## EXHIBIT 18

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA CIVIL DIVISION

TERRY GENE BOLLEA, professionally known as HULK HOGAN,

Plaintiff, Case No.

12-012447-CI-011

vs.

HEATHER CLEM; GAWKER MEDIA, LLC, aka GAWKER MEDIA, et al.,

Defendants.

TELEPHONIC HEARING BEFORE
THE HONORABLE JAMES CASE,
including confidential sessions

DATE: February 24, 2014

TIME: 1:32 p.m. to 3:27 p.m.

PLACE: Riesdorph Reporting Group

601 Cleveland Street

Suite 600

Clearwater, Florida

REPORTED BY: Aaron T. Perkins, RPR

Notary Public, State of

Florida at Large

Pages 1 to 96

```
1
     APPEARANCES:
2
3
       CHARLES J. HARDER, ESQUIRE
       Harder Mirell & Abrams, LLP
4
       1925 Century Park East
       Suite 800
5
       Los Angeles, California 90067
            - and -
6
       KENNETH G. TURKEL, ESQUIRE
       Bajo Cuva Cohen & Turkel, P.A.
       100 North Tampa Street
7
       Suite 1900
8
       Tampa, Florida 33602
9
            Attorneys for Plaintiff
10
11
       SETH D. BERLIN, ESQUIRE
12
       ALIA L. SMITH, ESQUIRE
       Levine Sullivan Koch & Schulz, LLP
13
       1899 L Street, N.W.
       Suite 200
14
       Washington, D.C. 20036
            - and -
15
       RACHEL E. FUGATE, ESQUIRE
       Thomas & Locicero, PL
16
       601 South Boulevard
       Tampa, Florida 33606
17
            Attorneys for Defendant Gawker Media, LLC
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

I then said, Seth, why don't we have a conference about this.

And he said, Okay.

Or maybe he suggested it, and I said okay.

But we had a conference. And the only thing -the only thing that is responsive, that there is
anything new is three sentences that we provided
in a further response to No. 9, which said -- it's
three sentences. It says -- and Your Honor has
them. I have been working off of memory as to
what it says, but it's, essentially, these are the
times that Mr. Bollea and Ms. Clem had their
encounters.

Otherwise -- and then it's No. 10. We had provided that response to No. 10 way back in August, except we had a date wrong. Instead of 2008, it was mid 2007. And I apologize. And this is actually -- and Seth is correct -- the second time I have made an apology about the dates. I'm trying to get it right. It's difficult when I have a client who does not have documents pertaining to these things, pertaining to when things occurred, and he's working off of his memory. And I get information as to when things occurred based upon his memory, and it turns out

that we have to make a slight adjustment to the date because we find out things.

1.5

So the only reason I'm kind of dancing around this is I can't divulge attorney-client privileged communications, so I have to avoid that. But it's unfortunate. If I had -- if I could go into my time machine and go back, I would have put the correct dates in from the very beginning, which is -- it's approximately mid 2007.

In any event -- in any event, we provided a supplemental response to 9, which states when the sexual encounters occurred, and we provided a supplemental response to 10, which changed the date. But, otherwise, back in August, we had said the communications that Mr. Bollea can remember having with the Clems. He can't remember every single conversation he ever had with them, but what we put in there is what he can remember.

In terms of documents, he doesn't have any.

He doesn't have any documents other than -- I

mean, we've produced some texts, and we produced

them way back in August. These are texts from -
I think it was around April-ish of 2012, and we

produced those. We're not holding back on

anything, except communications between Mr. Bollea

the period when reports about a sex tape first surfaced in March and April 2012 and that the Gawker story published in early October of 2012, and then later that month when Hogan and Mr. Clem had a falling out publicly and ended up in litigation and then quickly settled.

1.5

There are similar orders in similar situations which we cited in our papers. And the plaintiff here should be required to produce the information and documents in these narrow requests, specifically interrogatory No. 10 and request for production No. 54.

And I will reserve some time for rebuttal, but that's -- I wanted to try and keep this part of it brief.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harder?

MR. HARDER: Yes, thank you, Judge Case. I will take them in different order. Let's start with the phone record, because we have already started talking about that.

I think that a reasonable accommodation would be to have Mr. Bollea review his phone records and then to provide a supplemental response that would identify any phone calls that happened to be on his phone records with Bubba or Heather Clem. I

Riesdorph Reporting Group, Inc. (813) 222-8963

don't think it would be appropriate for me to identify phone calls that he has had with his litigation counsel, because those will probably show up in the 2012 phone records.

1.5

Beyond communications with litigation counsel and litigation and Bubba and Bubba and Heather Clem, I don't know that there is going to be anything in the phone records that's pertinent to the case.

Mr. Berlin just said that we didn't provide any of the information about his 2012 communications with Bubba Clem. And that actually is not totally accurate, because in our response to Interrogatory No. 10, we say, In or about spring 2012, Mr. Bollea asked Mr. Clem to explain the media reports regarding allegations of a possible sex tape involving Mr. Bollea. Mr. Clem denied having any knowledge or involvement in the sex tape.

So we identified that. I don't know why a phone record is necessary, because we identified it.

As far as all of the phone calls that have ever been made to Mr. Bollea or from Mr. Bollea in the year 2012, obviously, we're talking about

