IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA

TERRY GENE BOLLEA professionally
known as HULK HOGAN,

Case No. 12012447 CI-011

Plaintiff,

VS.

GAWKER MEDIA, LLC aka GAWKER MEDIA; NICK DENTON; A.J. DAULERIO,

Defendants.	
	/

PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS TO GAWKER DEFENDANTS' <u>DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS</u>

Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all of his Motions in Limine as objections to any and all deposition designations filed by Gawker Defendants, and subject to said motions, further objects as follows:

Deposition of Plaintiff Terry Bollea, March 6 & 7, 2014, April 9, 2015 (Clearwater, FL) Page: Line	Objections, If Any
6:8 – 20	No objection
14:15 – 17:7	Relevance; Argumentative; Vague and ambiguous; Rule of completeness
17:8 – 17:25	Argumentative; Vague and ambiguous; Rule of completeness
28:3 – 28:6	Rule of completeness
28:16 – 28:24	Vague and ambiguous; Calls for a narrative
30:3 – 31:24	Rule of completeness

Deposition of Plaintiff Terry Bollea,	Objections, If Any
March 6 & 7, 2014, April 9, 2015 (Clearwater, FL)	
Page: Line	7.1.0
41:12 – 42:16	Rule of completeness
42:22 – 43:12	Rule of completeness; Relevance
58:13 – 59:23	Rule of completeness
61:4 – 61:17	Assumes facts not in evidence; Relevance; Rule of completeness
93:9-15	Relevance; Rule of completeness; Prejudicial; Argumentative
128:24 – 129:4	Relevance; Rule of completeness; Prejudicial
	This topic is the subject of Plaintiff's Motions in Limine, including without limitation #17.
129:9 – 130:5	Relevance; Rule of completeness; Prejudicial
	This topic is the subject of Plaintiff's Motions in Limine, including without limitation #17.
134:21	Nonsensical; Relevance; Incomplete
135:4 – 136:19	Relevance; Rule of completeness
	The Hogan Knows Best and Brooke Knows Best reality shows are the subject of Plaintiff's Motions in Limine, including without limitation #10.
138:3 – 139:19	Calls for speculation Relevance; Rule of completeness

Deposition of Plaintiff Terry Bollea, March 6 & 7, 2014, April 9, 2015 (Clearwater, FL) Page: Line	Objections, If Any
148:22 – 150:8	Relevance
151:1 – 151:4	Relevance
177:22 – 178:6	Asked and answered; Relevance; Rule of completeness
178:15 – 179:4	Relevance; Rule of completeness
179:6 – 179:22	Relevance; Rule of completeness; Unduly prejudicial
	Ex. 81 is the subject of Plaintiff's Motions in Limine, including without limitation #9, 18.
180:2 – 183:18	Vague and ambiguous; Relevance; Prejudicial; Hearsay
	Ex. 81 is the subject of Plaintiff's Motions in Limine, including without limitation #9, 18.
209:8-17	Relevance; Rule of completeness
219:2 – 221:5	Hearsay; Rule of completeness
221:24 – 222:8	Rule of completeness
	Mr. Bollea's settlement with Bubba Clem is the subject of Plaintiff's Motions in Limine, including without limitation #3.

Deposition of Plaintiff Terry Bollea, March 6 & 7, 2014, April 9, 2015 (Clearwater, FL) Page: Line	Objections, If Any
225:21 – 226:24	Calls for speculation; Hearsay; Rule of completeness
228:2 – 229:9	Rule of completeness
239:6 – 239:19	Relevance
240:6 – 242:19	Vague and ambiguous; Relevance; Rule of completeness
247:18 – 247:23	Rule of completeness
248:7 – 248:19	Rule of completeness
251:10 – 251:15	Improper opinion evidence; Relevance
253:23 – 254:5	Rule of completeness
263:16 – 263:25	Relevance
264:6 – 266:11	Calls for speculation; Hearsay; Unduly prejudicial; Relevance; Rule of completeness
	This topic is the subject of Plaintiff's Motions in Limine, including without limitation #15.
267:8 – 267:16	Calls for speculation; Relevance; Unduly prejudicial; Rule of completeness
	This topic is the subject of Plaintiff's Motions in Limine, including without limitation #15.
273:17 – 275:21	Rule of completeness
280:1-9	Rule of completeness
282:3 – 284:14	Compound; Hearsay; Rule of completeness

