## EXHIBIT A to GAWKER DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR HEARING

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA, IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY

TERRY GENE BOLLEA, professionally known as HULK HOGAN,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Case No. 12-012447-CI-011

HEATHER CLEM; GAWKER MEDIA, LLC, aka GAWKER MEDIA, et al.,

Defendants.

, and the second second

HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE PAMELA A.M. CAMPBELL

Case Management and Status Conference

DATE: July 30, 2015

TIME: 10:00 a.m. to 11:48 a.m.

PLACE: Pinellas County Courthouse 545 First Avenue North

Third Floor, Courtroom C St. Petersburg, Florida

BEFORE: Valerie A. Hance, RPR

Notary Public, State of

Florida at Large

Pages 1 to 92

```
1
     APPEARANCES:
2
        CHARLES J. HARDER, ESQUIRE (via telephone)
        Harder Mirell & Abrams, LLP
3
        1925 Century Park East
        Suite 800
4
        Los Angeles, California 90067
5
              - and -
6
        DAVID R. HOUSTON, ESQUIRE (via telephone)
        Law Office of David R. Houston
7
        432 Court Street
        Reno, Nevada 89501
8
              - and -
9
        KENNETH G. TURKEL, ESQUIRE
10
        SHANE B. VOGT, ESQUIRE
        Bajo Cuva Cohen & Turkel, P.A.
11
        100 North Tampa Street
        Suite 1900
12
        Tampa, Florida 33602
              Attorneys for Plaintiff
13
14
15
     CONTINUED:
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
     APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
2
        SETH D. BERLIN, ESQUIRE
        Levine Sullivan Koch & Schulz, LLP
3
        1899 L Street, N.W.
        Suite 200
4
        Washington, D.C. 20036
5
              - and -
6
        MICHAEL BERRY, ESQUIRE
        Levine Sullivan Koch & Schulz, LLP
7
        1760 Market Street
        Suite 1001
8
        Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
                                     19103
9
              - and -
10
        HEATHER DIETRICK, ESQUIRE
        General Counsel
11
        Gawker Media
        210 Elizabeth Street
12
        Third Floor
        New York, New York 10012
13
              - and -
14
        GREGG D. THOMAS, ESQUIRE
15
        Thomas & LoCicero PL
        601 South Boulevard
16
        Tampa, Florida 33606
              Attorneys for Defendant
17
              Gawker Media, LLC
18
     ALSO PRESENT:
19
        Terry Gene Bollea
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## PROCEEDINGS

(Court called to order at 10:00 a.m.)

THE COURT: So we're here in Case No.

12-012447, Bollea vs. Gawker and others. We're here this morning for the plaintiff's emergency motion for clarification and confirmation that the agreed protected order and stipulated protocol govern all documents, reference and materials, produced in response to the Freedom of Information Act request of Gawker Media, LLC, and its' attorneys request for status conference. We're also here today for a case management conference. I would like to be able to schedule the trial in this matter.

And at this point in time, is there anything -- who is going to be arguing that motion, Mr. Turkel?

MR. TURKEL: Your Honor, we have three motions pending. The first one you mentioned, the emergency motion for clarification on the protocol.

And may it please the Court, Judge. And good morning.

The plaintiffs noticed an action is at issue, and motion to grant priority status and to set the case for trial, and the emergency motion we filed for leave to conduct discovery on a potential violation of protective order in this case by the

defendants.

1.5

Mr. Vogt will be handling the set the cause for trial motion and the clarification of the protocol.

I'm going to handle the discovery motion.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Which would you like to do first?

MR. TURKEL: Judge, we'll take them in any order which the Court deems appropriate. The headiest of the three is probably the motion for leave to conduct discovery. It implicates the most issues.

It sounds to me, by noticing this for case management, that we intend to walk out of here with a trial date anyway, and so, really, that motion seems to somewhat have been addressed by the Court by that statement.

So if it please the Court, I think probably taking that first will be the best order of things.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. TURKEL: Judge, it's been a volatile few weeks since we were last in front of you.

Understanding we were on the doorstep of trying this case and the case got continued at the last minute,

I'm sure to no one's benefit, in the sense that we were all working hard to get ready, including this

sideshow, the circus that Gawker seems to want to attempt and bait into this courtroom doesn't become the focus of it, but it focuses on the assertion of those rights and their defenses.

1.5

And, Judge, we have rules in this game. And we agree, when we take the oath, to abide by those rules. And we will disagree all day, until the jury or judge comes back, on whether my client's privacy rights supercede their asserted First Amendment right. And that's what we're here to try. It's never been any different. And I know the Court knows that, because we spent nine hours vetting the legal issues in this case on summary judgment and you entered your order on that.

And, you know, we agree to abide by these rules and we agree to play by them. And you call the balls and the strikes and you make your judgments as a judge and we live with them. And if we don't like them, that's what we do. And then we try the case and appeal it if we don't like it. And I think that's a pretty succinct version of how it's supposed to work in our system.

Judge, we have put before you -- and I don't know -- we obviously filed this on an emergent basis. I don't know if the Court had a chance to

read this motion yet on the discovery issue.

1.5

reference.

THE COURT: I haven't even seen it.

MR. TURKEL: Do we have a courtesy copy, Shane?

Judge, I'm going to hand you, if I may

approach, a courtesy copy without the voluminous

exhibits, which we can hand you also, but I think it

will be easier right now to use the motion itself

and the incorporated timeline as a point of

And so, Judge, I really am starting at point zero. I'm going to try, because I know to the extent the Court has not read that, the Court will read it, because you read everything and you have throughout this case.

Judge, to sort of cut to the chase on the predicate for the motion, for the last two and a half years or so, Gawker has tried throughout this case, both in discovery, both in front of Judge Case, your appointed discovery master, and in this Court, to inject issues relating to a separate tape that is at -- than the one that is at issue in this case, that they've alleged contains offensive language engaged in by my client. They've tried. They've tried at depos. I've sat there and watched them try and watched Judge Case stop them time and

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA
4	COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH
5	
6	I, Valerie A. Hance, Registered Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did
7	stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes.
9	
10	I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties,
11	nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
12	financially interested in the outcome of the foregoing action.
13	
14	Dated this 30th day of July, 2015, IN THE CITY OF TAMPA, COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH, STATE OF FLORIDA.
15	
16	
17	Valerie A. Hance, RPR
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	