99-percent-plus phone calls that have nothing at all to do with this case. So I just don't think that any of that is appropriate, especially when it's not like we are withholding information. We are providing information.

1.5

Also, I mentioned earlier, about a half hour ago, there were texts between Terry Bollea and Mr. Clem on the subject of, What is all this about a possible sex tape? We've produced those texts, so Gawker has those texts. So everything that we have we've produced.

But, again, I'm happy to have Mr. Bollea -and going off of Mr. Berlin's statement that a
person who has a telephone account can go into his
account and look at the account for phone calls to
somebody -- a certain number or from a certain
number, if it's that easy, this is going to be
very easy. We can identify the phone company.

I don't even mind identifying by date and by phone -- well, I would rather not identify by phone number, but just say a phone call was made to or a phone call was made from Mr. Clem's phone or Terry Bollea to Mr. Clem or Mr. Clem to Terry Bollea and the duration of that call and the time in which that call took place.

I don't have any problem with that. I just don't want to open the floodgates to all phone calls that Mr. Bollea has ever had. I just think that is completely inappropriate and not called for, and it seeks things that are not relevant, and it invades Mr. Bollea's privacy. So I think that a reasonable accommodation could be reached there.

As far as the FBI records, we kind of went back and forth on this about -- what was it, a few weeks ago? And Your Honor made the recommendation to Judge Campbell. And with respect, Your Honor, we filed an exception because we feel that the law reads a certain way that we don't think that the discovery should occur. But Judge Campbell has a hearing scheduled on that issue for April 23rd, which is her earliest availability. So we will have her revisit that issue.

So as far as the FBI records and Mr. Bollea's communications with the FBI, which are all part of the same thing, we would recommend that

Judge Campbell hear all of this, and whatever your recommendation may be, on the date of the hearing that's been scheduled, which is April 23rd.

As far as the media appearances, Mr. Berlin

makes it sound like we're, again, we're hiding things. And again, that's not the case.

Mr. Bollea uses a publicist for certain things. This is not a publicist for all purposes necessarily. When Mr. Bollea was doing a media tour in early October, it was because he was promoting a Pay-per-view wrestling event. He had no knowledge whatsoever that Gawker was about to launch a sex tape about him. No one ever bothered to call Mr. Bollea, such as Gawker, to say, Mr. Bollea, we're about to launch a sex tape. Do you have any comment? Was this secretly, or anything like that. Gawker just posted it.

So while Mr. Bollea was in the middle of a media tour for a Pay-per-view event, the news about a sex tape came out. And, obviously, he did not have a media tour to promote the sex tape. I think that's, if I'm reading it correctly, what Mr. Berlin is suggesting, and that's just simply not the case.

Now, as far as the documents relating to the media tour, Elizabeth Traub didn't work on that media tour. And I have talked to Mr. Berlin about this, and I have said, Elizabeth Traub doesn't have any documents at all about that media tour.

And I have checked and double checked and triple checked, and that's the case because she didn't work on it. So they're seeking to compel things that she doesn't have. And they brought -- they brought a petition in New York state court to have her produce things that she doesn't have and also to get into privileged communications. And, unfortunately, the New York court has to deal with that.

1.5

As far as documents that may be in Mr. Bollea's possession, I have checked with him. I haven't gotten anything. He's still checking to see if he has, for example, a list of his media appearances. These media appearances took place 16 months ago, possibly a little bit longer than that. So these things were scheduled 16 to 17 months ago. Mr. Bollea is not the type of person who gets a document and keeps it. He's the type of person who gets the document, does a media tour, and then probably throws out the media tour lists soon after he does the media tour. And, again, this is a media tour for a wrestling event; it was not for the sex tape.

So in terms of what's the relevant scope of documents, are we supposed to produce his plane

ticket? Are we supposed to produce his itinerary of where he flew and when, of when he showed up to which building and which address and who he was speaking to? I don't know if he has any of that.

But then there is the other question of, Is that really relevant? Is it relevant which airline he flew on or which date he took a flight, whether it was a 6:00 a.m. flight or a 10:00 a.m. flight.

I would like to get some sense of whether he's required to find that, because maybe he would be able to find an old plane itinerary as opposed to a media itinerary which could have been done by a different person. I don't know.

But it's not that we're withholding anything, because the relevant stuff is we all acknowledge that he did talk with the press while he was on this media tour for the wrestling event. And Gawker seems to have found every single occasion where he talked to a reporter, because when he talked to somebody, there is an article about it, a YouTube or wherever it happens to be. If he was on the Today Show, then there is probably a tape of some sort.

We don't have any of the tapes. For the

1.5

1.8

press clipping files, he doesn't keep one. His publicist doesn't keep one. His publicist didn't work on this particular event, in any event, so she wouldn't have one even if they did keep press clipping files on things she worked on, because she didn't work on this one.

