Exhibit 2

to the

DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION *IN LIMINE* NO. 23: TO EXCLUDE, OR ALTERNATIVELY, TO STRIKE, ARGUMENTS OR EVIDENCE SUBMITTED TO SHOW FRAUD ON THE COURT

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA, IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY

TERRY GENE BOLLEA, professionally known as HULK HOGAN,

Plaintiff,

No. 12-012447-CI-011

VS.

HEATHER CLEM; GAWKER MEDIA, LLC, aka GAWKER MEDIA, et al.,

Defendants.

PUBLIC TRANSCRIPT

HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE PAMELA A.M. CAMPBELL

DATE: January 13, 2016

TIME: 9:04 a.m. to 12:32 p.m.

PLACE: Pinellas County Courthouse

545 First Avenue North

Courtroom C

St. Petersburg, Florida

REPORTED BY: Susan C. Riesdorph, RPR, CRR

Notary Public, State of

Florida

Pages 1 - 87

```
1
     APPEARANCES:
2
        CHARLES J. HARDER, ESQUIRE
        Harder Mirell & Abrams, LLP
 3
        132 South Rodeo Drive
        Suite 301
 4
        Los Angeles, California 90212
             - and -
 5
        KENNETH G. TURKEL, ESQUIRE
        SHANE B. VOGT, ESQUIRE
 6
        Bajo Cuva Cohen & Turkel, P.A.
        100 North Tampa Street
7
        Suite 1900
        Tampa, Florida 33602
8
             Attorneys for Plaintiff
9
        SETH D. BERLIN, ESQUIRE
10
        MICHAEL D. SULLIVAN, ESQUIRE
        Levine Sullivan Koch & Schulz, LLP
11
        1899 L Street, N.W.
        Suite 200
12
        Washington, D.C. 20036
             - and -
13
        MICHAEL BERRY, ESQUIRE
        Levine Sullivan Koch & Schulz, LLP
14
        1760 Market Street
        Suite 1001
15
        Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
             - and -
16
        RACHEL FUGATE, ESQUIRE
        Thomas & LoCicero, PL
17
        601 South Boulevard
        Tampa, Florida 33606
18
             - and -
        HEATHER DIETRICK, ESQUIRE
19
        General Counsel
        Gawker Media
20
        210 Elizabeth Street
        Third Floor
21
        New York, New York 10012
             Attorneys for Defendant Gawker Media, LLC
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
     APPEARANCES (continued):
2
        ALLISON M. STEELE, ESQUIRE
        Rahdert, Steele, Reynolds & Driscoll, P.L.
3
        535 Central Avenue
        St. Petersburg, Florida 33701
4
             Attorney for Intervenor Times
             Publishing Company
5
6
    ALSO PRESENT:
7
        Terry Bollea
8
9
10
                        INDEX
11
     PROCEEDINGS
                                                    Page
12
     CONFIDENTIAL PROCEEDINGS AT BENCH
                                                    Page 48
     (11:16 a.m. to 11:40 a.m.)
13
     CONFIDENTIAL PROCEEDINGS AT BENCH
                                                    Page 53
14
     (11:50 a.m. to 11:51 a.m.)
15
     REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
                                                    Page 87
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

that they have about what they're trying to make relevant in this case. I want to start at the threshold, Your Honor. My statements are going to be very much -- very limited. I'm not going to go back through our brief.

THE COURT: Good.

1.5

MR. TURKEL: I can tell you about our brief.

I'm not going to be redundant. I'm not going to answer tit for tat, differing interpretations of evidence that have differing interpretations.

That's not your job as a judge, particularly on this procedural vehicle. It's a job for six people in Pinellas County. And that's something that runs through all his argument.

Mr. Berlin at various times talked about the essential need for the system to be predicated on truth. Everything has to be based on the truth, Judge. Then we pick a jury during voir dire, which means to speak the truth.

The whole reason that this evidence is being discussed and that they've brought this motion started with their representation to you, and that representation was seeking the extraordinary relief, which you granted and Judge Case granted before you, of having Mr. Bollea waive privacy

this case, throughout their papers what they did is they omitted countervailing evidence, excerpts in Mr. Houston's testimony, for instance, and other like things. They just omit it. their world, the way they argue fraud on the court is if you accept how we look at everything, then there's a fraud on the court. But to do that, you can't listen to anything the other side says about why certain things were said, the context of what was said, explanations that were given. You have numerous statements at numerous times. You reconcile them at trial or you happens. impeach a witness. That's what we do. You don't come to a judge and say, Judge, we don't like the way their witnesses testified; therefore, they're lying to you in their case. We all deal with it. I've never tried a case where at least one witness didn't have some kind of an issue on either side. That's what this is. You take away their hyperbole and the big city lawyer language and all this stuff and you're left with guys that just don't like witness statements. They don't like what they said. How can you sit here and argue to you that Terry Bollea had any knowledge of what was happening as far as him being taped when you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

thing. I was left at the end of it the same way I felt today. We just disagree on the evidence. Judge Case was in the exact same place. It's like Kozel v. Ostendorf and its progeny. It's somebody coming here complaining about a knee injury who has 16 documented surgeries. That's fraud on the Documented, not arguable. court. I repaired the I repaired the meniscus. I repaired some cartilage. I did an MCL operation. Then the plaintiff is asked, did you ever have knee surgery before? And they said no. So you spend three years litigating the case. That's virtually in every case. Judge, interestingly, virtually every Second District case even against that background, they've reversed almost every fraud on the court finding a trial court's made anywhere. Florida law clearly provides an inconsistency, nondisclosure, poor recollection, dissemblance and even a lie is insufficient to support dismissal and in many cases may be well managed and best resolved by bringing the issue to the jury's -- to the jury's attorney through cross-examination. That may be a typo. That's citing Perrine v. Henderson, 85 So.3d 1210 at page 1212 and numerous other cases on page 18 of our brief.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA :
4	COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH :
5	
6	I Guerr C Diogdownh DDD CDD gowtifu that I
7 8	I, Susan C. Riesdorph, RPR, CRR certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes.
9	I further certify that I am not a relative,
10	employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
11	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of the foregoing
12	action.
13	Dated this 14th day of January, 2016, IN THE CITY OF TAMPA, COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH, STATE OF FLORIDA.
14	
15	
16	
17	Susan C. Riesdorph, RPR, CRR, CLSP
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	