

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  
IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA

TERRY GENE BOLLEA professionally  
known as HULK HOGAN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No. 12012447CI-011

HEATHER CLEM; GAWKER MEDIA, LLC  
aka GAWKER MEDIA; GAWKER MEDIA  
GROUP, INC. aka GAWKER MEDIA;  
GAWKER ENTERTAINMENT, LLC;  
GAWKER TECHNOLOGY, LLC; GAWKER  
SALES, LLC; NICK DENTON; A.J.  
DAULERIO; KATE BENNERT, and  
BLOGWIRE HUNGARY SZELLEMI  
ALKOTAST HASZNOSITO KFT aka  
GAWKER MEDIA,

Defendants.

---

**OBJECTIONS OF TERRY BOLLEA TO MOTION FOR COMMISSION  
FOR OUT-OF-STATE SUBPOENA TO PRINCE MARKETING GROUP  
AND MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER**

Plaintiff, Terry Gene Bollea (“Mr. Bollea”), by counsel, pursuant to Rules 1.350, 1.351, 1.410 and 1.280(c) of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, files this Objection to Gawker Media, LLC’s (“Gawker”) July 8, 2014 Motion for Commission for Out-of-State Subpeona for the issuance of a subpoena for documents with deposition to non-party **Prince Marketing Group**, and moves for the entry of a protective order precluding or limiting the taking of the deposition and the production of documents identified in the subpoena. Plaintiff states in support as follows:

1. Mr. Bollea objects to the issuance of the subpoena and commission because it exceeds the scope of permissible discovery in this action. Based on the broad

{BC00052399:1}

categories of documents Gawker seeks, the subpoena is overbroad, oppressive, and harassing; it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence; and it invades upon Plaintiff's constitutional privacy rights. Further, Mr. Bollea objects to the subpoena to the extent it seeks documents in violation of Judge Campbell's February 26, 2014 protective order, which states that "inquiry into the . . . financial records . . . of Terry Bollea . . . is hereby prohibited."

2. Mr. Bollea objects to the deposition sought through the subpoena because the Topics for Deposition listed in Schedule B are overbroad, oppressive, and harassing; they are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence; and they invade upon Plaintiff's constitutional privacy rights. Further, Mr. Bollea objects to the Topics to the extent they seek information in violation of Judge Campbell's February 26, 2014 protective order, which states that "inquiry into the . . . financial records . . . of Terry Bollea . . . is hereby prohibited."

3. Mr. Bollea also moves for a protective order precluding or limiting the scope of the deposition and subpoena pursuant to Rule 1.280(c) of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure on these same grounds. A court may make any order to protect a party or person from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense that justice requires regarding discovery matters. Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.280(c). "In deciding whether a protective order is appropriate in a particular case, the court must balance the competing interest that would be served by granting discovery or by denying it." *Rasmussen v. Fla. Blood Serv., Inc.*, 500 So.2d 533, 535 (Fla. 1987).

4. Pursuant to Rule 1.351(b) of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, because Mr. Bollea served this objection to the production sought in the subpoena, “the documents or things shall not be produced pending the resolution of the objection....”

DATED: July 17, 2014

*/s/ Kenneth G. Turkel*

---

Kenneth G. Turkel, Esq.  
Florida Bar No. 867233  
Christina K. Ramirez, Esq.  
Florida Bar No. 954497  
BAJO | CUVA | COHEN | TURKEL  
100 North Tampa Street, Suite 1900  
Tampa, Florida 33602  
Tel: (813) 443-2199  
Fax: (813) 443-2193  
Email: kturkel@bajocuva.com  
Email: cramirez@bajocuva.com

-and-

Charles J. Harder, Esq.  
PHV No. 102333  
Douglas Mirell, Esq.  
PHV No. 109885  
Harder Mirell & Abrams LLP  
1925 Century Park East, Suite 800  
Los Angeles, CA 90067  
Tel: (424) 203-1600  
Fax: (424) 203-1601  
charder@hmafirm.com  
Counsel for Plaintiff

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by E-Mail via the e-portal system this 17th day of July, 2014 to the following:

Barry A. Cohen, Esquire  
Michael W. Gaines, Esquire  
Barry Cohen, Esquire  
Michael W. Gaines, Esquire  
The Cohen Law Group  
201 E. Kennedy Blvd., Suite 1000  
Tampa, Florida 33602  
bcohen@tampalawfirm.com  
mgaines@tampalawfirm.com  
nferdig@tampalawfirm.com  
*Counsel for Heather Clem*

David R. Houston, Esquire  
Law Office of David R. Houston  
432 Court Street  
Reno, NV 89501  
dhouston@houstonatlaw.com

Julie B. Ehrlich, Esquire  
Levine Sullivan Koch & Schultz, LLP  
321 West 44th Street, Suite 1000  
New York, NY 10036  
jehlich@lkslaw.com  
*Pro Hac Vice Counsel for  
Gawker Defendants*

Michael D. Sullivan  
Levine Sullivan Koch & Schultz, LLP  
1899 L Street, NW, Suite 200  
Washington, DC 20036  
msullivan@lkslaw.com  
*Pro Hac Vice Counsel for  
Gawker Defendants*

Gregg D. Thomas, Esquire  
Rachel E. Fugate, Esquire  
Thomas & LoCicero PL  
601 S. Boulevard  
Tampa, Florida 33606  
gthomas@tlolawfirm.com  
rfugate@tlolawfirm.com  
kbrown@tlolawfirm.com  
*Counsel for Gawker Defendants*

Seth D. Berlin, Esquire  
Paul J. Safier, Esquire  
Alia L. Smith, Esquire  
Levine Sullivan Koch & Schulz, LLP  
1899 L. Street, NW, Suite 200  
Washington, DC 20036  
sberlin@lkslaw.com  
psafier@lkslaw.com  
asmith@lkslaw.com  
*Pro Hac Vice Counsel for  
Gawker Defendants*

Michael Berry, Esquire  
Levine Sullivan Koch & Schultz, LLP  
1760 Market Street, Suite 1001  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
mberry@lkslaw.com  
*Pro Hac Vice Counsel for  
Gawker Defendants*

*/s/ Kenneth G. Turkel*  
Attorney