But from someone from Mr. Bollea's point of view, there is so much media out there that -- and it's all available at any time. You go to Google and you type in "Terry Bollea Today Show 2012," you'll get probably a whole bunch of articles about how he was on the Today Show. It's not just from the "today.com" or the "nbctoday.com"; it's from all kinds of folks, because everyone likes to report on what other people reported on. And so you just get this huge explosion of media reports.

I'm not sure that the things that Gawker is seeking are relevant. I talked to Seth after he filed the motion, and we had a meet-and-confer conference after the fact. And I said if we have any list of the media people that he spoke to, I'm happy to get that to you. Elizabeth Traub doesn't have it, and Mr. Bollea has not been able to find it. And, Your Honor, if he can find it, I'm happy to give that over to them. But it's not the type

of thing that he keeps, and if he -- it may not be in his possession, so --

THE COURT: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HARDER: And as far as sanctions, obviously, we oppose sanctions. I don't want anyone saying I don't oppose sanctions. I just don't feel that anything is sanctionable here. Ι don't think that we did anything wrong, and I don't think that the motion was necessary. Mr. Berlin could have given me a call after he sent his meet-and-confer letter and says, Let's talk, and, What are you willing to give? would have had this conversation with him and the things that I am willing to do, such as look through the phone records for the relevant phone calls as opposed to giving over all of the phone calls of 2012. I would have been happy to do that.

And as far as -- and the schedule of reporters that he spoke to, I told Mr. Berlin I'm happy to give it to him when we find it, but the publicist doesn't have it and he doesn't have it. So that's kind of where we are. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Berlin?

MR. BERLIN: Your Honor, just to be helpful,

let me try and go through the order that
Mr. Harder used, even though it wasn't the order
that was in our motion or the order that was used
originally.

THE COURT: Okay.

1.5

MR. BERLIN: The phone records, I think that there is sort of two issues.

One is we served an interrogatory that has to do with communications. And if he can use documents that are within his possession, custody, or control to answer that question, he ought to be asked to do so and not just say, you know, Here are two sets that describe all of the communications that I had in a two-month period with Bubba Clem, which is what the current interrogatory does.

As far as the records themselves, certainly, calls with Bubba Clem and Heather Clem are certainly relevant. Calls with, whether it's Ms. Traub or if he has another publicist, since it seems like from the publicity tour that he's saying Ms. Traub didn't assist him in doing that, then presumably someone else did. Those calls would be relevant, certainly. Calls with people with media organizations, directly would be

relevant.

And the problem that I want to avoid, Your
Honor -- and this is why we said we're not going
to start calling people but that we wanted to be
able to obtain these records -- is, you know, I'm
reluctant to say, Well, let me just have
Mr. Harder decide what's relevant or not relevant,
because he doesn't -- he has a different theory of
the case. He has, in many briefs that we have
filed back and forth, a different view of what's
relevant, you know. The briefs and these two
motions, he says, Here is what's relevant to this
case. And it's a fairly narrow description, and
it excludes the primary thing that we're trying to
do, which is to be able to test what the plaintiff
is saying in these various factual contentions.

So we think the appropriate thing is to be able to review them. They, obviously, can be produced pursuant to the confidentiality in this case. And although Mr. Harder has alluded in both his papers and earlier in this session, that Gawker is a media company that publishes things, we have not published anything that we have received in discovery, whether it was designated as confidential or otherwise, and have

scrupulously honored the confidentiality order and would -- and would continue to do so.

1.5

But trying to have him guess what we think would be important is a bad idea in discovery, and that's not how it's supposed to work. And I think also that you are -- your particular suggestion was maybe that I ought to have the record at his deposition so we can -- that one can ask him those questions and is particularly important so we can -- we can go through and ask them.

We would hope to do it and have the records a little bit in advance so that we're not sitting there going line by line saying, Whose number is this? Whose number is this? Because he's not likely to know that off the top of his head, although some of them presumably, but a good number of them he may not. So there has got to be some way of getting that information.

On the FBI records, Your Honor, this is a fairly narrow request. It's not -- the last time we were here saying, Can we have a FOIA, the privacy act, FBI authorization for the FBI's files subject to whatever objections they might make? It was calling for their whole file. Here it's to the -- limited to the subject of either his or his

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA
4	COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH
5	
6	I Asson T Dorking Dogistored Drofoggional
7	I, Aaron T. Perkins, Registered Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the above excerpted
8	hearing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes.
9	
10	
11	I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	Dated this 25th day of February, 2014.
17	
18	
19	NUTCO .
20	
21	1 Ot 1
22	Aaron To Perkins, RPR
23	
24	
25	