## CONFIDENTIAL EXHIBIT 21-C

to the

JOINT OPPOSITION OF THE GAWKER DEFENDANTS AND THEIR COUNSEL TO PLAINTIFF'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO CONDUCT DISCOVERY CONCERNING POTENTIAL VIOLATION OF PROTECTIVE ORDER, TO COMPEL TURNOVER OF CONFIDENTIAL DISCOVERY MATERIALS AND FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Page 1
** CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY **
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN
AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA
X
TERRY GENE BOLLEA, Professionally
Known as HULK HOGAN,
Plaintiff,
-against- Case No.
12012447-CI-011
HEATHER CLEM, GAWKER MEDIA, LLC
a/k/a GAWKER MEDIA, et al.,
Defendants.
x
DEPOSITION OF
TONY BURTON
March 2, 2015
3:04 p.m.
Reported by:
Francine Sky, C.S.R.

	Page 2
1	
2	
3	March 2, 2015
4	3:04 p.m.
5	
6	Deposition of TONY BURTON, taken by Defendants,
7	pursuant to Subpoena, before Judge James R. Case,
8	Senior Circuit Judge, Sixth Judicial Circuit,
9	Florida, held at the offices of Levine Sullivan
10	Koch & Schulz, LLP, 321 West 44th Street, Suite
11	1000, New York, New York, before Francine Sky, a
12	Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public
13	within and for the State of New Jersey.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	
25	

	Page 3
1	
2	APPEARANCES:
3	
	HARDER MIRELL & ABRAMS, LLP
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff
	1925 Century Park East
5	Los Angeles, California 90067
6	By: CHARLES HARDER, ESQ.
7	
	LEVINE SULLIVAN KOCH & SCHULZ, LLP
8	Attorneys for Defendants Gawker Media,
	A.J. Daulerio and Nick Denton
9	1760 Market Street
	Suite 1001
10	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
11	By: MICHAEL BERRY, ESQ.
	PAUL J. SAFIER, ESQ.
12	
13	
	DON BUCHWALD & ASSOCIATES, INC.
14	For the Witness
	10 East 44th Street
15	New York, New York 10017
16	By: RICHARD BASCH,
	Executive Vice President Business
17	Affairs
18	
19	Also Present:
20	ERIC WINCHEL,
	Don Buchwald & Associates, Inc.,
21	Broadcast Agent and Business Affairs
22	
23	DEVERELL WRITE,
	Videographer, Veritext Legal Solutions
24	
25	

	<del>-</del>
1	Burton - Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only
2	interested if Hulk Hogan had made (REDACTED)
3	comments?
4	A. I don't know what he would be
5	interested in. That's all I knew.
6	Q. Have you ever seen a DVD of Hulk
7	Hogan making (REDACTED) comments?
8	A. I have not.
9	Q. I would like to show you a document
10	that we'll mark as Deposition Exhibit 138.
11	(Deposition Exhibit 138, e-mail with
12	attachment, was so marked for
13	identification, as of this date.)
14	Q. Do you recognize this document?
15	A. I do.
16	Q. What is it?
17	A. It is an e-mail that was sent to me
18	from my client that had an attachment of a
19	rundown of what was on the Hulk Hogan sex tape.
20	Q. How many Hulk Hogan sex tapes?
21	A. It looks like there's two on here.
22	Q. Did you read the e-mail?
23	MR. HARDER: I'm going to interject
2 4	an objection. Lacks foundation.
25	THE WITNESS. I'm corry?

1	Burton - Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. Did you provide Mike Berry or anyone
4	from his office any additional information beyond
5	what we talked about today?
6	A. I don't believe so.
7	Q. Did you have a conversation with Rich
8	Peirce ever regarding the Hulk Hogan sex tape?
9	A. I don't know who that is.
10	Q. Rich Peirce is the person whose
11	e-mail address appears at Exhibit 138.
12	A. No, I did not.
13	Q. Do you know who Rich Peirce is?
14	A. I do not.
15	Q. Did you have a conversation with Mike
16	Calta regarding the second page of Exhibit 138,
17	other than what you already testified to?
18	A. Not other than what we testified to.
19	Q. Was it Mike Calta who informed you
20	that there might be a second DVD of Hulk Hogan?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. What do you recall Mike Calta saying
23	to you about
24	MR. BERRY: Objection. Asked and
25	answered.

