that right? 14 15 Α Yes. when did you start with them? 16 Q 17 That was November 2015. Α 18 And when did you leave that laboratory? Q 19 February 2022. Α And can you tell me why you left? 20 Q 21 I had another job. Α Are you still working in the field? 22 Q 23 I work in clinical genetics. I work for a Α company called Myriad Genetics based out of Salt Lake 24 City.

25

Q Interesting. What do they do?

A They do genetic testing for things like cancer, so testing if the patient has cancer or if they're at risk for cancer, as well as for carrier screening for families that are family planning. And that's what I do. I do -- I work on the carrier screening side, reading the medical literature and reporting on different mutations that may be related to different diseases.

Q So it sounds like you're using your biology degree in a different way now.

A Yes.

Q Okay. So that's why you wouldn't necessarily have to retain the same certifications. Is that right?

A Correct. Yeah, I wouldn't have needed those certifications.

Q That sounds very interesting. So I want to talk about the work that you did in this case. So I see a request date of February 9th, 2021. Do you know how the request came to you?

A So, generally, the evidence would get submitted to the evidence section, and that's when the request gets created by the evidence section. And then most of the time the cases would be randomly assigned to different DNA analysts in the unit. If there were related cases, it could be that the supervisor at the time tried to have the

1 same DNA analyst perform the examination for that 2 particular request if they're related to certain things. 3 So that's why there's that indication at the 0 4 bottom that it's a different case number that you did work 5 on? 6 Yes. Α Do you know if you ever spoke with any 7 0 investigators in advance of doing your work on this 8 9 particular case, 1019? It would be in the call log 10 Not that I recall. Α 11 if I did that the lab has, but not that I remember off the 12 top of my head. And the call log is like document communications 13 14 between basically anybody at your laboratory and anybody else regarding this particular case, this particular case 15 16 number. Is that right? Yes. That's where I would keep track of 17 18 speaking to detectives, speaking to attorneys, things like 19 that. Okay. All right. So I guess kind of moving 20 0 into the work that you did, so it looks like on the 21 submission date of February 9, 2021, there were three 22 23 things that were submitted under this case number. that look right to you? 24

25

Α

Yes.

Q Okay. It looks like you have the report in front of you. Is that right?

A Yes.

Q And can you just tell me what those items are and how they're numbered and why they're numbered in that way?

A So generally at the lab, we do a simple sequential one, two, three numbering. You might add some if things are being taken from a particular item. And then, obviously, the submitting agency will have their own item numbers.

So how we document it is we'll say this is Lab Item No. 1. Then we'll put the agency item number in parentheses. Different agencies have different numbering systems. And then, for example, this Item No. 1 for this report was a swab of a casing. In addition to stating what the item is, we'll say what packaging the item came in as well.

Q So just speaking about Item No. 1, it's listed as a swab sleeve containing two swabs from a casing. It sounds like you're receiving just the swabs themselves rather than the item that was swabbed. Is that fair?

A Yes. That's correct. In this case, the agency has swabbed the item, and they just sent in the swabs.

Q So when you're writing swabbed from casing

number fourteen, that's based on information that I'm
assuming was on like the manila envelope indicating what's
inside?
 A Yes.

Q And then you received a fourth item on a separate day, March 2nd, 2021. Is that right?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And so it looks like you don't do any work on this case until after that particular day. Is that correct?

A That was March 2nd. Yes. Well, I don't have my bench notes, but I started the DNA processing March 10th, so yeah.

Q And did you do any, like, serological testing before you did the DNA work?

A There wouldn't have been for this one since this is what's called a touch item. So you're just expecting for skin cells to be on there. There's no serological test for touch DNA. So basically what we would do in the lab is we take the swabs and we would what we would call consume it because it's touch DNA. So you would expect there to be less DNA than say a body fluid like saliva. And then we put that into the tubes prepared — the labeled tubes prepared for that particular item, and that goes forward with the DNA processing.

