

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA

TERRY GENE BOLLEA professionally
known as HULK HOGAN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No. 12012447CI-011

HEATHER CLEM; GAWKER MEDIA, LLC
aka GAWKER MEDIA; GAWKER MEDIA
GROUP, INC. aka GAWKER MEDIA;
GAWKER ENTERTAINMENT, LLC;
GAWKER TECHNOLOGY, LLC; GAWKER
SALES, LLC; NICK DENTON; A.J.
DAULERIO; KATE BENNERT, and
BLOGWIRE HUNGARY SZELLEMI
ALKOTAST HASZNOSITO KFT aka
GAWKER MEDIA,

Defendants.

**OBJECTIONS OF TERRY BOLLEA TO MOTION FOR COMMISSION
FOR OUT-OF-STATE SUBPOENA TO DARREN PRINCE
AND MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER**

Plaintiff, Terry Gene Bollea (“Mr. Bollea”), by counsel, pursuant to Rules 1.350, 1.351, 1.410 and 1.280(c) of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, files this Objection to Gawker Media, LLC’s (“Gawker”) July 8, 2014 Motion for Commission for Out-of-State Subpeona for the issuance of a subpoena for documents with deposition to non-party **Darren Prince**, and moves for the entry of a protective order precluding or limiting the taking of the deposition and the production of documents identified in the subpoena. Plaintiff states in support as follows:

1. Mr. Bollea objects to the issuance of the subpoena and commission because it exceeds the scope of permissible discovery in this action. Based on the broad

{BC00052351:1}

categories of documents Gawker seeks, the subpoena is overbroad, oppressive, and harassing; it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence; and it invades upon Plaintiff's constitutional privacy rights. Further, Mr. Bollea objects to the subpoena to the extent it seeks documents in violation of Judge Campbell's February 26, 2014 protective order, which states that "inquiry into the . . . financial records . . . of Terry Bollea . . . is hereby prohibited."

2. Mr. Bollea also objects to the taking of the deposition sought through the subpoena because the anticipated scope of the deposition (based on Gawker's other contemporaneous filings and the areas of testimony referenced in Gawker's motion) is overbroad, oppressive, and harassing; it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence; and may invade upon Plaintiff's constitutional privacy rights. Further, Mr. Bollea objects to the deposition to the extent it will seek information in violation of Judge Campbell's February 26, 2014 protective order, which states that "inquiry into the . . . financial records . . . of Terry Bollea . . . is hereby prohibited."

3. Mr. Bollea also moves for a protective order precluding or limiting the scope of the deposition and subpoena pursuant to Rule 1.280(c) of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure on these same grounds. A court may make any order to protect a party or person from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense that justice requires regarding discovery matters. Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.280(c). "In deciding whether a protective order is appropriate in a particular case, the court must balance the competing interest that would be served by granting discovery or by denying it." *Rasmussen v. Fla. Blood Serv., Inc.*, 500 So.2d 533, 535 (Fla. 1987).

4. Pursuant to Rule 1.351(b) of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, because Mr. Bollea served this objection to the production sought in the subpoena, “the documents or things shall not be produced pending the resolution of the objection....”

DATED: July 17, 2014

/s/ Kenneth G. Turkel

Kenneth G. Turkel, Esq.
Florida Bar No. 867233
Christina K. Ramirez, Esq.
Florida Bar No. 954497
BAJO | CUVA | COHEN | TURKEL
100 North Tampa Street, Suite 1900
Tampa, Florida 33602
Tel: (813) 443-2199
Fax: (813) 443-2193
Email: kturkel@bajocuva.com
Email: cramirez@bajocuva.com

-and-

Charles J. Harder, Esq.
PHV No. 102333
Douglas Mirell, Esq.
PHV No. 109885
Harder Mirell & Abrams LLP
1925 Century Park East, Suite 800
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Tel: (424) 203-1600
Fax: (424) 203-1601
charder@hmafirm.com
Counsel for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by E-Mail via the e-portal system this 17th day of July, 2014 to the following:

Barry A. Cohen, Esquire
Michael W. Gaines, Esquire
Barry Cohen, Esquire
Michael W. Gaines, Esquire
The Cohen Law Group
201 E. Kennedy Blvd., Suite 1000
Tampa, Florida 33602
bcohen@tampalawfirm.com
mgaines@tampalawfirm.com
nferdig@tampalawfirm.com
Counsel for Heather Clem

David R. Houston, Esquire
Law Office of David R. Houston
432 Court Street
Reno, NV 89501
dhouston@houstonatlaw.com

Julie B. Ehrlich, Esquire
Levine Sullivan Koch & Schultz, LLP
321 West 44th Street, Suite 1000
New York, NY 10036
jehrlich@lskslaw.com
*Pro Hac Vice Counsel for
Gawker Defendants*

Michael D. Sullivan
Levine Sullivan Koch & Schultz, LLP
1899 L Street, NW, Suite 200
Washington, DC 20036
msullivan@lskslaw.com
*Pro Hac Vice Counsel for
Gawker Defendants*

Gregg D. Thomas, Esquire
Rachel E. Fugate, Esquire
Thomas & LoCicero PL
601 S. Boulevard
Tampa, Florida 33606
gthomas@tlolawfirm.com
rfugate@tlolawfirm.com
kbrown@tlolawfirm.com
Counsel for Gawker Defendants

Seth D. Berlin, Esquire
Paul J. Safier, Esquire
Alia L. Smith, Esquire
Levine Sullivan Koch & Schultz, LLP
1899 L. Street, NW, Suite 200
Washington, DC 20036
sberlin@lskslaw.com
psafier@lskslaw.com
asmith@lskslaw.com
*Pro Hac Vice Counsel for
Gawker Defendants*

Michael Berry, Esquire
Levine Sullivan Koch & Schultz, LLP
1760 Market Street, Suite 1001
Philadelphia, PA 19103
mberry@lskslaw.com
*Pro Hac Vice Counsel for
Gawker Defendants*

/s/ Kenneth G. Turkel
Attorney