Deposition of Plaintiff Terry Bollea, March 6 & 7, 2014, April 9, 2015 (Clearwater, FL) Page: Line	Objections, If Any
285:10-23	Rule of completeness
287:13 – 288:15	Relevance; Rule of completeness
318:20 – 319:6	Rule of completeness
321:7 – 326:9	Hearsay; Rule of completeness
328:11 – 329:23	Hearsay; Rule of completeness
336:10-19	Relevance; Rule of completeness
341:21 – 342:18	Rule of completeness
346:21 – 346:24	Rule of completeness
347:22 – 348:19	Rule of completeness
368:4 - 368:19	Hearsay; Rule of completeness
416:21 – 417:5	Rule of completeness
446:11 – 447:22	Vague and Ambiguous; Rule of completeness
476:4 – 476:11	Hearsay; Rule of completeness
480:20 – 482:24	Hearsay; Rule of completeness
491:6 – 497:22	Misstates prior testimony; Calls for narrative; Calls for speculation; Vague and ambiguous; Asked and answered; Argumentative; Hearsay; Rule of completeness
497:25 – 498:21	Hearsay; Rule of completeness
508:21 - 508:25	Hearsay; Rule of completeness
509:24 - 511:1	Assumes facts not in evidence; Rule of completeness
536:15 – 21	Relevance; Hearsay; Unfairly Prejudicial

Deposition of Plaintiff Terry Bollea, March 6 & 7, 2014, April 9, 2015 (Clearwater, FL) Page: Line	Objections, If Any
538:22 - 541:22	Relevance; Hearsay; Rule of completeness
542:4 - 544:10	Vague and ambiguous; Hearsay; Relevance; Rule of completeness
556:18 – 558:1	Vague and ambiguous; Rule of completeness
560:2 – 560:19	Relevance; Rule of completeness; Unduly prejudicial
	Ex. 70 is the subject of Plaintiff's Motions in Limine, including without limitation #3.
561:24 – 563:13	Unduly prejudicial; Argumentative; Calls for speculation; Relevance; Rule of completeness
	Ex. 70 is the subject of Plaintiff's Motions in Limine, including without limitation #3.
564:7 – 566:20	Unduly prejudicial; Relevance; Rule of completeness
	Ex. 70 is the subject of Plaintiff's Motions in Limine, including without limitation #3.
569:9 – 571:19	Relevance; Hearsay; Rule of completeness
580:22 – 24	Relevance; Hearsay; Unfairly Prejudicial; § 934.06, Fla. Stat.; Privacy
581:12 – 14	Relevance; Hearsay; Unfairly Prejudicial; § 934.06, Fla. Stat.; Privacy
582:9 – 15	Relevance; Hearsay; Unfairly Prejudicial; § 934.06, Fla. Stat.; Privacy

Deposition of Plaintiff Terry Bollea, March 6 & 7, 2014, April 9, 2015 (Clearwater, FL) Page: Line	Objections, If Any
586:20 – 587:5	Asked and answered; Rule of completeness
590:17 – 590:23	Relevance; Unduly prejudicial; Hearsay; Rule of completeness Ex. 104 is the subject of Plaintiff's Motions in Limine,
	including without limitation #18.
591:24 – 598:9	Asked and answered; Misstates prior testimony; Argumentative; Relevance; Unduly prejudicial; Hearsay; Rule of completeness Ex. 104 is the subject of
	Plaintiff's Motions in Limine, including without limitation #18.
600:6-12	Rule of completeness
607:23 - 612:10	Asked and answered; Vague and ambiguous; Relevance; Unduly prejudicial; Hearsay; Rule of completeness
	Ex. 105 is the subject of Plaintiff's Motions in Limine, including without limitation #18.
612:14 - 618:11	Calls for speculation; Relevance; Unduly prejudicial; Hearsay; Rule of completeness
	Ex. 106 is the subject of Plaintiff's Motions in Limine, including without limitation #18.

