EXHIBIT 4

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. 12012447-CI-011

TERRY GENE BOLLEA professionally known as HULK HOGAN,

Plaintiff,

VS.

HEATHER CLEM; GAWKER MEDIA, LLC aka GAWKER MEDIA, et al.,

Defendants.

HEARING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE PAMELA A.M. CAMPBELL
(Pages 1 through 133)

Friday, January 17, 2014 9:35 a.m. - 12:09 p.m.

St. Petersburg Judicial Building
545 First Avenue North
Courtroom E
St. Petersburg, Florida 33701

Stenographically Reported By:
Lori K. Ash, RPR
Notary Public, State of Florida
U.S. Legal Support, Inc.

(813) 876-4722

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	CHARLES J. HARDER, ESQUIRE Harder Mirell & Abrams LLP
4	1925 Century Park East Suite 800
_	Los Angeles, California 90067
5	(424) 203-1600 charder@hmafirm.com
6	and
7	KENNETH G. TURKEL, ESQUIRE Bajo Cuva Cohen & Turkel P.A. 100 North Tampa Street
8	Suite 1900
9	Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 443-2199
10	kturkel@bajocuva.com
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff
11	
12	SETH D. BERLIN, ESQUIRE Levine Sullivan Koch & Schulz, LLP
13	1899 L Street, NW Suite 200
14	Washington, DC 20036 (202) 508-1122
15	sberlin@lskslaw.com and
16	GREGG D. THOMAS, ESQUIRE
17	SADIE R. CRAIG, ESQUIRE Thomas & Locicero PL
18	601 South Boulevard Tampa, Florida 33606
	(813) 984-3060
19	gthomas@tlolawfirm.com
20	scraig@tlolawfirm.com
21	Attorneys for Defendant Gawker Media, LLC and for specially appearing Defendants
22	Gawker Media Group, Inc. and Blogwire Hungary Szellemi Alkotast Hasznosito, KFT
	(now known as Kinja, KFT)
23	
2425	

1	TOSEDH E DIACO ID ESCUIDE
2	JOSEPH F. DIACO, JR., ESQUIRE CHANDLER P. IRVIN, ESQUIRE Adams & Diaco, P.A.
3	101 East Kennedy Boulevard Suite 2175
4	Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 221-8669
5	jdiaco@adamsdiaco.com cirvin@adamsdiaco.com
6	Attorneys for Non-Party Bubba Clem
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	INDEX
12	PAGE
13	Certificate of Reporter133
14	
15	
16	
17	EXHIBITS
18	NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE
19	
20	(No exhibits marked.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

MR. HARDER: Your ruling was as it pertained to documentation and testimony. We made a protective order motion that Hulk Hogan's general sex life was not allowed; but just words, testimony, documentation that would pertain to the relationship between Hulk Hogan and Heather Clem, you allowed that discovery, but in order to contain what was a much broader request for discovery. But Your Honor never said that all video would have to be produced.

THE COURT: Do you --

MR. BERLIN: I have a transcript, Your Honor.

MR. HARDER: Your Honor, that motion was between Hulk Hogan and Gawker. We don't have anything at all except what they have given us in terms of video. So they received a 30-minute video. We never had it until they gave it to us. And there was 1 minute and 41 seconds that was on the Internet that obviously we looked at, but if there happens to be more video, that issue was never litigated, because we don't have it and apparently they are telling us they don't have it.

THE COURT: I guess so the credibility of Mr. Bollea as far as his knowledge of the Clems -- Mr. and Mrs. Clem's practices as far as taping or any other -- the credibility of Mr. Bollea, he's actually the one in question, his knowledge, his sense of taping, those kinds of things, I think that they are at least appropriate for deposition and some discovery.

Am I asking at this point in time for any other tapes to be turned over to the defense?

No. But I think that the topic is certainly one that is appropriate.

MR. HARDER: I understand, Your Honor. It would propose a compromise. If there happens to be more footage, I would -- rather than having Gawker or counsel get that footage, perhaps Judge Case could get that footage and look to see if it speaks to the issues that they are saying, because I am very, very confident that there is nothing on any videos that would show that Hulk Hogan knew about this, consented to this, any of that.

