EXHIBIT 64-C

to the

CONFIDENTIAL DECLARATION OF GREGG D. THOMAS IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' OBJECTIONS

Case No. 12012447-CI-011

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

IN AND FOR THE PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA

-000-

TERRY GENE BOLLEA professionally known as HULK HOGAN,

Plaintiff,

VS.

HEATHER CLEM; GAWKER MEDIA, LLC aka GAWKER MEDIA; et al.,

Defendants.

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF DAVID HOUSTON

FRIDAY, APRIL 10, 2015

Reno, Nevada

Reported by: KIMBERLY J. WALDIE, NV CCR #720, RPR CALIFORNIA CSR #8696

Hoogs Reporting Group 775-327-4460

```
2
 1
 2
 3 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
 5 For the Plaintiff Terry Gene Bollea
   and Deponent David Houston:
 6
   HARDER MIRELL & ABRAMS LLP
       CHARLES J. HARDER, ESQ.
   1925 Century Park East
 8 Suite 800
   Los Angeles, California 90067
10 For the Defendant Gawker Media, LLC:
11 LEVINE SULLIVAN KOCH & SCHULZ, LLP
        MICHAEL D. SULLIVAN, ESQ.
12 1899 L Street NW
   Suite 200
13 Washington, DC 20036
14
   Also Present:
15
   James Case, Special Master
16
17 Videographer:
18 Jeff Waldie, CCVS
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

			April 10, 2015
			3
1		I N D E X	
2			
3	WITNESS	EXAMINED BY	PAGE
4	DAVID H	HOUSTON MR. SULLIVAN	7
5			
6			
7			
8			
9		EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION	
	233	Photocopy of text messages, Bates BOLLEA 002654 - BOLLEA 002670	212
11 12	237	Web pages of David R. Houston, Bates GAWKER 24994 - 24995	12
	238	RadarOnline post, Bates GAWKER 24873 - GAWKER 24883	15
14	239	E-mail, Bates DH 018	18
16	240	DVD of TMZ Live interview dated March 7, 2012	23
	241	TMZ Online post, Bates GAWKER 24913 - GAWKER 24919	52
18	242	E! Online post, Bates Gawker 23705 - Gawker 23709	60
20	243	E! Online post, Bates Gawker 23802 - Gawker 23806	66
21	244	National Enquirer article, Bates GAWKER 24309	96
	245	E-mail chain and attachment, Bates Gawker 00142 - Gawker 00144	101
24	246	E-mail, Bates Gawker 00157	108

			April 10, 2013
1			4
1			
2		I N D E X	
3		EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION	
4			PAGE
	247	DVD of TMZ Live interview dated October 9, 2012	120
6	248	E-mail, Bates BOLLEA 001068	133
	249	E-mail chain, Bates BOLLEA 001070	134
8	250	E-mail chain, Bates BOLLEA 001074	138
9	251	E-mail chain, Bates BOLLEA 001075	148
10	252	Philly.com online post, Bates Gawker 24043 - Gawker 24045	161
	253	Hollyscoop online post, Bates Gawker 24961 - Gawker 24964	165
13	254	The Daily Beast online post, Bates Gawker 24965 - Gawker 24968	168
15	255	E-mail, Bates BOLLEA 001146	171
	256	E-mail chain, Bates BOLLEA 001094 - BOLLEA 001096	172
17	257	E-mail chain, Bates BOLLEA 001098 - BOLLEA 001103	181
	258	E-mail chain, Bates BOLLEA 001233 - BOLLEA 001253	184
20	259	Letter dated November 8, 2013, Bates BOLLEA 001193 - BOLLEA 001216	190
22			
23			
24			
25			

			5
1			
2		I N D E X	
3	PREVIOUSLY MARKED EXHIBITS		
4			
5	60	The Dirty online post dated April 19, 2012	
6	61	E-mail dated April 19, 2012	
	62	E-mail chain, top e-mail dated April 23, 2012	
8	63	The Dirty online post dated April 26, 2012	
10	89	Undated letter to Hulk Hogan, from Steven Hirsch, Bates BOLLEA 000779	
11	90	E-mail dated 4/23/2012, Bates BOLLEA 001056	
12	126	WTSP Press Conference DVD	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

- 1 BE IT REMEMBERED, that on FRIDAY, APRIL 10, 2015, at
- 2 10:03 a.m., at the offices of Hoogs Reporting Group, 435
- 3 Marsh Avenue, Reno, Nevada, before me, KIMBERLY J.
- 4 WALDIE, a Certified Court Reporter, personally appeared
- 5 DAVID HOUSTON.
- -000-
- 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are going
- 8 on the record at approximately 10:03 a.m. Today is
- 9 April 10, 2015. This is tape No. 1 of the
- 10 video-recorded deposition of David Houston taken by the
- 11 defense in the matter of Terry Gene Bollea,
- 12 professionally known as Hulk Hogan, Plaintiff, versus
- 13 Heather Clem, Gawker Media, LLC, Defendants, filed in
- 14 the Circuit Court of the Sixth Judicial Circuit in and
- 15 for Pinnelas County, Florida. This is case number
- 16 12012447-CI-011.
- 17 The deposition is being held at the offices of
- 18 Hoogs Reporting Group in Reno, Nevada. The court
- 19 reporter today is Kimberly Waldie. She is representing
- 20 Hoogs Reporting Group. My name is Jeff Waldie,
- 21 Certified Court Video Specialist, of the firm Sierra
- 22 Legal Video, PO Box 18312, South Lake Tahoe, California,
- 23 96151.
- And will counsel and all present please
- 25 identify themselves and who they represent for the

- 1 author for this communication?
- 2 A I in all likelihood dictated this
- 3 communication, yes.