Deposition of Plaintiff Terry Bollea,	Objections, If Any
March 6 & 7, 2014, April 9, 2015 (Clearwater, FL)	
Page: Line	T - 1 - C 1-4: A
618:17 - 620:10	Lacks foundation; Assumes facts not in evidence;
	Argumentative; Misstates prior
	testimony; Vague and
	ambiguous; Rule of
	completeness
658:4 – 659:9	Hearsay; Rule of completeness
675:15 - 676:24	Hearsay; Rule of completeness
678:2 – 679:9	Vague and ambiguous; Rule of completeness
	completeness
738:6 - 738:10	Hearsay; Rule of completeness
	,,
739:1 – 739:14	Hearsay; Rule of completeness
	, rest of compressions
744:18 – 748:14	Relevance; Hearsay; Attorney-
	client privilege; Rule of
	completeness
766:20 – 769:11	Hoomson Dulo of
/00:20 - /09:11	Hearsay; Rule of completeness; Relevance;
	Unduly prejudicial; Attorney-
	client privilege
792:20 – 793:1	Relevance; Hearsay; Unfairly
	Prejudicial; Rule of
	Completeness; § 934.06, Fla.
011.0 21	Stat.; Privacy
811:8 – 21	Relevance; Hearsay; Unfairly Prejudicial; Rule of
	Completeness; § 934.06, Fla.
	Stat.; Privacy
812:7 – 9	Relevance; Hearsay; Unfairly
	Prejudicial; Rule of
	Completeness; § 934.06, Fla.
	Stat.; Privacy

Deposition of Plaintiff Terry Bollea,	Objections, If Any
March 6 & 7, 2014, April 9, 2015 (Clearwater, FL)	
Page: Line	
812:12 – 14	Relevance; Hearsay; Unfairly
	Prejudicial; Rule of
	Completeness; § 934.06, Fla.
	Stat.; Privacy

Deposition of Jules Wortman, April 7, 2015 (Nashville, Tennessee) Page: Line	Objections, If Any
4:15 – 21	No objections
12:18 – 13:16	No objections
15:8 – 16:25	No objections
17:18-24	No objections
18:8-10	No objections
18:13 – 17	No objections
20:20 - 21:18	No objections
22:2-14	No objections
23:19 – 24:7	No objections
24:22 – 26:6	No objections
26:17-23	No objections
27:2-9	No objections
27:20 – 28:9	No objections
28:25 – 30:3	No objections
30:16 – 32:11	No objections
32:20 – 33:13	Rule of Completeness
39:1-22	No objections

Deposition of Jules Wortman, April 7, 2015 (Nashville,	Objections, If Any
Tennessee)	
Page: Line	
40:17 – 41:2	No objections
41:15 – 42:2	No objections
58:2 – 59:25.	Hearsay
61:25 – 64:9	No objections
64:24 – 68:7	No objections
68:11-19	No objections
69:25 – 70:12	Speculation
77:20 – 78:7	No objections
78:20 – 79:8	Hearsay
82:19 – 83:9	Relevance
83:14 – 86:5	Relevance; Hearsay; Foundation
86:18-21	Vague and ambiguous; Relevance

Deposition of Elizabeth Traub, March 2, 2015 (New York, New York) Page: Line	Objections, If Any
5:6 – 16	No objections
12:21 – 13:8	No objections
18:22 – 19:11	No objections
21:21 – 22:4	No objections
29:25 – 30:21	Lacks foundation; Vague and ambiguous; Calls for speculation; Relevance
31:20 – 33:12	No objections

Deposition of Elizabeth Traub, March 2, 2015 (New York,	Objections, If Any
New York)	
Page: Line	
34:19 – 35:6	Vague and ambiguous; Lacks
	foundation; Calls for
	speculation
35:16 – 36:16	No objections
41:10 – 42:3	No objections
43:7 – 9	Relevance; Cumulative
43:13 – 44:5	Relevance; Cumulative
44:23 – 45:18	Relevance; cumulative
46:17 – 46:25	Relevance
86:3 – 10	Relevance
87:3-7	Hearsay; Relevance
87:20 - 88:25	Hearsay; Relevance; Calls for
	speculation
89:15-24	Hearsay; Relevance
90:16-23	Relevance; Calls for
	speculation; Rule of
	completeness
93:13 - 96:9	Hearsay; Relevance; Calls for
	speculation; Rule of
	completeness
96:17 – 97:3	Relevance; Calls for
	speculation
97:12 – 98:15	Hearsay; Relevance
99:6-16	Hearsay; Relevance
99:20 – 100:9	Hearsay; Relevance
102:14-17	Hearsay; Relevance
103:2 - 105:18	Hearsay; Relevance; Calls for
	speculation
105:25 – 108:23	Hearsay; Relevance; Calls for
	speculation