Now, I think what Mr. Berlin is saying, if I understand him -- and I don't even -- I'm operating in the dark here, because he's

1 talking about certain things that happened on 2 the video and yet they've never produced any 3 evidence of that to me and this is the first 4 time I've ever heard of it, that apparently 5 maybe the Clems were having a discussion that 6 they were going to get rich from this video, 7 then that's an issue that would pertain to the 8 Clems. It wouldn't pertain to Hulk Hogan 9 knowing about or consenting to, but it would 10 pertain to the Clems. 11 Mrs. Clem is still a defendant THE COURT: 12 in this case.

MR. HARDER: She is.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

THE COURT: So it certainly would be something that even your client would want to know.

> MR. HARDER: Probably, yes.

Your Honor, what I would ask MR. THOMAS: as to that is today you ask Mr. Diaco if he will agree to preserve all tapes that relate to Ms. Clem and Mr. Hogan.

Yes. I think that's THE COURT: appropriate.

So, Mr. Diaco, we don't want to later on have any spoliation of evidence issues coming up or anything for purposes of jury trial later on and any issue of Valcin presumption.

So if you would please ask Mr. -- and I would like to enter an order that requires anybody that has any possession of anything -- and I'm going to say anything really broadly -- so anything, any written material, any audio, any video, any text messages, anything that pertains to the video that is the subject matter of this lawsuit to be preserved.

Anybody have a problem with that?

MR. BERLIN: I would just add it may be one longer tape or two shorter tapes. It could be --

THE COURT: I said anything. Anything means anything.

MR. BERLIN: I don't want --

THE COURT: If one tape is a minute and one is 10 minutes, all of it gets preserved.

MR. BERLIN: I don't want anybody to come back later and say, well, this isn't the tape that Gawker had broadcast, it was a different tape, so we didn't preserve it. That's all.

THE COURT: If you've got ten tapes, preserve all ten tapes.

2.1

1 preserving that. 2 All right. So other than settlement 3 issues, anything else on the request to 4 Mr. Clem 1 through 21? 5 MR. HARDER: Your Honor, I would just 6 request that Your Honor's prior order -- the 7 protective order that limited the scope that 8 that apply to Bubba Clem. 9 I believe that it does. THE COURT: Yes. 10 MR. HARDER: Thank you. 11 I think that was the -- that's THE COURT: 12 when I was addressing Number 14. 13 MR. BERLIN: I have two questions, 14 I pulled the transcript from that Your Honor. 15 ruling. Do you want to go over that or not? 16 You don't need that information now? 17 THE COURT: Probably not. 18 Do you want me to include in MR. BERLIN: 19 the order that I'm preparing Mr. Harder's 20 proposal that to the extent somebody needs to 21 look at any additional sex tapes beyond what we 22 already have that that would be Judge Case? 23 THE COURT: Yes. Is that acceptable to 24 everybody? 25 MR. HARDER: If there is an order that it

needs to be seen by someone, then yes, I think
Judge Case would be appropriate and that it
would be within a protective order and only
Judge Case would look at it and Judge Case
would make the recommendations to Your Honor as
to what else should be done with that. But I
think the first step would be that there would
need to be an order that somebody would need to
send something to Judge Case, and there isn't
such an order at this time.

MR. BERLIN: I guess what I'm asking for, Your Honor, picking up on Mr. Harder's proposal, is that if there are -- whether the Plaintiff has any in his possession, custody, or control, we find out Ms. Clem has any in her possession, custody, or control or Mr. Clem has them, and again limit it just to things between Mrs. Clem and Mr. Bollea, that if that comes up we would send them to Judge Case --

THE COURT: Correct.

MR. BERLIN: -- so that he could tell us, hey, there's something here that's relevant, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Perfect.

MR. TURKEL: If I may, just to follow up

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH)
5	
6	I, Lori K. Ash, RPR-CP, certify that I was
7	authorized to and did stenographically report the
8	foregoing proceedings and that the foregoing pages,
9	numbered 1 through 132, are a true and complete
10	record of my stenographic notes taken during said
11	proceedings.
12	I further certify that I am not a relative,
13	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties,
14	nor am I a relative or employee of any of the
15	parties' attorneys or counsel connected with the
16	action, nor am I financially interested in the
17	action.
18	Dated this 20th of January, 2014.
19	
20	NOTCA .
21	
22	Louis ash Comonone
23	LORI K. ASH, RPR-CP
24	
25	