1	Burton - Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only
2	JUDGE CASE: Last time.
3	A. I don't recall anything other than
4	him saying that there might be a second DVD and
5	there are (REDACTED) comments from Hulk Hogan on
6	it.
7	Q. How would Mike Calta know that? Did
8	he tell you how he knew that?
9	MR. BERRY: Objection.
10	A. I don't
11	MR. BASCH: I was going to say the
12	second part of that question you could
13	probably answer, but the first part I
14	object to.
15	A. He didn't tell me how he knew that.
16	Q. You didn't ask?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Was Mike Calta referring to Exhibit
19	138, do you know?
20	A. I don't know.
21	Q. I'm talking about the e-mail
22	obviously, not the deposition exhibit.
23	MR. HARDER: I'm going to designate
24	the transcript as confidential, attorneys'
25	eyes only. We had a prior redaction of

1	Burton - Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only
2	what is Exhibit 138 and I request that we
3	treat the document the same way that we
4	did in the prior deposition of Rich
5	Peirce. And also the testimony we treat
6	in the same way as we did with Rich
7	Peirce.
8	JUDGE CASE: Okay. Any objection?
9	MR. BERRY: This doesn't concern the
10	witness. Maybe we can take this up
11	afterwards.
12	MR. HARDER: Fine. We can excuse the
13	witness. Okay. I have no further
14	questions.
15	MR. BERRY: I have a bit of follow-up
16	about what Charles asked you about.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Burton - Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only
THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time on the video monitor is 4:02 p.m. We're off the record. This is the end of the deposition.

(The witness, Mr. Basch and Mr. Winchel left the hearing room.)

MR. HARDER: We're on the record. This is going to continue what we were just discussing when the witness was in the room, and now the witness and his counsel have left.

When we had the deposition of Richard Peirce, this same sort of comment in an e-mail arose and what we worked out at that time was that the word (REDACTED) would be removed from the transcript, and I think there was going to be some sort of indication that it was redacted, there was that one word that was redacted, and that the exhibit document itself did not enter into the deposition transcript. I believe that that was pulled.

Here I don't have a problem keeping

Burton - Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

137 in, provided that the word is redacted
out and there's a stamp that says
redacted. That would allow us to be
consistent with what we've done so far and
consist with the protective order that's
entered into by the court.

MR. BERRY: For the record I would make an objection. This is a third-party witness testimony, third-party witness documents. And --

JUDGE CASE: There's all the more reason to be careful and cautious.

MR. BERRY: Right. I take your point, this is testimony that has been given, and I don't know that we need to -- I want to make sure the record is clear what the witness testified to, and don't want the official record --

JUDGE CASE: Delete the one reference, two references that he verbalized.

MR. BERRY: That's what I'm trying -- any time the word (REDACTED) is used we're to put redacted in?

Burton - Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

JUDGE CASE: In connection with the

transcripts that are attached to Exhibit

38.

MR. HARDER: 137 and the deposition transcript itself. He was essentially just reading from the e-mail and he was also recalling what Mike Calta had said to him.

MR. BERRY: I understand that's going to be the ruling, for the record we object. I would just ask how we are going to sort this all out.

JUDGE CASE: I suspect as a practical matter you in your pre-trial meetings among counsel can sort it out, if not when you get to the pre-trial Judge Campbell should know.

MR. BERRY: The practical issue I'm wondering is if we start redacting out words willy-nilly from the transcript, at various points we won't have an accurate record. My point -- I would caution as we go forward there's only one accurate record, and I want to make sure that we

Burton - Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only have an accurate record of what the witness said.

JUDGE CASE: Even the most accurate record that you're referring to is hearsay to the jury.

MR. HARDER: He's talking about an alleged DVD that he's never seen, that Mike Calta never saw, that no one had ever seen who's testified so far. Then there's also the issue of the irrelevance of it. It didn't appear in any DVD that you received and it didn't appear in the excerpts of the minute and 41 seconds that you posted.

This is very far afield as well as hearsay as well as has this kind of damaging potential that is the impetus why we brought the motion for protective order in the first place.

JUDGE CASE: The word "irreparable harm" comes to mind.

MR. HARDER: You're making my case for me, Judge Case, I appreciate it.

JUDGE CASE: No, I'm not. I'm

	Page 61
1	Burton - Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only
2	listening to both sides very carefully,
3	we've discussed this issue on more than
4	one occasion.
5	MR. BERRY: That's fine. I just want
6	to put my objection on the record.
7	JUDGE CASE: All right.
8	MR. HARDER: And the DVD I would like
9	to remain as attorneys' eyes only because
10	it has this redacted word in it and it
11	should stay that way.
12	JUDGE CASE: I agree. At some point
13	if it's going to be used at trial it will
14	be edited at various places anyway, so
15	All right. Thank you, Mike.
16	(Time noted: 4:15 p.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 63
1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	STATE OF NEW YORK )
	: ss.
5	COUNTY OF NEW YORK )
6	I, FRANCINE SKY, a Certified Shorthand
7	Reporter and Notary Public within and for
8	the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify:
9	That TONY BURTON, the witness whose
10	deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was
11	sworn and that such deposition is a true
12	record of the testimony given by such
13	witness.
14	I further certify that I am not
15	related to any of the parties to this
16	action by blood or marriage, and that I am
17	in no way interested in the outcome of this
18	matter.
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
20	set my hand this 6th day of March, 2015.
21	
22	
23	maxwe Sly
2 4	Francine Sky
25	