Deposition of Elizabeth Traub, March 2, 2015 (New York,	Objections, If Any
New York)	
Page: Line	
109:13 – 112:4	Hearsay; Relevance
112:24 – 117:15	Hearsay; Relevance; Rule of completeness
122:6-8	Hearsay; Relevance
124:12 – 125:2	Calls for speculation; Hearsay; Relevance; Rule of completeness
125:24 – 128:5	Hearsay; Relevance; Calls for speculation
128:20-21	Hearsay; Relevance
129:6 – 133:14	Hearsay; Relevance; Calls for speculation
134:13-19	Argumentative; Assumes facts not in evidence; Calls for speculation
135:5 – 141:16	Hearsay; Relevance; Misrepresents exhibit; Calls for speculation
154:22 – 156:23	Hearsay; Relevance; Calls for a legal conclusion; Calls for speculation

Deposition of David Rice, March 9, 2015 (Burlington, Vermont) Page: Line	Objections, If Any
3:10 – 12	No objections
6:13 – 8:23	No objections
9:10 – 10:5	No objections
12:16 – 13:6	No objections
13:21 – 14:9	Rule of Completeness
14:22 – 15:17	Rule of Completeness

Deposition of David Rice, March 9, 2015 (Burlington,	Objections, If Any
Vermont)	
Page: Line	
16:17 – 16:20	No objections
17:12 – 22:4	Calls for speculation; Hearsay;
	Relevance; Rule of
	completeness
23:23 – 26:25	Hearsay; Rule of completeness
27:12 – 30:15	Hearsay; Rule of completeness
31:4 – 31:9	Relevance

Deposition of Heather Cole, January 26, 2015 (Tampa, Florida) Page: Line	Objections, If Any
6:2 – 4	No objections
9:4 – 10:7	No objections
10:15 – 11:1	No objections
11:8 – 11:17	No objections
11:21-25	No objections
12:3 – 12:5	No objections
12:10 – 13:11	No objections
13:17 – 14:10	No objections
14:19 – 15:2	No objections
15:10 – 16:20	No objections
17:15 – 18:7	No objections
18:14 – 20	Rule of Completeness
20:19 – 24	Rule of Completeness

Deposition of Heather Cole, January 26, 2015 (Tampa,	Objections, If Any
Florida)	
Page: Line	Dalassana
21:10 – 22:9	Relevance
22:2 – 22:9	Hearsay
23:22 – 24:21	Hearsay
25:25 – 26:18	No objections
27:12 – 27:23	No objections
28:8 – 28:10	No objections
28:21 – 29:3	No objections
37:20 – 37:22	No objections
38:2 – 38:18	No objections
39:20 – 40:6	Relevance; Speculation;
	Improper opinion
41:5 – 42:2	Relevance; Speculation;
	Improper opinion
42:5 – 42:19	Hearsay; Relevance;
	Speculation; Improper opinion
43:19 – 43:24	Relevance
45:19 – 46:11	Relevance; Calls for
	speculation
47:5-12	Relevance; Calls for
	speculation
47:25 – 48:5	Relevance; Calls for
	speculation
48:17 – 49:25	Relevance; Hearsay
52:10 - 53:6	Relevance; Calls for
	speculation
54:5 – 54:10	Relevance; Calls for
	speculation; Improper opinion
54:17 – 55:24	No objections
59:13 – 59:19	No objections
62:15-19	Relevance; Unduly prejudicial

