

# EXHIBIT E

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  
IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA

TERRY GENE BOLLEA professionally  
known as HULK HOGAN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No. 12012447CI-011

HEATHER CLEM, *et al.*,

Defendants.

---

**GAWKER MEDIA, LLC's OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF INTENT  
TO SERVE SUBPOENA IN ANOTHER STATE ON FASTLY, INC.**

Pursuant to Florida Rules of Civil Procedure 1.351(b) and 1.410, Defendant Gawker Media, LLC ("Gawker") hereby objects to plaintiff's Notice of Intent to Serve Subpoena for Documents in Another State, filed September 5, 2014, and its proposed subpoena to Fastly, Inc. (a California company) submitted therewith. In support of its objections, Gawker states as follows:

1. The discovery sought is not relevant to this litigation and is not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence because, among other reasons, **Fastly, a service which Gawker uses to host certain audio and video displayed on its websites, did not host any of the content at issue in this litigation.**

2. The discovery sought is overbroad in scope and time, it is harassing, it would impose an undue burden on a non-party, and it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. (*E.g.*, "all documents" concerning "analytics data" related in any way to Gawker, for an unlimited period of time; "all visitor logs" concerning "each of the Gawker websites" since January 1, 2011; "all communications" with Gawker for a nearly four-

year period; “all documents that mention, refer or relate to plaintiff” since January 1, 2011; “all documents that include the word(s) ‘Hogan,’ ‘Hulk’ or ‘Bollea’” since January 1, 2011).

3. To the extent that the requests seek information about Fastly’s relationship and financial transactions with Gawker (or any of the other defendants in the case), that information should be sought in a document request directed to those defendants (who may then object to its discoverability in the normal course). A non-party should not be burdened by such requests. Indeed, those requests seek information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery admissible evidence.

4. To the extent that the requests seek information in Fastly’s control about the relationships between the defendants (*e.g.*, “all documents” concerning “the business relationship between Gawker and Kinja,” “between Gawker and Denton,” and “between Denton and Kinja”), unrelated to Fastly (assuming Fastly even has any such documents), such requests exceed the permissible bounds of discovery imposed by this Court in its Order on Plaintiff’s Motion to Compel Further Responses from Gawker Media, LLC, dated February 26, 2014, and are the subject of ongoing motions practice. Moreover, information about the relationship between the defendants should be sought in a document request directed to those defendants (who may then object to its discoverability in the normal course).

5. To the extent that the requests seek information about websites other than *gawker.com*, such requests exceed the permissible bounds of discovery imposed by this Court in its Order on Plaintiff’s Motion to Compel Further Responses from Gawker Media, LLC, dated February 26, 2014 (“all documents that relate to website traffic, clicks, hits, visitors and/or page views at each of the Gawker websites from January 1, 2010 to the present, including the websites Deadspin, Gizmodo, io9, Jalopnik, Jezebel, Kotaku, and Lifehacker” not required to be

produced). In addition, those requests seek information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery admissible evidence.

6. To the extent that the requests seek “visitor logs for any picture and videos,” or any other information that might identify individuals who visited any of Gawker’s websites, those requests implicate the interests of third parties who have nothing to do with this litigation and no means to object to the subpoena.

Pursuant to Rule 1.351(b) of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, “the documents or things” requested in the proposed subpoena “shall not be produced pending the resolution of the objection.”

Dated: September 22, 2014

Respectfully submitted,  
THOMAS & LOCICERO PL

By: /s/ Gregg D. Thomas  
Gregg D. Thomas  
Florida Bar No.: 223913  
Rachel E. Fugate  
Florida Bar No.: 0144029  
601 South Boulevard  
P.O. Box 2602 (33601)  
Tampa, FL 33606  
Telephone: (813) 984-3060  
Facsimile: (813) 984-3070  
[gthomas@tlolawfirm.com](mailto:gthomas@tlolawfirm.com)  
[rfugate@tlolawfirm.com](mailto:rfugate@tlolawfirm.com)

Seth D. Berlin  
Pro Hac Vice Number: 103440  
Michael Sullivan  
Pro Hac Vice Number: 53347  
Michael Berry  
Pro Hac Vice Number: 108191  
Alia L. Smith  
Pro Hac Vice Number: 104249  
Paul J. Safier  
Pro Hac Vice Number: 103437  
Julie B. Ehrlich  
Pro Hac Vice Number: 108190  
LEVINE SULLIVAN KOCH & SCHULZ, LLP

1899 L Street, NW, Suite 200  
Washington, DC 20036  
Telephone: (202) 508-1122  
Facsimile: (202) 861-9888  
[sberlin@lskslaw.com](mailto:sberlin@lskslaw.com)  
[msullivan@lskslaw.com](mailto:msullivan@lskslaw.com)  
[mberry@lskslaw.com](mailto:mberry@lskslaw.com)  
[asmith@lskslaw.com](mailto:asmith@lskslaw.com)  
[psafier@lskslaw.com](mailto:psafier@lskslaw.com)  
[jehrlich@lskslaw.com](mailto:jehrlich@lskslaw.com)

*Counsel for Gawker Media, LLC*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 22nd day of September 2014, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing to be served via the Florida Courts' E-Filing Portal upon the following counsel of record:

Kenneth G. Turkel, Esq.  
[kturkel@BajoCuva.com](mailto:kturkel@BajoCuva.com)  
Christina K. Ramirez, Esq.  
[cramirez@BajoCuva.com](mailto:cramirez@BajoCuva.com)  
Bajo Cuva Cohen & Turkel, P.A.  
100 N. Tampa Street, Suite 1900  
Tampa, FL 33602  
Tel: (813) 443-2199  
Fax: (813) 443-2193

David Houston, Esq.  
[dhouston@houstonatlaw.com](mailto:dhouston@houstonatlaw.com)  
Law Office of David Houston  
432 Court Street  
Reno, NV 89501  
Tel: (775) 786-4188

Charles J. Harder, Esq.  
[charder@HMAfirm.com](mailto:charder@HMAfirm.com)  
Douglas E. Mirell, Esq.  
[dmirell@HMAfirm.com](mailto:dmirell@HMAfirm.com)  
Harder Mirell & Abrams LLP  
1925 Century Park East, Suite 800  
Los Angeles, CA 90067  
Tel: (424) 203-1600  
Fax: (424) 203-1601

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

Barry A. Cohen, Esq.  
[bcohen@tampalawfirm.com](mailto:bcohen@tampalawfirm.com)  
Michael W. Gaines, Esq.  
[mgaines@tampalawfirm.com](mailto:mgaines@tampalawfirm.com)  
Barry A. Cohen Law Group  
201 East Kennedy Boulevard, Suite 1950  
Tampa, FL 33602  
Tel: (813) 225-1655  
Fax: (813) 225-1921

*Attorneys for Defendant Heather Clem*

/s/ Gregg D. Thomas  
Attorney