EXHIBIT 7

to the

MOTION FOR AN ORDER DECLARING THAT PLAINTIFF HAS IMPROPERLY DESIGNATED CERTAIN DISCOVERY MATERIALS AS "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY"

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA

TERRY GENE BOLLEA professionally known as HULK HOGAN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No. 12012447CI-011

HEATHER CLEM, et al.,

Defendants.

STIPULATED REPORT & RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff Terry Gene Bollea and Defendant Gawker Media, LLC jointly stipulate to the

protocol for the Freedom of Information Act request to be made by Gawker's counsel as outlined

in the correspondence attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

Dated: October 14, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

HARDER MIRELL & ABRAMS LLP

By:

Charles J. Harder Pro Hac Vice Number: 102333 Douglas Mirell Pro Hac Vice Number: 109885 HARDER MIRELL & ABRAMS LLP 1925 Century Park East, Suite 800 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (424) 203-1600 Fax: (424) 203-1601 charder@hmafirm.com

and

Kenneth G. Turkel, Esq. Florida Bar No. 867233 Christina K. Ramirez, Esq. Florida Bar No. 954497 BAJO CUVA COHEN TURKEL THOMAS & LOCICERO PL-

By:

Gregg D. Thomas Florida Bar No.: 223913 Rachel E. Fugate Florida Bar No.: 0144029 601 South Boulevard P.O. Box 2602 (33601) Tampa, FL 33606 Telephone: (813) 984-3060 Facsimile: (813) 984-3070 gthomas@tlolawfirm.com rfugate@tlolawfirm.com

and

Seth D. Berlin Pro Hac Vice Number: 103440 Michael Sullivan Pro Hac Vice Number: 53347 Michael Berry 100 North Tampa Street, Suite 1900 Tampa, FL 33602 Telephone: (813) 443-2199 Fax: (813) 443-2193 kturkel@bajocuva.com cramirez@bajocuva.com

Counsel for Plaintiff Terry Gene Bollea

Pro Hac Vice Number: 108191 Alia L. Smith Pro Hac Vice Number: 104249 Paul J. Safier Pro Hac Vice Number: 103437 Julie B. Ehrlich Pro Hac Vice Number: 108190 LEVINE SULLIVAN KOCH & SCHULZ, LLP 1899 L Street, NW, Suite 200 Washington, DC 20036 Telephone: (202) 508-1122 Facsimile: (202) 861-9888 sberlin@lskslaw.com msullivan@lskslaw.com mberry@lskslaw.com asmith@lskslaw.com psafier@lskslaw.com jehrlich@lskslaw.com

Counsel for Defendant Gawker Media, LLC

SO RECOMMENDED:

10.20.14

Wal JAMES R. CASE

James R. Case Special Discovery Magistrate

Copies furnished to: Counsel of Record

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this $\frac{15}{15}$ day of October, 2014, I caused a true and correct

copy of the foregoing to be served electronically upon the following counsel of record at their

respective email addresses via the Florida Courts E-Filing Portal:

Kenneth G. Turkel, Esq. kturkel@BajoCuva.com Christina K. Ramirez, Esq. cramirez@BajoCuva.com Bajo Cuva Cohen & Turkel, P.A. 100 N. Tampa Street, Suite 1900 Tampa, FL 33602 Tcl: (813) 443-2199 Fax: (813) 443-2193

Charles J. Harder, Esq. charder@HMAfirm.com Douglas E. Mirell, Esq. dmirell@HMAfirm.com Harder Mirell & Abrams LLP 1925 Century Park East, Suite 800 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel: (424) 203-1600 Fax: (424) 203-1601 David Houston, Esq. Law Office of David Houston dhouston@houstonatlaw.com 432 Court Street Reno, NV 89501 Tel: (775) 786-4188

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Barry A. Cohen, Esq. bcohen@tampalawfirm.com Michael W. Gaines mgaines@tampalawfirm.com Barry A. Cohen Law Group 201 East Kennedy Boulevard, Suite 1000 Tampa, FL 33602 Tel: (813) 225-1655 Fax: (813) 225-1921

Attorneys for Defendant Heather Clem

Gregg Thomas Attorney



LEVINE SULLIVAN Koch & Schulz, LLP

1760 Market Street Suite 1001 Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215) 988-9778 | Phone (215) 988-9750 | Fax

Michael Berry (215) 988-9773 mberry@lskslaw.com

September 29, 2014

VIA E-MAIL

Charles J. Harder, Esq. Harder Mirell & Abrams LLP 1925 Century Park East, Suite 800 Los Angeles, CA 90067

Re: Bollea v. Clem, et al. No. 12012447-CI-011 (Fla. Cir. Ct.)

Dear Charles:

I write to follow up our conversations about a mutually agreeable protocol for our Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") request seeking records concerning the federal government's investigation relating to the sex tape(s) involving plaintiff.

As Seth, you, and I discussed, we understand that plaintiff firmly believes that these records are not relevant and that our request for this information is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. We, in turn, disagree with plaintiff's belief about the records' relevance and his position that Gawker and its counsel should not be able to review certain materials that might be in the government's files without the Court reviewing them first. Nevertheless, both sides recognize that the Court has issued rulings that bear on these issues, and, in the spirit of compromise and in an effort to move the process along, we have agreed to establish a protocol for facilitating the FOIA request and subsequent review of any records provided by the government, without intending to waive our respective positions in connection with earlier rulings by the Court.