Deposition of Heather Cole, January 26, 2015 (Tampa,	Objections, If Any
Florida) Page: Line	
65:6 - 65:24	Hearsay; Calls for speculation
66:3-10	No objections
67:4 - 67:6	No objections
67:7 – 10	Rule of Completeness
69:5 – 69:11	No objections
69:14 - 69:16	Asked and answered
69:25 – 70:13	Calls for speculation
71:16 – 71:19	Calls for speculation
72:2 – 73:13	Hearsay
73:19 – 73:21	Hearsay
74:21 – 75:5	Hearsay
76:2 – 78:12	Hearsay; Calls for speculation
78:13 – 78:20	Relevance
89:18 – 90:15	Relevance; Calls for
91:1-6	speculation No objections
91:11 – 92:6	No objections
94:13 – 94:23	No objections
95:1 – 95:8	No objections
95:19 – 95:21	No objections
96:4 – 96:8	No objections
96:16 – 96:22	No objections
97:10 – 97:11	Lacks foundation; Assumes
	facts not in evidence

Deposition of Heather Cole, January 26, 2015 (Tampa,	Objections, If Any
Florida)	
Page: Line	
97:21 – 98:24	Calls for speculation
99:7 – 99:16	Argumentative
99:20 – 100:22	Calls for speculation
100:23 – 101:10	Relevance
101:11-25	Relevance; Calls for speculation
102:1 – 102:21	Calls for speculation
103:1 – 103:16	No objections
104:9 – 104:11	No objections
104:25 – 105:12	No objections
105:13 – 108:15	Hearsay; Calls for speculation
109:25 – 111:12	Calls for speculation
111:17 – 112:2	Hearsay
112:16 – 113:11	Relevance; Calls for
	speculation
115:4 – 115:17	No objections
116:25 – 117:23	Relevance; Unduly prejudicial
	This matter is the subject of
	Plaintiff's Motions in Limine,
	including without limitation #3.
118:17 – 119:11	No objections
119:14 – 120:10	Hearsay
1	

Deposition of Tony Burton, March 2, 2015 (New York, New	Objections, If Any
York) Page: Line	
5:12 – 5:15	No objections
11:22 – 12:16	No objections
13:13 – 13:24	Rule of Completeness
14:11 – 16:14	No objections
17:8 – 18:23	Rule of Completeness
19:5 – 20:11	Rule of Completeness
21:12 - 26:4	Rule of Completeness; Speculation; Foundation
26:17 – 26:24	Relevance; Prejudicial; Speculation; Foundation; Rule of Completeness
44:20 – 44:23	Rule of Completeness; Relevance
45:25 – 46:7	Rule of Completeness; Relevance
56:5 – 56:12	Rule of Completeness; Relevance; Prejudicial; Speculation; Foundation

Preliminary and General Objections to Deposition Designations for David Houston:

Plaintiff objects to any introduction of the testimony of David Houston on the grounds of relevancy, hearsay, unfair prejudice and the attorney-client privilege. The following objections are in addition to, not in lieu of, these objections.

Deposition of David Houston, April 10, 2015 (Reno, Nevada) Page: Line	Objections, If Any
7:11 – 24	No objection
10:6 – 21	No objection
19:19 – 20:2	Relevance; Rule of Completeness
22:14 – 18;	Rule of Completeness; Relevance; Hearsay

Deposition of David Houston, April 10, 2015 (Reno, Nevada) Page: Line	Objections, If Any
23:6 – 16	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
24:7 – 13	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
25:6 - 8	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
25:18 – 26:3	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
26:14 – 27:1	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
27:3 – 13	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
29:5 – 9	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance
31:2 – 9	Rule of Completeness;
51.2	Relevance
32:23 – 33:5	Rule of Completeness;
32.23 33.3	Relevance; Hearsay
33:10 – 25	Rule of Completeness;
33.10 - 23	Relevance; Hearsay; Attorney-
	Client Privilege
34:1 – 5	Rule of Completeness;
54.1 5	Relevance; Hearsay
38:14 – 39:4	Rule of Completeness;
30.14 – 37.4	Relevance; Hearsay; Attorney-
	Client Privilege
40:10 – 41:8	Rule of Completeness;
40.10 - 41.0	Relevance; Hearsay; Unfair
	Prejudice
41:20 – 44:8	Rule of Completeness;
71.20 77.0	Relevance; Hearsay
44:9 – 19	Rule of Completeness;
44. 7 – 17	Relevance; Hearsay
45:1 – 12	Rule of Completeness;
43.1 – 12	Relevance; Hearsay
49:13 –19	Rule of Completeness;
7 /.13 ⁻ 1/	Relevance; Hearsay
51:3 – 10	Rule of Completeness;
31.3 – 10	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy
51.24 52.0	
51:24 – 52:9	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy

