EXHIBIT 71-C

to the

CONFIDENTIAL DECLARATION OF GREGG D. THOMAS IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' OBJECTIONS

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA CIVIL DIVISION

TERRY GENE BOLLEA, professionally known as HULK HOGAN,

Plaintiff, Case No.

12-012447-CI-011

VS.

HEATHER CLEM; GAWKER MEDIA, LLC, aka GAWKER MEDIA, et al.,

Defendants.

CONFIDENTIAL -- ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY

HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES R. CASE

DATE: July 18, 2014

TIME: 9:10 a.m. to 12:50 p.m.

PLACE: Riesdorph Reporting Group

601 Cleveland Street

Suite 600

Clearwater, Florida

REPORTED BY: Aaron T. Perkins, RPR

Notary Public, State of

Florida at Large

Pages 1 to 168

```
1
     APPEARANCES:
2
       CHARLES J. HARDER, ESQUIRE
3
       Harder Mirell & Abrams, LLP
       1925 Century Park East
4
       Suite 800
       Los Angeles, California 90067
5
            - and -
6
       KENNETH G. TURKEL, ESQUIRE
7
       Bajo Cuva Cohen & Turkel, P.A.
       100 North Tampa Street
8
       Suite 1900
       Tampa, Florida 33602
9
10
            Attorneys for Plaintiff
11
12
13
     CONTINUED:
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
    APPEARANCES CONTINUED AS FOLLOWS:
2
3
       SETH D. BERLIN, ESQUIRE
       MICHAEL D. SULLIVAN, ESQUIRE
4
       Levine Sullivan Koch & Schulz, LLP
      1899 L Street, N.W.
5
       Suite 200
       Washington, D.C. 20036
6
            - and -
7
       MICHAEL BERRY, ESQUIRE
8
       Levine Sullivan Koch & Schulz, LLP
       1760 Market Street
       Suite 1001
       Philadelphia, PA 19103
10
            - and -
11
       GREGG D. THOMAS, ESQUIRE
12
       Thomas & LoCicero, PL
       601 South Boulevard
13
       Tampa, Florida 33606
14
               and -
15
       HEATHER L. DIETRICK, ESQUIRE
       General Counsel
16
       Gawker Media
       210 Elizabeth Street
17
       Third Floor
       New York, New York 10012
18
            Attorneys for Defendant Gawker Media, LLC,
19
            et al.
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Confidential

```
1
                      PROCEEDINGS
2
              (Hearing proceedings called to order at
3
        9:10 a.m.)
4
              JUDGE CASE:
                          Well, welcome back.
5
             MR. HARDER: Thank you. And thanks for
6
        scheduling and fitting us all in.
7
              JUDGE CASE: It worked out absolutely fine.
8
             On the amended notice of the hearing, I have
9
        got a motion for sanctions by the defendants, in
10
        which I think you want to take first; is that
11
        right?
12
             MR. BERLIN:
                          Yes.
13
             JUDGE CASE: Okay.
14
             MR. HARDER: They filed it first.
15
             JUDGE CASE: And then subsequent to that is
16
        the protective -- the motion for protective order?
17
             MR. HARDER: Right.
             JUDGE CASE: Okay.
18
19
             MR. HARDER: There may be some overlap when
20
        we talk about them, so --
21
             JUDGE CASE: That's fine.
22
             MR. BERLIN: And we thought --
23
             MR. BERRY: And time permitting at the end --
24
        Charles and I had talked last week about
25
        developing a schedule, perhaps, for discovery and
```

to point out is the similarity of this motion with their February 12th motion. They brought a motion to compel compliance with the Court's October 29 order and for sanctions. Your Honor heard it and Your Honor denied it. The things that are in their motion now, it's a lot of the same stuff that was in that prior motion that you denied. It was things that, We never got the date right. We initially said that the sexual encounters happened in or about 2006 and then later we said in or about 2008 and then later on we said mid 2007.

They already brought a motion for sanctions on that. It was denied. There is no reason for sanctions for something like that. If somebody makes an estimate and then they revise their estimate, you don't sanction them because they revised their estimate. And it was certainly not concealing anything. We did not have the dates quite right. We did not have records about the dates.

He talks about a letter from the AUSA which identified communications that came from an extortionist. He talks about how there exists certain other tapes. I have never seen any of those tapes. They have never seen any of those

tapes. Mr. Bollea has never seen any of those tapes. Nobody on either side of this table or Your Honor or Judge Campbell has ever seen any of these supposed tapes. We don't know if they exist or not. Nobody has seen them. Maybe they exist and maybe they don't.

An extortionist said they exist, an extortionist who wanted money and wanted to make certain representations of what was in the supposed tapes, that there is racial comments, that there is all kinds of other comments in there, nobody has seen any of these things.

But yet Mr. Berlin says these tape exist. He told you that about ten times. These tapes exist, and I concealed that. Nobody has seen them. I haven't concealed anything. I don't know if they exist. When he asked for communications with the FBI, we produced it. Those communications had in there communications from an extortionist saying, These are the -- these tapes exist, and these are what's on them. We produced them. They have it.

I think the only potential prejudice here is that -- it's not even a prejudice. If they had wanted the FBI communications sooner -- they knew that we were talking to the FBI. They waited a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

never said they do. I didn't know. But there was a discussion if other tapes existed -- and we were going with the premise that there might exist other tapes. And we discussed that if there are other tapes in existence and if they have ever come to any of our possession, Gawker was concerned that they could become destroyed or concealed or something like that.

And I did make the decision that I don't see how other tapes are relevant to this case, because this case is about the one tape that they got, not any other tapes that might exist of other incidents and encounters between Mr. Bollea and Ms. Clem, because they did not publish those. They didn't have them in their possession. don't have them in their possession now. We don't have them. We have never seen them. Maybe they exist. Maybe they don't exist.

about if there are tapes. And Judge Campbell said, Well, if there are tapes, preserve them, and they are going to go to Judge Case, and Judge Case will review these tapes to determine if there is relevant dialogue, such as Mr. Clem allegedly

But we had a conversation with Judge Campbell saying, Now we can get rich. And, apparently, if

accurate information, or the AUSA made a typo. I don't know. And then the third one has no date on it.

And so it's possible that these were all from July 13 and that maybe it's a first part, a second part, and a third part. Maybe it's three copies of the same thing. We don't know. We've never seen it. But in the extortionist's effort to try to get money and as much money as possible and to scare Mr. Bollea into thinking that his life is going to come to a screeching halt if he doesn't pay them off, it makes all these allegations about what are in these various tapes. And, again, we redacted out a few words out of here based upon the prior ruling.

This actually falls within Judge Campbell's protocol that these things actually should be going to you, to determine if any of these words are relevant to the case, because -- I mean, there is a lot of graphic words here. I'm about to read it, but he's typing this in. Can we go off the record one second so I can say some of these words.

JUDGE CASE: I think the record can stand it. Judge Campbell may not like it, though.

```
1
                     REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2
3
    STATE OF FLORIDA
4
    COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH
5
6
              I, Aaron T. Perkins, Registered Professional
7
         Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did
         stenographically report the above hearing and that
8
         the transcript is a true and complete record of my
         stenographic notes.
9
10
11
              I further certify that I am not a relative,
         employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the
         parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of
12
         the parties' attorney or counsel connected with
13
         the action, nor am I financially interested in the
         action.
14
15
16
              Dated this 22nd day of July, 2014.
17
18
19
20
21
22
                            Aaron T. Perkins, RPR
23
24
25
```