- 4 Q Let me see if I can help you out. If you look
- 5 toward the bottom about a couple inches up from the end
- 6 of the text, do you see where it says: "Dictated but
- 7 not read"?
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q And, sir, who is Kc Rosser?
- 10 A She would have been one of the girls that
- 11 receives dictation. She has a station. We try to
- 12 balance it out as much as we can. And Ms. Rosser would,
- 13 of course, have been on one station with the other girls
- 14 being on the others. Who may have gotten it that
- 15 morning would appear to be Ms. Rosser.
- 16 Q Okay. And I take it she works in your law
- 17 office?
- 18 A Yes, at that time she did.
- 19 Q Okay. All right. And it looks like this
- 20 communication was sent as an e-mail. Is that your
- 21 understanding?
- 22 A It certainly appears to be.
- 23 Q Okay. And was this e-mail sent, to the best of
- 24 your knowledge?
- 25 A I believe so.

- 1 polygrapher that if we were going to do the polygraph
- 2 examination, "we," meaning myself, Davidson, and
- 3 Mr. Bollea had to leave the room because he felt that
- 4 there shouldn't be any sort of distraction during the
- 5 course of his testing. We, of course, agreed to do so.
- 6 We then left, went downstairs to the restaurant
- 7 located in the Sand Pearl Hotel, again, engaged in
- 8 random small talk as we sat there. And it was fairly
- 9 awkward for obvious reasons. I think there was some
- 10 food purchased but certainly not full-course meals but
- 11 rather just attempting to kill time. We were waiting,
- 12 waiting.
- 13 At one point Mr. Davidson indicated "We might
- 14 as well get the authentication out of the way" because
- 15 apparently he did not bring anything with him to the
- 16 hotel room when he first arrived as far as what he was
- 17 peddling.
- 18 We then went to what amounted to a different
- 19 room, and I quess it was his room. I'm not certain.
- 20 But that's what I'm presuming. At which point he then
- 21 was willing to show us the particular DVDs for purposes
- 22 of authentication.
- 23 I know that Terry, I think, viewed a very brief
- 24 snippet before he understood, of course, that he was
- 25 present. From what I can recall, I believe he

- 1 attempted, then, to play each DVD, would show a brief
- 2 snippet that I then looked at. It seemed as though
- 3 Terry, frankly, had had enough and stepped back. It
- 4 was, again, very brief. It wasn't an actual viewing of
- 5 the videotapes but more along the lines of "Okay. Yep,
- 6 that's Terry. Next one. Oh, that's Terry. Next one,"
- 7 which, of course, in a real transaction you would never
- 8 do because they simply could have been copies of the
- 9 first one. You never knew.
- 10 At that point the polygrapher contacted, I
- 11 believe via text, although I'm not positive, may have
- 12 been a phone call, advised he was finished with his
- 13 examination and we could return to the room.
- We returned to the room. The polygrapher
- 15 announced his result. It appeared as though
- 16 Ms. Burbridge -- and then we later learned her name --
- 17 was telling the truth, with the primary issue being "Are
- 18 these the originals? Are there any copies still in your
- 19 possession?" And as I understood it, Ms. Burbridge was
- 20 an intermediary for an individual known only as Mr. X.
- 21 And, of course, Ms. Burbridge being polygraphed was an
- 22 absolute waste of time because she wouldn't know whether
- 23 Mr. X had them or not.
- 24 There was, again, some random discussion with
- 25 Mr. Davidson. At that point the polygrapher has left or

- 1 Q Okay. In terms of the first DVD viewed, how
- 2 long did you spend viewing that DVD?
- 3 A That might have actually been the longest one.
- 4 I'd say maybe 10 or 15 seconds maximum.
- 5 O Ten or 15 seconds?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Okay.
- 8 A It was, again, very brief. As I think I
- 9 indicated, Mr. Bollea looked at the video -- thinking
- 10 back to it, it -- it became obvious he was upset and
- 11 stepped away, saying "That's me." And that was pretty
- 12 much the end of video No. 1.
- 13 Q Okay.
- 14 A Video No. 2 and 3, as shown on disc, whether
- 15 they be independent videos, to this day, Mr. Sullivan, I
- 16 don't know. It could be the same video copied. I don't
- 17 know. What I do know is it appeared as though it
- 18 referenced the same character in each one. Whether they
- 19 were representative of separate videotapes would be up
- 20 to someone who's actually seen them.
- 21 Q All right. Or seen more of them.
- 22 A Sure.
- 23 Q All right. Now, what, if anything, was said
- 24 while the tapes were being watched?
- 25 A Not much.

- I would suggest to you that my brief viewing,
