EXHIBIT 2

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA

TERRY GENE BOLLEA professionally known as HULK HOGAN,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 12012447 CI-011 VS.

HEATHER CLEM; GAWKER MEDIA, LLC a/k/a GAWKER MEDIA, et al.,

Defendants.

TRANSCRIPT OF: CONFIDENTIAL PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE: Honorable Pamela A.M. Campbell

DATE: April 23, 2014

TIME: 3:20 p.m.

Pinellas County Courthouse 545 First Avenue North Room 300 PLACE:

St. Petersburg, Florida

Natalie W. Breaux, RPR, CRR Notary Public State of Florida at Large REPORTED BY:



APPEARANCES:

KENNETH G. TURKEL, ESQUIRE
Bajo Cuva Cohen & Turkel, P.A.
100 North Tampa Street
Suite 1900
Tampa, Florida 33602
- and CHARLES J. HARDER, ESQUIRE
Harder Mirell & Abrams LLP
1801 Avenue of the Stars
Suite 1120
Los Angeles, California 90057
Appeared for Plaintiff;

SETH D. BERLIN, ESQUIRE Levine Sullivan Koch & Schulz, LLP 1899 L Street Northwest Suite 200 Washington, DC 20036 - and -PAUL J. SAFIER, ESQUIRE Levine Sullivan Koch & Schulz, LLP 1760 Market Street Suite 1001 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 - and -GREGG D. THOMAS, ESQUIRE Thomas & LoCicero PL 601 South Boulevard Tampa, Florida 33606 Appeared for Defendants Gawker Media, LLC, Nick Denton, A.J. Daulerio and for specially appearing Defendant Blogwire Hungary Szellemi Alkotast Hasznosito, KFT

(now known as Kinja, KFT).

```
The transcript of confidential
1
2
      proceedings, before Honorable Pamela A.M. Campbell,
3
      beginning at 1:30 p.m., taken on the 23rd day of
      April, 2014, at 545 First Avenue North, Room 300,
4
      St. Petersburg, Florida, reported by Natalie W.
      Breaux, Registered Professional Reporter, Certified
7
      Realtime Reporter, and Notary Public in and for the
8
      State of Florida at Large.
10
                 MR. HARDER: It was an extortion attempt
11
           where somebody who had the video or a video
           wanted Mr. Bollea to pay them off, and so he
12
13
           reported this to the FBI, and the FBI --
14
                 THE COURT: This is the same tape?
15
                 MR. HARDER: I don't know if it's the
16
           same tape.
                 THE COURT: Same time frame?
17
                 MR. HARDER: It's the same time frame.
18
19
                 MR. BERLIN: It's the same time frame
20
           about an extortion, but it's a Sex Tape.
21
                 MR. HARDER: If I could just finish.
22
                              I'm sorry. I thought you
                 MR. BERLIN:
23
           were.
24
                 MR. HARDER:
                              I wasn't.
                                         So he reported
25
           it to the FBI. The FBI wanted to have a sting
```

1	operation, and they set up a sting operation,
2	and there was an attorney from California
3	named Davidson and a person called Mr. X. And
4	Mr. X was supposed to show up with Mr.
5	Davidson. Well, Mr. X we didn't know the
6	identity of the person instead sent an
7	intermediary and Mr. Davidson, and there was
8	exchanges of information, there was a dummy
9	check for \$150,000. And at the right moment,
10	the FBI had numerous officers go from one room
11	of the hotel into the room that Mr. Bollea and
12	Mr. Houston were in and made arrests at that
13	time.

The AUSA sent a letter to Mr. Berlin saying that Gawker is not in any way being investigated and it seems like they're at the end of the line in terms of the investigation and there is not going to be a prosecution.

But these are documents that pertain to Mr. X and his attorney Davidson, and there was an intermediary who was present instead of Mr. X. And so these communications are communications between Mr. Houston and the FBI and the AUSA pertaining to this investigation. I think that if you look at this you'll see that it

l has	nothing	to do	with	Gawker.
-------	---------	-------	------	---------

2 THE COURT: But I think it still has 3 something to do with the tape.

MR. BERLIN: Your Honor, you asked us earlier in today's hearing if we knew the source of the tape, and I answered candidly, which I wasn't -- I don't know. This obviously seems like a useful piece of information for both sides in the case about this -- you know, in this hundred-million-dollar dispute to have access to it so we can figure out if that's the person who gave it to us and if that has something to do with the case, meanwhile, especially in a claim where they're otherwise asserting that we got it from Heather Clem.

THE COURT: You have other confidential orders in regard to this particular case.

Right?

MR. BERLIN: We have other confidential

-- we have a confidentiality order in place,
and we've produced stuff in the confidence back
and forth. And notwithstanding Mr. Harder's,
you know, criticism of Gawker, Gawker has not
published anything and -- that it's gotten in
discovery in this case, not one thing.

1	THE COURT: You even included that in
2	your papers. See, I do read them. So I think
3	that they are relevant, so I'm not going to
4	say that they're not relevant. I think they
5	are relevant because that's one of the
6	critical aspects I think of the case or at
7	least in resolving the case, is how did Gawker
8	get it and how did this all come about. So
9	I'm going to order that it be discovered but
10	that it be part of the confidentiality
11	agreement between the parties.
12	MR. BERLIN: They can certainly mark it
13	confidential and we will respect that.
14	MR. HARDER: I would request that Gawker
15	it be an attorneys' eyes only designation so
16	that Gawker itself doesn't get these documents,
17	because it can post them.
18	THE COURT: If it posted them, what do
19	you think I'm going to do with that?
20	MR. BERLIN: I think we're going to be
21	in hot water.
22	MR. HARDER: I don't know what you're
23	going to do with it, but they'll take it to
24	the Court of Appeal and they'll say it's
25	newsworthy.

1	THE COURT: I don't think so.
2	MR. BERLIN: I will say on the record
3	that there was no First Amendment right to
4	publish things that you got in discovery.
5	There is a U.S. Supreme Court case on that
6	called Seattle Times versus Rhinehart, and we
7	filed it. So we understand it.
8	But, Your Honor, what I'd like to do is
9	to get a I'd like to be not in a position
10	where my client my direct client is a lawyer
11	in the law department, is the general counsel
12	for Gawker, and I would like them to be
13	included in the attorneys' eyes only. We don't
14	share anything on this case with anybody else
15	at Gawker, precisely for the reason that if
16	it's protected by a confidentiality order
17	THE COURT: You're in trouble.
18	MR. BERLIN: they might do something.
19	No, but they as a client could get it, but we
20	don't do that. So I would like if she is
21	included in that, that would be fine. But I
22	would otherwise respect that.
23	THE COURT: I'm fine with that.
24	MR. HARDER: Okay.
25	THE COURT: Thank you.

1	MR. BERLIN: And you would like us to
2	THE COURT: So he gets two of those.
3	Those are your envelopes to take back. The
4	remainder of the people that aren't here, I'm
5	going to mail them.
6	MR. BERLIN: Okay. So just
7	THE COURT: They get two of those.
8	MR. BERLIN: They get two and I have the
9	others. Thank you, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Great. Thank you.
11	(End of confidential proceedings.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF FLORIDA: COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH:

I, Natalie W. Breaux, Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I reported in shorthand the foregoing proceedings at the time and place therein designated; that the witness herein was duly sworn by me; that my shorthand notes were thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision; and that the foregoing pages are a true and correct, verbatim record of the aforesaid proceedings.

Witness my hand and seal April 25, 2014, in the city of Tampa, County of Hillsborough, State of Florida.

Natalie W. Breaux Notary Public State of Florida at Large