Deposition of David Houston, April 10, 2015 (Reno, Nevada) Page: Line	Objections, If Any
52:21	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
53:12 – 54:11	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
54:21 – 24	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
55:10 – 12	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
56:22 – 57:3	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
57:16 – 58:4	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
60:5-10	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
62:8 – 12	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
63:1 – 12	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
63:17 – 24	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
64:6 - 65:3	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
65:21 – 23	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
67:16 – 68:7	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
68:8 –11	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
69:8 – 25	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
70:12 - 72:2	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
74:11 – 14	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
74:16 – 75:16	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
77:2 – 17	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
78:8 – 79:6	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
79:13 –25	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
84:16 –22	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay

Deposition of David Houston, April 10, 2015 (Reno, Nevada) Page: Line	Objections, If Any
85:4 – 86:1	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
86:5 – 10	Rule of Completeness;
20	Relevance; Hearsay
86:14 - 87:8	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
87:9 – 18	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
88:6 – 21	Rule of Completeness;
21	Relevance; Hearsay
89:11 –19	Rule of Completeness;
5,111 1,	Relevance; Hearsay
94:7 – 22;	Rule of Completeness;
z ,	Relevance; Hearsay
95:1 – 14	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
95:15 – 96:9	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
96:14 - 97:1	Rule of Completeness;
7011 7711	Relevance; Hearsay
99:20 – 100:3	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
100:12 - 101:3	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
101:5-7	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
102:20 - 103:15	Rule of Completeness;
101120	Relevance; Hearsay
106:12 – 107:9	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy
107:23 – 109:12	Rule of Completeness;
207720	Relevance; Hearsay
111:10 – 112:2	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
112:5 – 19	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
113:18 – 114:3	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
114:23 – 115:6	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
115:24 – 116:14	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay

Deposition of David Houston, April 10, 2015 (Reno, Nevada) Page: Line	Objections, If Any
118:5 – 18	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
121:7 – 15	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
121:20 – 123:13	Rule of Completeness;
121,20	Relevance; Hearsay
124:18 – 129:7	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
129:16 – 130:18	Rule of Completeness;
127.10 - 130.16	Relevance; Hearsay
130:19 – 25	Rule of Completeness;
130:19 – 25	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
121.22 122.2	Fla. Stat.; Privacy
131:22 – 132:2	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy
133:19 – 22	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
134:2 – 20	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy
134:21 –25	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay
135:1 – 25	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy
138:4 – 25	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy
139:7 – 141:7	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy
145:17 – 146:6	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy
148:11 – 13	Rule of Completeness;
110:11	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy
148:17 – 150:8	Rule of Completeness;
170.17 - 130.0	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy
150.0 15	
150:9 –15	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy

Deposition of David Houston, April 10, 2015 (Reno, Nevada) Page: Line	Objections, If Any
152:2 – 153:9	Rule of Completeness; Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy
155:18 – 156:6	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy
156:14 – 25	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy
157:14 – 157:18	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy
157:23 – 158:5	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy
158:6-11	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy
159:11 – 160:8	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy
171:4 – 7	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy
171:17 – 172:13	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy
172:14 – 175:11	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy
179:17 – 180:7	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy
180:14 – 25	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy
181:2 – 4	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy
182:1 – 183:5	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	1,

Deposition of David Houston, April 10, 2015 (Reno, Nevada) Page: Line	Objections, If Any
183:11 – 184:2	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy
184:14 – 18	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy
184:20 – 186:18	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy; Foundation
187:1 – 4,	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy; Foundation
187:24	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy; Foundation
188:5 – 18	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy; Foundation
190:3 – 7	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy; Foundation
190:8 – 195:4	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy; Foundation
195:14 – 196:18	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy; Foundation
197:3 – 19	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy; Foundation
197:23 – 198:18	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy; Foundation
199:13 – 20	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy; Foundation
200:16 - 205:9	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy; Foundation
205:10 – 14	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy; Foundation