- 2 of course, did indicate to me that it appeared to be
- 3 someone similar to Mr. Bollea.
- 4 O All right.
- 5 A I did not see the female in what I observed,
- 6 literally, at all. I essentially saw the back of
- 7 Mr. Bollea and then a bit of a side profile on the last.
- 8 Q Okay. Did you see any other persons who
- 9 appeared on the tape other than Mr. Bollea?
- 10 A I don't remember.
- 11 Q Okay. Were you able to identify Heather Clem?
- 12 A You know, I wasn't familiar with Heather Clem.
- 13 It would be, to me, like seeing someone you don't know,
- 14 then someone later saying, "Were you able to identify
- 15 them as a specific person?"
- I think -- I -- I didn't know her, certainly
- 17 facial features or otherwise, well enough to suggest
- 18 that a brief viewing could result in an identification.
- 19 Q All right. Were you -- were you able to
- 20 identify any of the persons who appeared on the video by
- 21 voice?
- 22 A I don't think the audio was turned up, now that
- 23 you mention it. I don't remember hearing the audio.
- 24 Q All right.
- 25 A I don't recall. And I think I'm safer with

- 1 A There appeared to be three DVDs, not all at
- 2 once placed in a player, but individually one at a time.
- 3 Whether they were different DVDs or one DVD and two
- 4 copies remains to be seen, I quess.
- 5 Q Okay. What --
- 6 A I don't know.
- 7 Q Okay. What order did you view the three tapes
- 8 in?
- 9 A First one was first.
- 10 O No.
- 11 A There's no time, date stamp on the DVDs for me
- 12 to draw reference points.
- 13 Q No. I understand that. But the reason I ask
- 14 you is because he gives you this Exhibit B, and it says
- 15 first tape, second tape. You know what I mean? It
- 16 purports --
- 17 A Right.
- 18 Q -- to provide contents to three different
- 19 tapes.
- 20 A Right.
- 21 Q Did he then say to you when you were in his
- 22 room, "Okay. I'm going to show you an excerpt of the
- 23 first tape"?
- 24 A No. I think the idea was at that point in time
- 25 not to verify everything that he claimed was on the

- 1 you?
- 2 A Not by me.
- 3 Q Okay. Did you see a -- a man at any point
- 4 other than Mr. Hogan in the portion you viewed?
- 5 A I do not recall seeing a man. That's
- 6 something --
- 7 Q Okay.
- 8 A -- that I just don't remember seeing.
- 9 Q All right. On any tape that you viewed, did
- 10 you ever hear the voice of Bubba Clem or the voice of
- 11 who you believed to be Bubba Clem?
- 12 A No, I didn't hear any voices.
- Okay. Mr. Houston, have you watched the entire
- 14 sex tape that was sent to Gawker?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q Have you watched the excerpts that were posted
- 17 by Gawker on the Internet?
- 18 A And maybe I should clarify that. When you say
- 19 "the entire sex tape sent to Gawker," I don't know what
- 20 was sent to Gawker, and Gawker hasn't invited me in to
- 21 see. So I don't know how to answer that other than
- 22 "No."
- 23 Q Okay.
- MR. HARDER: They produced a -- 30 minutes.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Right. I guess what I'm saying

- 1 I, KIMBERLY J. WALDIE, a Certified Shorthand
- 2 Reporter licensed in the State of California and the
- 3 State of Nevada, do hereby certify:
- That on FRIDAY, APRIL 10, 2015, at the offices
- 5 of Hoogs Reporting Group, 435 Marsh Avenue, Reno,
- 6 Nevada, personally appeared DAVID HOUSTON, who was duly
- 7 sworn to testify and deposed in the matter entitled
- 8 herein; that, before the proceedings' completion, the
- 9 reading and signing of the deposition were not requested
- 10 by the parties; that said deposition was taken in
- 11 verbatim stenotype notes by me, a Certified Shorthand
- 12 Reporter, and thereafter transcribed into typewriting as
- 13 herein appears;
- 14 That the foregoing transcript, consisting of
- 15 pages 1 through 229, is a full, true and correct
- 16 transcription of my stenotype notes of said deposition
- 17 to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
- I further certify that I am not a relative or
- 19 employee of counsel of any of the parties, nor
- 20 a relative or employee of any party involved in said
- 21 action, nor financially interested in the action
- Dated at Reno, Nevada, this 14th day of April,
- 23 2015.

24

KIMBERLY J. WALDIE, CSR No. NV CCR #720, RPR

		23	31
1			
2		OFFICER'S ACTIONS RE SIGNING OF DEPOSITION	
3		PURSUANT TO NEVADA RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE	
4			
5	DATE		
6	4-14-15	AT DIRECTION OF COUNSEL A RULE 30 LETTER	
7		WAS SENT TO THE WITNESS, DAVID HOUSTON	
8			
9			
10			
11			
12		WITNESS SIGNED DEPO	
13			
14		ORIGINAL SENT TO	
15			
16		OTHER ACTIONS	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			