IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY FLORIDA

TERRY GENE BOLLEA professionally known as HULK HOGAN,

Plaintiff,

vs.	Case No. 12012447CI-011
HEATHER CLEM, et al.,	
Defendants.	
	/

PUBLISHER DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED JURY VERDICT FORM

Trial: July 6, 2015

Presiding Judge:

Pamela A.M. Campbell Circuit Judge

CLAIM FOR PUBLICATION OF PRIVATE FACTS

1. Did Plaintiff prove that, by publishing the video excerpts, Defendants disclosed to the public private information about him, and that a reasonable person would find the disclosure highly offensive?
YES NO
If your answer to Question 1 is "YES," please proceed to Question 2.
If your answer to Question 1 is "NO," please proceed to Question 5.
2. Did Plaintiff prove by clear and convincing evidence that Defendants' publication of the video excerpts was not related to a matter of public concern?
YES NO
If your answer to Question 2 is "YES," please proceed to Question 3.
If your answer to Question 2 is "NO," please proceed to Question 5.
3. Do you find, by clear and convincing evidence, that Defendants, in publishing the video excerpts, knew that they were publishing material that did not relate to a matter of public concern, or entertained serious doubts about whether the material related to a matter of public concern, but published the video excerpts despite those doubts?
YES NO
If your answer to Question 3 is "YES," please proceed to Question 4.
If your answer to Question 3 is "NO," please proceed to Question 5.

4.	Did	Plai	ntiff	prove	that he	suffered	emotional	distress	as	a
resu	lt of	the	publi	ication	of priv	ate facts	?			

YES	NO
	NO

Please proceed to Question 5.

CLAIM FOR INTRUSION UPON SECLUSION

5. Did Plaintiff prove that Defendants intentionally intruded, through physical or electronic means, into a place where Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation of privacy?
YES NO
If your answer to Question 5 is "YES," please proceed to Question 6.
If your answer to Question 5 is "NO," please proceed to Question 9.
6. Do you find, by clear and convincing evidence, that Defendants' publication of the video excerpts was not related to a matter of public concern?
YES NO
If your answer to Question 6 is "YES," please proceed to Question 7.
If your answer to Question 6 is "NO," please proceed to Question 9.
7. Do you find, by clear and convincing evidence, that Defendants, in publishing the video excerpts, knew that they were publishing material that did not relate to a matter of public concern, or entertained serious doubts about whether the material related to a matter of public concern, but published the video excerpts despite those doubts?
YES NO
If your answer to Question 7 is "YES," please proceed to Question 8.
If your answer to Question 7 is "NO," please proceed to Question 9.

8.	Did	Plaintiff pro	ove that	he	suffered	emotional	distress	as	а
resu	lt of	Defendants	' intrusi	on?					

Please proceed to Question 9.

CLAIM FOR MISAPPROPRIATION OF THE RIGHT OF PUBLICITY

Defendants made unauthorized use of his name or image for a

commercial purpose?

Did Plaintiff prove that, by publishing the video excerpts,

YES NO
If your answer to Question 9 is "YES," please proceed to Question 10.
If your answer to Question 9 is "NO," please proceed to Question 13.
10. Do you find, by clear and convincing evidence, that Defendants' publication of the video excerpts was not related to a matter of public concern?
Defendants' publication of the video excerpts was not related
Defendants' publication of the video excerpts was not related to a matter of public concern?

Defend were public the n	dants, i publishi concer naterial	find, by n publis ng mate n, or en related video ex	hing the rial the itertain to a	e vide at did ed se matte	o exc not rious er of	erpts, relate doubts publi	knew to a s abou c con	that matte t whe	they er of ether
	VES	NC)						

If your 12.	answer to	Question	11 is	"YES,"	please	proceed	to (Question
If your 13.	answer to	Question	11 is	"NO,"	please	proceed	to (Question

12. Did Plaintiff prove that he suffered an economic injury or loss as a result of the unauthorized use of his name or image for a commercial purpose?

7700	N.T.CO
YES	NO

Please proceed to Question 13.

CLAIM FOR INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

13. Did Plaintiff prove that, by publishing the video excerpts,
Defendants engaged in extreme and outrageous conduct and
intentionally or knowingly caused him to suffer severe
emotional distress?

YES NO
If your answer to Question 13 is "YES," please proceed to Question 14.
If your answer to Question 13 is "NO," please proceed to Question 17.
14. Do you find, by clear and convincing evidence, that Defendants' publication of the video excerpts was not related to a matter of public concern?
YES NO
If your answer to Question 14 is "YES," please proceed to Question 15.

If your answer to Question 14 is "NO," please proceed to Question

17.

15. Do you : Defendants, in were publishin public concern the material published the	publishing to g material to a, or entertai related to a	he video exc hat did not ned serious matter of	cerpts, knew relate to a doubts about public co	that they matter of ut whether
YES	NO			

If your	answer	to	Question	15	is	"YES,"	please	proceed	to	Question
16.										

If your answer to Question 15 is "NO," please proceed to Question 17.

16. Did Plaintiff prove that he suffered severe emotional distress as a result of the publication of the video excerpts?

YES NO	YES	NO
--------	-----	----

Please proceed to Question 17.

CLAIM UNDER FLORIDA'S WIRETAP ACT

17. Did Plaintiff prove that, by publishing the video excerpts,

Defendants disclosed intercepted oral communications, in

which Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation of privacy, knowing or having reason to know that the communications were recorded without Plaintiff's knowledge or consent?
YES NO
If your answer to Question 17 is "YES," please proceed to Question 18.
If your answer to Question 17 is "NO," please proceed to the instructions before Question 21.
18. Do you find, by clear and convincing evidence, that Defendants' publication of the video excerpts was not related to a matter of public concern?
YES NO
If your answer to Question 18 is "YES," please proceed to Question 19.