And when you say consumed, does that mean you're 1 Q 2 using the entirety of the swab? Yes. You would use the whole of the tube swab, 3 Α 4 ves. I'm sorry, just before we go further into the 5 work, on the upper right-hand corner there's a case number 6 and then subject. The case number, is that from the 7 agency itself, like from the St. Petersburg Police 8 9 Department? 10 Α Yes. And then the subject, where does that 11 0 information come from? 12 So when the agency submits the items, the sheet 13 14 contains a list of items. It also has subjects, meaning the people connected to the case, and then at times there 15 16 will be a short case scenario included as well. Sometimes if it's a straight-forward item, some detectives would 17 18 choose not to write anything there, but if it's a 19 complicated case, they might include a short summary of what the case is. 20 And that summary isn't included in your report. 21 Q So that's somewhere in the file itself? 22 Correct, yes. That would be in the submission 23 Α 24 form.

25

Q

So were you asked to do anything in particular

in terms of your work in this case, or do you just receive these and you kind of know what to do?

A Right. Correct. So these would just be processed in the standard operating procedure for these particular types of samples. Yes.

Q So with respect to like -- well, so I guess I'm going to start with three, but three and four were buccal swabs. So there's going to be a presumption that you would be able to develop a profile from those. Is that fair?

A Correct. So if the items are being labeled as buccal swabs by the agency or they're also called known samples, we would expect since that's a swab from the inside of someone's cheek, we would expect there's plenty of DNA on that swab. So actually those swabs, we only need a small amount of the swab to put into the sample tube, and then we process that. And then, yes, we would expect to develop a profile from those.

Q Okay. And so both three and four did result in DNA profiles. Is that right?

A Yes.

Q And did you do any kind of comparison? Like, were they entered into any particular database for confirmation that the profiles were the people that were indicated on the packaging?

A It depends on -- because this report doesn't state victim and suspect. We don't put victims into any type of database. So I'm not sure which one was which here. And also, it would have stated on the reports if it was -- if it had been entered into a database like CODIS.

Q So since there is no indication, it's kind of

Q So since there is no indication, it's kind of best presumption that there was no entry into CODIS?

A At least not for this particular report, this case, yes.

Q And then for Items 1 and 2, there's an indication under your result box that neither resulted in a DNA profile. Can you just explain a little bit about what that means.

A Yes. So every evidence sample goes through a particular process, as well as the known samples, but in terms of the evidence samples, we take the cutting from the buccal -- not the buccal swab, the evidence swab, and then we extract the DNA, and then we quantify the DNA. At that point you should be able to see how much DNA is there because we're comparing it to a known amount of DNA when we're trying to quantify it.

At that point, if you don't see anything or if it's a limited sample -- it does seem like I put this all through the whole process. It says that I did try to amplify it, which would be the step after the

quantification. So at that point if I'm not sure -- if I'm running it and it seems that there is no -- there is no DNA, what I would do is put the maximum amount of the sample to be amplified and then analyzed by the instrumentation, and then from there the software results would either show me DNA evidence or would be blank. So that's what is shown here, that there was no data at the end result.

Q Can you tell me why there was a different process at the very beginning for one and two versus three and four? Like one and two indicate PrepFiler while three and four indicate Chelex.

A Yeah. So for evidence samples, again, you're not expecting -- so the process in the lab for evidence samples is to run it through using a DNA extraction kit called PrepFiler. So that was used to be able to get as much DNA as possible from the cells that would be on that particular sample. And this is a very sensitive process in that, again, we're trying to pick up pretty much like every possible molecule that is in that sample of DNA; whereas Chelex is kind of like -- I would call it like a brute force extraction since we're expecting a lot of DNA. Pretty much all you have to do is add a chemical to the sample, add heat to it -- pretty much you would boil it -- and then you would expect that whatever DNA is coming out

1 of those cells is going to be enough to produce the 2 So that's why we would have two different 3 processes for that. 4 Okay. And so all of that work looks like it was 0 done in March of 2021. Is that right? 5 6 Yes. Α Sorry, I know it's really tiny print. 7 Q Yes. So it was March of 2021. 8 Α 9 Okay. And as far as you're aware, you weren't asked to do anything else with like these particular 10 items, like Items 1, 2, 3, and 4, like further analysis? 11 12 Α Correct. Okay. And I apologize, you did say earlier, but 13 0 14 when did you leave the Pinellas County Forensic Lab? 15 Α February of 2022. 16 Okay. And so up until that point when you left 0 17 there was no additional work that you were asked to do on this case, whether or not it was these particular items? 18 19 Α Correct. Yes. 20 During that time between February of 2021 when 21 this initial request came in and you leaving the office in 22 February of 2022, was there any kind of change that you now recall in terms of the technology that was available 23 to you that would have been available to do additional --24

potentially additional work on these items?

