

EXHIBIT 1

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA, IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY

TERRY GENE BOLLEA, professionally
known as HULK HOGAN,

Plaintiff,

No. 12-012447-CI-011

vs.

HEATHER CLEM; GAWKER MEDIA, LLC,
aka GAWKER MEDIA, et al.,

Defendants.

----- -/

HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE PAMELA CAMPBELL

DATE: October 29, 2013
TIME: 10:22 a.m. to 12:31 p.m.
PLACE: Pinellas County Courthouse
545 First Avenue North
St. Petersburg, Florida
REPORTED BY: Susan C. Riesdorph, RPR, CRR
Notary Public, State of
Florida

Pages 1 - 107

1 APPEARANCES:

2 CHARLES J. HARDER, ESQUIRE
 3 Harder Mirell & Abrams, LLP
 4 1801 Avenue of the Stars
 Suite 1120
 Los Angeles, California 90067

- and -

5 KENNETH G. TURKEL, ESQUIRE
 6 Bajo Cuva Cohen & Turkel, P.A.
 7 100 North Tampa Street
 Suite 1900
 Tampa, Florida 33602
 Attorneys for Plaintiff

9 SETH D. BERLIN, ESQUIRE
 10 ALIA L. SMITH, ESQUIRE
 Levine Sullivan Koch & Schulz, LLP
 11 1899 L Street, N.W.
 Suite 200
 Washington, D.C. 20036

12 - and -

13 GREGG D. THOMAS, ESQUIRE
 Thomas & Locicero, PL
 601 South Boulevard
 14 Tampa, Florida 33606
 Attorneys for Defendant Gawker Media, LLC

16 BARRY A. COHEN, ESQUIRE
 Barry A. Cohen Law Group
 17 201 East Kennedy Boulevard
 Suite 1000
 18 Tampa, Florida 33602
 Attorney for Defendant Heather Clem

20

21

22 I N D E X

23 PROCEEDINGS Page 3

24 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE Page 107

25

1 the people that prepare his taxes, any of those,
2 the plaintiff's objection is sustained.

3 Let me back up. As far as the medical
4 records, that includes the names of all of
5 Mr. Bollea's physicians.

6 The divorce proceeding, information regarding
7 the divorce proceeding, as far as Mr. Bollea, the
8 plaintiff's objections are sustained.

9 As it pertains to Mr. Bollea, or for that
10 matter, Ms. Clem's sex life, the questions that
11 the Court would determine to be relevant are only
12 as it relates to the sexual relations between
13 Mr. Bollea and Ms. Clem for the time frame 2002 to
14 the present, which was the time frame related I
15 believe in the request, 2002 to the present, but
16 the other additional -- for example, interrogatory
17 No. 4, interrogatory No. 5, No. 6, No. 7, No. 8,
18 No. 9, the objections by the plaintiff are being
19 sustained. So questions pertaining to like, for
20 example, interrogatory No. 10, identify any and
21 all times you discussed having sexual relations
22 with Heather Clem and her husband, Todd Alan Clem,
23 during the relevant time period stating for each
24 time the date, approximate time, location, and
25 substantive discussion, the objections would be

1 overruled. Plaintiff's objections would be
2 overruled. So as it pertains to the three -- and
3 I guess we really need to include Mr. Clem in that
4 aspect -- those three parties are fair game for
5 questions as it pertains to each other.

6 Is that pretty clear? I think that pretty
7 much gives guidance as to all the different
8 interrogatories globally as to the sex life aspect
9 of it.

10 Do you think so, Mr. Berlin?

11 MR. BERLIN: If I may ask just a clarifying
12 question. In the questioning you had an exchange
13 with Mr. Harder about, if we're going to limit
14 proof on emotional distress and we're going to
15 limit proof on economic damages, which I
16 understand your ruling to do, then there would be
17 limits on proof at trial. I wanted to -- in an
18 effort not to run afoul of the Court's ruling and
19 to understand how we should prepare our case, I
20 want to understand what the appropriate -- you
21 know, what that would look like at trial so that
22 we can prepare and get the information we need,
23 but not overstep the bounds of the Court's ruling.

24 THE COURT: I think some of that is going to
25 have to come up later on and maybe even more