Deposition of David Houston, April 10, 2015 (Reno, Nevada)	Objections, If Any
Page: Line	
206:1 – 21	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy; Foundation
207:21 – 208:6	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy; Foundation
209:4 – 212:12	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy; Foundation
212:23 – 214:10	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy; Foundation
214:19 – 215:1	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy; Foundation
215:2 – 22	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy; Foundation
215:23 – 217:18	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
217 10 21	Fla. Stat.; Privacy; Foundation
217:19 –21	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
218:1 – 219:22	Fla. Stat.; Privacy; Foundation
218:1 – 219:22	Rule of Completeness;
	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06, Fla. Stat.; Privacy; Foundation
222.0 224.16	
223:9 – 224:16	Rule of Completeness; Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy; Foundation
224:19 – 225:19	Rule of Completeness;
224:17 - 223:19	Relevance; Hearsay; § 934.06,
	Fla. Stat.; Privacy; Foundation
	Fia. Stat., Filvacy, Foundation

Dated: February 12, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Kenneth G. Turkel

Kenneth G. Turkel, Esq. Florida Bar No. 867233 Shane B. Vogt Florida Bar No. 0257620 BAJO | CUVA | COHEN | TURKEL

24

100 North Tampa Street, Suite 1900

Tampa, Florida 33602 Tel: (813) 443-2199 Fax: (813) 443-2193

Email: kturkel@bajocuva.com
Email: kturkel@bajocuva.com

-and-

Charles J. Harder, Esq.
PHV No. 102333
Jennifer J. McGrath, Esq.
PHV No. 114890
HARDER MIRELL & ABRAMS LLP
132 S. Rodeo Dr., Suite 301
Beverly Hills, CA 90212
Tel: (424) 203-1600

Fax: (424) 203-1601

Email: charder@hmafirm.com
Email: jmcgrath@hmafirm.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by E-Mail this 12th day of February, 2016 to the following:

Barry A. Cohen, Esquire
The Cohen Law Group
201 E. Kennedy Blvd., Suite 1950
Tampa, Florida 33602
bcohen@tampalawfirm.com
jhalle@tampalawfirm.com
mwalsh@tampalawfirm.com
Counsel for Heather Clem

David R. Houston, Esquire Law Office of David R. Houston 432 Court Street Reno, NV 89501 dhouston@houstonatlaw.com krosser@houstonatlaw.com

Michael Berry, Esquire Levine Sullivan Koch & Schultz, LLP 1760 Market Street, Suite 1001 Philadelphia, PA 19103 mberry@lskslaw.com Pro Hac Vice Counsel for Gawker Defendants

Timothy J. Conner Holland & Knight LLP 50 North Laura Street, Suite 3900 Jacksonville, FL 32202 timothy.conner@hklaw.com

Charles D. Tobin
Holland & Knight LLP
800 17th Street N.W., Suite 1100
Washington, D.C. 20006
charles.tobin@hklaw.com
Attorneys for Intervenors, First Look Media,

Gregg D. Thomas, Esquire
Rachel E. Fugate, Esquire
Thomas & LoCicero PL
601 S. Boulevard
Tampa, Florida 33606
gthomas@tlolawfirm.com
rfugate@tlolawfirm.com
kbrown@tlolawfirm.com
abcene@tlolawfirm.com
Counsel for Gawker Defendants

Seth D. Berlin, Esquire
Paul J. Safier, Esquire
Alia L. Smith, Esquire
Michael D. Sullivan, Esquire
Levine Sullivan Koch & Schulz, LLP
1899 L. Street, NW, Suite 200
Washington, DC 20036
sberlin@lskslaw.com
psafier@lskslaw.com
asmith@lskslaw.com
msullivan@lskslaw.com
Pro Hac Vice Counsel for
Gawker Defendants

Allison M. Steele
Rahdert, Steele, Reynolds & Driscoll, P.L.
535 Central Avenue
St. Petersburg, FL 33701
amnestee@aol.com
asteele@rahdertlaw.com
ncampbell@rahdertlaw.com
Attorneys for Intervenor Times Publishing
Company

Inc., WFTS-TV and WPTV-TV, Scripps Media, Inc., WFTX-TV, Journal Broadcast Group, Vox Media, Inc., WFLA-TV, Media General Operations, Inc., Cable News Network, Inc., Buzzfeed and The Associated Press.

/s/ Kenneth G. Turkel
Attorney