If your answer to Question 18 is "NO," please proceed to the

instructions before Question 21.

19. Do you find, by clear and convincing evidence, that
Defendants, in publishing the video excerpts, knew that they
were publishing material that did not relate to a matter of
public concern, or entertained serious doubts about whether
the material related to a matter of public concern, but
published the video excerpts despite those doubts?

YES	NO
-----	----

If your answer to Question 19 is "YES," please proceed to Question 20.

If your answer to Question 19 is "NO," please proceed to the instructions before Question 21.

20. Do you find that Defendants did not have a good faith belief that the publication was related to a matter of public concern or otherwise lawful?

YES	 NO	
	 NO	

Please proceed to the instructions before Question 21.

COMPENSATORY DAMAGES

If your final answer under the Claims for Publication of Private Facts, Intrusion Upon Seclusion, and/or Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress was "YES," please proceed to Question 21.

If your final answer under the Claims for Publication of Private Facts, Intrusion Upon Seclusion, and Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress was "NO," please proceed to the instructions before Question 22.

21.	What	amount	do	you	award	Plaintiff	to	compensate	him
for	emotio	nal distre	ess?						

EMOTIONAL DISTRESS DAMAGES	\$
----------------------------	----

If your final answer under the Claim for Misappropriation of the Right of Publicity was "YES," please proceed to Question 22.

If your final answer under the Claim for Misappropriation of the Right of Publicity was "NO," please proceed to the instructions before Question 23.

22. What amount do you award Plaintiff to compensate him for economic injury or loss?

ECONOMIC INJURY OR LOSS DAMAGES \$_____

If your final answer under the Claim under Florida's Wiretap Act was "YES," please proceed to Question 23.

If your final answer under the Claim under Florida's Wiretap Act was "NO," please proceed to the instructions before Question 24.

23. Damages for a violation of Florida's Wiretap Act are capped at \$1,000. If you find damages of less than \$1,000, please state the amount below. Otherwise, write "\$1,000" below.

WIRETAP ACT DAMAGES	\$	
---------------------	----	--

Please proceed to the instructions before Question 24.

PUNITIVE DAMAGES

[IF BIFURCATION IS NOT GRANTED]

If you awarded compensatory damages to Plaintiff pursuant to Questions 21, 22, and/or 23, please proceed to Question 24.

If you did not award any compensatory damages to Plaintiff, please proceed to the last page [FOREPERSON SIGNATURE].

24. For just those claims for which you awarded compensatory damages, do you find by clear and convincing evidence that Defendants were guilty of intentional misconduct, which was a substantial cause of damage to Plaintiff?

	YES	NO
If your 25.	answer to Q	uestion 24 is "YES," please proceed to Question
If your	answer to Q	ruestion 24 is "NO," please proceed to the last

25. You may in your discretion decline to assess punitive damages. Do you find that punitive damages are warranted?

YES	NO	

page [FOREPERSON SIGNATURE].

If your answer to Question 25 is "YES," please proceed to Question 26.

If your answer to Question 25 is "NO," please proceed to the last page [FOREPERSON SIGNATURE].

26. For which claim(s) do warranted? Please list the c	you believe punitive damages are laim(s) below.
Please proceed to Question 27	
•	t amount of punitive damages is 0) as the amount of punitive damages
FROM GAWKER MEDIA	\$
FROM NICK DENTON	\$
FROM A.J. DAULERIO	\$
Please proceed to the last	page [FOREPERSON SIGNATURE].

PUNITIVE DAMAGES

[IF BIFURCATION IS GRANTED – PHASE I]

If you awarded compensatory damages to Plaintiff pursuant to Questions 21, 22, and/or 23, please proceed to Question 24.

If you did not award any compensatory damages to Plaintiff, please proceed to the last page [FOREPERSON SIGNATURE].

24. For just those claims for which you awarded compensatory damages, do you find by clear and convincing evidence that Defendants were guilty of intentional misconduct, which was a substantial cause of damage to Plaintiff?

	1120	_	110	-						
If your 25.	answer	to	Question	24	is	"YES,"	please	proceed	to	Question

If your answer to Question 24 is "NO," please proceed to the last page [FOREPERSON SIGNATURE].

25. You may in your discretion decline to assess punitive damages. Do you find that punitive damages are warranted?

YES	NO	

NO

VFS

If your answer to Question 25 is "YES," please proceed to Question 26.

If your answer to Question 25 is "NO," please proceed to the last page [FOREPERSON SIGNATURE].

26 .	For	which	claim(s)	do	you	believe	punitive	damages	are
warı	ante	d? Ple	ase list tl	ne c	laim	(s) below	'•		

Please proceed to the last page [FOREPERSON SIGNATURE].

PUNITIVE DAMAGES

[IF BIFURCATION IS GRANTED – PHASE II]

27. In your discretion, what amount of punitive damages is warranted? You may enter (0) as the amount of punitive damages for any or all defendants.

FROM GAWKER MEDIA	\$	<u> </u>
FROM NICK DENTON	\$	_
FROM A.J. DAULERIO	\$	_
SO SAY WE ALL, this d	ay of	, 2015.
		FOREPERSON

FOREPERSON SIGNATURE

SO SAY WE ALL, this	day of	, 2015.
		FOREPERSON