25

During that year, no. It would have been the 1 Α 2 same processes. Obviously, you can't speak to anything that 3 0 4 happened after February of 2022? Yes. Correct. So if they developed something 5 6 new, I don't know about it. 7 Okay. And you don't control like the request or 0 the submission as far as the investigation? Like, that's 8 9 up to the case agent back at the police department. that right? 10 Correct. So they -- I mean, we do have 11 Α submission guidelines or they did. They had submission 12 quidelines at the lab. So I think, depending on the type 13 14 of case, there was a limit to how many items you can submit. If you wanted to submit more items -- again, 15 depending on the type of case -- the detectives would talk 16 17 to the DNA supervisor, and again, if that submission was 18 approved, then it would go usually to the same DNA 19 analyst. I guess the question is, you don't really 20 Q 21 have the ability to go independently into evidence and 22 property and pull things out to analyze them. 23 request has to come from the case agent? 24 Yes. Correct. The lab didn't have access to

the St. Pete evidence.

25

Ι

MS. SEIFER-SMITH: Okay. I don't think I have 1 2 any further questions. Mr. Koskinas or Mr. McGreen, Ms. Russell, any questions? 3 4 MR. KOSKINAS: I do not. Thank you. 5 MR. MCGREEN: No questions from me. Thank you. 6 MS. RUSSELL: Me neither. No questions. (By Ms. Seifer-Smith) Ms. Ulloa, thank you very, 7 0 8 very much for coming today. Really appreciate it. Have 9 you understood all the questions that I've asked you? Yes. 10 Α Have you had the opportunity to answer 11 Q everything fully and completely? 12 13 Α Yes. 14 MS. SEIFER-SMITH: I'm going to suspend the deposition just because I don't have the full file. 15 16 In case something comes up in the file, I'll reach 17 out to you to see if we need to go back on the record 18 for any further questions. 19 I don't anticipate it. I doubt that very much. 20 But I do anticipate in the future we will have to speak to you regarding the other case number, which 21 22 is under a totally different court case number. What we'll do in that event is we'll make sure you have 23 your full file available to you for that work. 24

25

At this point, I'll suspend the deposition.

```
will reserve your right to read. Thank you very
 1
 2
          much.
               THE DEPONENT: Thank you.
 3
                (Deposition suspended at 10:58 a.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
COUNTY OF PINELLAS
 1
                             )
 2
     STATE OF FLORIDA
 3
                         CERTIFICATE OF OATH
 4
               I, the undersigned authority, certify that
 5
     NADINE ULLOA personally appeared before me and was duly
 6
 7
     sworn.
               Witness my hand and official seal this 13TH day
 8
 9
     of MARCH, 2025.
10
                                   Tamara M. Pacheco
11
12
                                 Tamara M. Pacheco, RPR
                                 COMMISSION # 474485
                                 EXPIRES: March 30, 2028
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER		
2	STATE OF FLORIDA)		
3	COUNTY OF PINELLAS)		
4			
5	I, Tamara M. Pacheco, certify that I was		
6	authorized to and did stenographically report the		
7	Deposition of NADINE ULLOA; that a review of the		
8	transcript was requested; and that the transcript is a		
9	true and complete record of my stenographic notes.		
10	I further certify that I am not a relative,		
11	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor		
12	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'		
13	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I		
14	financially interested in the action.		
15	Tamara M. Pacheco		
16	Tamara M. Pacheco, RPR		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1		ERRATA SHEET	
2	IN THE CASE OF: ST	TATE OF FL V. CORNELIUS WHITFIELD	
3	NAME OF DEPONENT: N	ADINE ULLOA	
4	CASE NUMBER: 2	1-01099CFANO	
5			
6	Please read	the transcript of your deposition.	
7	Please read the transcript of your deposition. If you feel you need to make corrections, please note on this page. DO NOT mark on the transcript itself. Sign and date the transcript below.		
8	Sign and date	e the transcript below.	
9	PAGE LINE ERROR/	AMENDMENT REASON FOR CHANGE	
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22		Signature	
23			
24		Date	
25			