Based on our discussion, I set out below what I understand we agreed to in principle. Both sides understand that this agreement is intended only to address the procedure with respect to the FOIA request. Each party is preserving its rights and positions concerning the discoverability, relevance, or admissibility of any material the government produces in response to the FOIA request, and each party is preserving its right to challenge Judge Case's rulings, including any rulings on a party's confidentiality designations:





Charles J. Harder, Esq. September 29, 2014 Page 2

- Counsel for the Gawker defendants, along with counsel for plaintiff, will call the U.S. Attorney's office and/or FBI before Gawker's counsel makes the FOIA request to explain our agreed upon protocol and seek their guidance on how best to ensure the government's assistance in complying with it.
- Plaintiff and his counsel will provide signed authorizations for the release of records to the Gawker defendants. Gawker will treat the Social Security numbers on the authorizations as "Highly Confidential Attorney's Eyes Only" and will not disseminate them to anyone other than in submitting the FOIA request to the government.
- Counsel for the Gawker defendants will make the FOIA request, copying plaintiff's counsel. The request will note that plaintiff believes that records relating to the investigation are not relevant to this litigation, but he and his counsel have provided signed FOIA waivers based on a court order. We will provide a draft of the request to you before submitting it to the government, for you to review and revise as it pertains to plaintiff's position. Alternatively, if plaintiff prefers, we will include a separate letter from you stating plaintiff's position.
- **Responsive Documents:** Any documents that Gawker's counsel receives from the government will be treated as "Highly Confidential – Attorney's Eyes Only" pending plaintiff's review of the documents. Gawker's counsel will FedEx copies of the documents to plaintiff's counsel within two business days of receiving them. Plaintiff then will have 30 days from the date of receipt to review the documents and decide whether to designate any of them as "Confidential" under the Protective Order or "Highly Confidential – Attorney's Eyes Only" under Judge Campbell's April 23, 2014 ruling.
- **DVDs or Other Video Footage:** In the call with the government and in the FOIA request itself, counsel for Gawker will ask the government to provide any videos that the government agrees to produce in response to the request in a separate sealed envelope addressed to Judge James Case (Ret.), who will personally pick up the videos in Tampa. If the government inadvertently sends any video to Gawker's counsel, counsel will not open any sealed envelope containing videos and will not review any videos provided by the government, except as provided below. Gawker's counsel will send any videos to Judge Case within two business days of receiving them.



Charles J. Harder, Esq. September 29, 2014 Page 3

- Prior to reviewing any video, Judge Case will provide the parties' counsel with an index of what he has received, describing the number of videos, their form (*i.e.*, DVD, tape, etc.), and any title that is on the video. Judge Case will preserve the videos until the final disposition of this case, including any appeals.
- Judge Case will review any videos provided to him, in their entirety, to determine if they contain any nudity, or any sexual content, or any material covered by the protective order plaintiff sought during the July 18, 2014 hearing (any and all of which is referred to herein as "Nudity, Sexual Content, or Protective Order Material"). If any videos do not contain any Nudity, Sexual Content, or Protective Order Material, then Judge Case will provide such videos to Gawker's counsel, and Gawker's counsel will then provide copies to plaintiff's counsel and will treat them as "Highly Confidential – Attorney's Eyes Only" until plaintiff's counsel has had 30 days to review them and make any confidentiality designations.
- With respect to videos that contain any Nudity, Sexual Content, or Protective . Order Material, Judge Case will review them to determine whether the videos or any portions of the videos are relevant or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. In connection with Judge Case's conducting that review and making his recommendations, Gawker might request of Judge Case to make a confidential, ex parte proffer to Judge Case about Gawker's theories on why content that might be on the recordings should be deemed to be relevant or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. (Plaintiff opposes any *ex parte* communications between Gawker and Judge Case, or any protocol contemplating any such ex parte communications.) If Judge Case permits any ex parte proffer by Gawker's counsel: Judge Case will not provide Gawker's counsel with any information about the contents of any videos, except as provided below: any such proffer by Gawker will be treated as confidential and not shared with plaintiff or his counsel; and any such proffer will be made on the record and transcribed by a court reporter, in case it is needed for further review of Judge Case's recommendations concerning his review of the videos.
- If Judge Case recommends that any portion of the videos is not relevant or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, he will provide the parties with something akin to a privilege log, generally describing any footage being withheld and the basis for withholding it, providing sufficient detail so that his recommendations could, if needed, be subject to further review, but while respecting the privacy interests of the plaintiff.



Charles J. Harder, Esq. September 29, 2014 Page 4

- If Judge Case determines that any statements reflected on the videos containing Nudity, Sexual Content, or Protective Order Material or any portion thereof are relevant or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, then he will arrange for a court reporter to transcribe those statements (at Gawker's expense). Each side retains the right to seek from Judge Case any portions of the videos, including any portions of the audio, that he finds to be relevant or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in which there is no Nudity, Sexual Content, or Protective Order Material. Any transcript, audio recording, or video will be treated as "Highly Confidential – Attorney's Eyes Only" until plaintiff has had 30 days to review them and make any confidentiality designations.
- Prior to Gawker's counsel making the FOIA request, the parties will inform Judge Case of the agreed-upon protocol and provide him with a stipulation and proposed recommendation memorializing the proposed procedure for his receipt, review, and ruling on any videos.

Please confirm that plaintiff agrees to this protocol or let us know if he proposes any revisions. If you have any questions or would like to discuss the protocol, please call me or Seth. If this is otherwise agreeable, please provide us with the signed authorizations as directed by the Court.

We appreciate your working with us to develop a mutually agreeable procedure.

Very truly yours,

LEVINE SULLIVAN KOCH & SCHULZ, LLP

By: Michael Berry

cc: Seth D. Berlin, Esq.