EXHIBIT 3

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA, IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY

TERRY GENE BOLLEA, professionally known as HULK HOGAN,

Plaintiff,

No. 12-012447-CI-011

VS.

HEATHER CLEM; GAWKER MEDIA, LLC, aka GAWKER MEDIA, et al.,

Defendants.

HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE PAMELA CAMPBELL

DATE: October 29, 2013

TIME: 10:22 a.m. to 12:31 p.m.

PLACE: Pinellas County Courthouse

545 First Avenue North St. Petersburg, Florida

REPORTED BY: Susan C. Riesdorph, RPR, CRR

Notary Public, State of

Florida

Pages 1 - 107

```
1
      APPEARANCES:
 2
         CHARLES J. HARDER, ESQUIRE
         Harder Mirell & Abrams, LLP
 3
         1801 Avenue of the Stars
         Suite 1120
 4
         Los Angeles, California 90067
              - and -
 5
         KENNETH G. TURKEL, ESQUIRE
         Bajo Cuva Cohen & Turkel, P.A.
 6
         100 North Tampa Street
         Suite 1900
 7
         Tampa, Florida 33602
              Attorneys for Plaintiff
8
 9
         SETH D. BERLIN, ESQUIRE
         ALIA L. SMITH, ESQUIRE
10
         Levine Sullivan Koch & Schulz, LLP
         1899 L Street, N.W.
11
         Suite 200
         Washington, D.C. 20036
12
              - and -
         GREGG D. THOMAS, ESQUIRE
13
         Thomas & Locicero, PL
         601 South Boulevard
14
         Tampa, Florida 33606
              Attorneys for Defendant Gawker Media, LLC
15
16
         BARRY A. COHEN, ESQUIRE
         Barry A. Cohen Law Group
17
         201 East Kennedy Boulevard
         Suite 1000
18
         Tampa, Florida 33602
              Attorney for Defendant Heather Clem
19
20
21
22
                         INDEX
23
      PROCEEDINGS
                                                    Page
24
      REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
                                                    Page 107
25
```

MR. BERLIN: I'm sorry to interrupt.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

THE COURT: That's all right. I think you're right. When you read them all at once, they all blend after a while. But I appreciate it.

Why don't we go over the financial.

MR. HARDER: Thank you, Your Honor. I wasn't going to take up a lot of time on this.

If Mr. Bollea lost a business opportunity, if a contract was cancelled on him because of what happened with regard to the sex tape being posted, obviously -- and we make that as part of our damages, obviously we will produce all of the nonprivileged documents that pertain to that lost opportunity, lost contract, whatever it happens to We haven't alleged any of that yet. expect that we will. We're still in the process of trying to determine the effects of everything, and we want to make sure that there's causation before we allege something. We don't feel that we've gotten there yet. It may be that it never But that doesn't mean that his tax happens. returns, his loan applications, all financial statements of every type, all financial documents of every type are now fair game.

THE COURT: I guess, though, you filed a

complaint. So --

2.2

MR. HARDER: Right.

THE COURT: -- here we are. So we're a year into the complaint almost. And at what point in time do you say, well, this is what we're -- at some point in time, we'll let you know. No. The time to let them know is now. We're doing the discovery now.

MR. HARDER: I understand, Your Honor. Our damages are twofold in terms of the economic damages. One is Gawker Media's unjust enrichment. Gawker Media received upwards of five million unique viewers because of this sex tape. They posted it. Millions and millions of people went to their websites, and they gained a large number of new viewers. And ad revenues were generated because of that, both short-term ad revenues and long-term ad revenues because now they have potentially five million new people who come to their websites. Perhaps they never knew about Gawker and or their other websites and now they do and now they frequent those websites.

So what we're undertaking is an analysis -- and our motion to compel is seeking this information -- an analysis of where Gawker was

well. There is an interrogatory -- I think

No. 12, but I may be mis-recalling that -- that

asked for the plaintiff to set forth his theories

of damages. We have no sort of meaningful answer

to that. It would seem to me that the first step

in going down the road that Your Honor just

outlined would be to do that.

2.2

THE COURT: I think that's a good idea.

MR. BERLIN: Then we can bring the motion that you just described so that we're all on the same page and we won't have these problems.

THE COURT: I think you're right. In interrogatory No. 12, it says, identify any and all damages purportedly suffered by you as a result of alleged actions by the Gawker defendant and then explain with particularity the basis for your calculation of such alleged damages. So I'm thinking that --

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}.$ HARDER: We gave them a supplemental response to that.

THE COURT: You did?

MR. BERLIN: I don't believe there's any supplemental response to that, Your Honor. We got a supplemental response to document requests. We have no supplemental response to that.

1 MR. HARDER: I may be mistaken. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Harder will look at it. 3 he can give that to you let's say prior to 4 November 11th or whenever the deposition is 5 scheduled, that would be helpful. Is his 6 scheduled on the 11th? 7 MR. BERLIN: I believe he's the 12th, 8 Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Okay. So perhaps you could give 10 that response by the 8th, which is the Friday 11 before. 12 MR. HARDER: I will. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else that I can 14 give clarification on? 15 MR. COHEN: Yes, Your Honor. The deposition 16 of Mr. Clem, is it my understanding that he's not 17 going to show up on the 11th or is he --18 MR. BERLIN: His lawyer is saying he's not 19 available, but we have for two months asked for a 20 different day and said, look, we'll release you on 21 that day if you give us a different day. 2.2 haven't. I would ask the Court to authorize us to 23 proceed because we have counsel coming in from all 24 over the country. 25 THE COURT: It seems to me like it's

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA :
4	COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH :
5	
6	
7	I, Susan C. Riesdorph, RPR, CRR certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes.
9	I further certify that I am not a relative,
10	employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
11	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of the foregoing action.
12	Dated this 31st day of October, 2013, IN THE
13 14	CITY OF TAMPA, COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH, STATE OF FLORIDA.
15	
16	
17	Susan C. Riesdorph, RPR, CRR, CLSP
18	Susair C. Riesdolpii, RrR, CRR, Clist
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
20	