EXHIBIT 22

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA, IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

TERRY GENE BOLLEA, professionally known as HULK HOGAN,

Plaintiff,

No. 12-012447-CI-011

VS.

HEATHER CLEM; GAWKER MEDIA, LLC, aka GAWKER MEDIA, et al.,

Defendants.

TELEPHONIC HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES CASE

DATE: January 31, 2104

TIME: 3:34 p.m. to 4:05 p.m.

PLACE: 201 East Kennedy Boulevard

Suite 712 Tampa, Florida

REPORTED BY: Susan C. Riesdorph, RPR, CRR

Notary Public, State of

Florida

Pages 1 - 26

```
1
     APPEARANCES:
2
        CHARLES J. HARDER, ESQUIRE
        Harder Mirell & Abrams, LLP
3
        1925 Century Park East
        Suite 800
 4
        Los Angeles, California 90067

    and -

5
        KENNETH G. TURKEL, ESQUIRE
        Bajo Cuva Cohen & Turkel, P.A.
 6
        100 North Tampa Street
        Suite 1900
 7
        Tampa, Florida 33602
             Attorneys for Plaintiff
8
9
        SETH D. BERLIN, ESQUIRE
        ALIA L. SMITH, ESQUIRE
10
        Levine Sullivan Koch & Schulz, LLP
        1899 L Street, N.W.
11
        Suite 200
        Washington, D.C. 20036
12
             - and -
        GREGG D. THOMAS, ESQUIRE
13
        Thomas & Locicero, PL
        601 South Boulevard
14
        Tampa, Florida 33606
             Attorneys for Defendant Gawker Media, LLC
15
16
17
18
19
                        INDEX
20
    PROCEEDINGS
                                                    Page
21
     REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
                                                    Page 26
22
23
24
25
```

criminal investigation, and I've had cases that involve criminal investigations through a civil litigation. I've never seen it. I've never heard We've scoured the law. I assume Gawker of it. has scoured the law. They haven't provided Your Honor with a single legal authority saying that this is permissible because the legal authority is that it's privileged. You can't get at it. Gawker being the moving party has the burden of proof. They have not come anywhere close to meeting the burden to prove that they're entitled to get into this privilege, and for that matter, to have a court force Hulk Hogan to sign an authorization allowing Gawker, a civil litigant, to get into these documents or to give authorization to get them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm just checking my notes here.

Gawker says we didn't identify communications on a log. Well, we're not in possession of these documents. It's law enforcement that's in possession. Gawker's trying to flip the issue on us and say, well, we need to show that these are privileged. We need to provide a privilege log. That's not how it works, not in this instance, because we're not the ones who possess the

documents. It's the FBI here. The brief -- the response brief that came in today does not address the sword and shield position, which is sword and shield does not apply to impeachment, to -- the situation is this. The sword and shield doctrine applies if a party wants to try to prove its case by introducing privileged documents but it refuses to disclose -- to produce those documents in discovery. It wants to save them until the trial. Well, the sword and shield doctrine says, no, you can't do that. If you're going to use documents at trial, you have to disclose those in discovery. Well, that is not applicable to our situation. We're not going to use anything that's in the FBI's files for purposes of our civil litigation. We don't even know what's in those files. we're certainly not going to use those. therefore, the sword and shield doctrine that would allow a preclusion order does not exist here. That's pretty much what I have, Your Honor. I'm happy to answer any questions. THE COURT: Okay. Seth? MR. BERLIN: Very well, Your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

start with the privilege question because that's

1	
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA :
4	COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH :
5	
6	I, Susan C. Riesdorph, RPR, CRR certify that I
7 8	was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes.
9	I further certify that I am not a relative,
10	employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
11	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of the foregoing
12	action.
13	Dated this 12th day of February, 2014, IN THE CITY OF TAMPA, COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH, STATE OF
14	FLORIDA.
15	
16	
17	Susan C. Riesdorph, RPR, CRR, CLSP
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	