EXHIBIT 3

```
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA, IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY
 _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _
TERRY GENE BOLLEA, professionally
known as HULK HOGAN,
       Plaintiff,
                                 No. 12-012447-CI-011
vs.
HEATHER CLEM; GAWKER MEDIA, LLC,
aka GAWKER MEDIA, et al.,
     Defendants.
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - /
    HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE PAMELA CAMPBELL
   DATE:
                      October 29, 2013
                      10:22 a.m. to 12:31 p.m.
   TIME:
   PLACE:
                      Pinellas County Courthouse
                       545 First Avenue North
                      St. Petersburg, Florida
   REPORTED BY:
                      Susan C. Riesdorph, RPR, CRR
                      Notary Public, State of
                      Florida
                       Pages 1 - 107
```

1 **APPEARANCES:** 2 CHARLES J. HARDER, ESQUIRE Harder Mirell & Abrams, LLP 3 1801 Avenue of the Stars Suite 1120 4 Los Angeles, California 90067 - and -5 KENNETH G. TURKEL, ESQUIRE Bajo Cuva Cohen & Turkel, P.A. 6 100 North Tampa Street Suite 1900 7 Tampa, Florida 33602 Attorneys for Plaintiff 8 9 SETH D. BERLIN, ESQUIRE ALIA L. SMITH, ESQUIRE 10 Levine Sullivan Koch & Schulz, LLP 1899 L Street, N.W. 11 Suite 200 Washington, D.C. 20036 12 - and -GREGG D. THOMAS, ESQUIRE 13 Thomas & Locicero, PL 601 South Boulevard 14 Tampa, Florida 33606 Attorneys for Defendant Gawker Media, LLC 15 16 BARRY A. COHEN, ESQUIRE Barry A. Cohen Law Group 17 201 East Kennedy Boulevard Suite 1000 18 Tampa, Florida 33602 Attorney for Defendant Heather Clem 19 20 21 22 INDEX 23 PROCEEDINGS Page 3 24 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE Page 107 25

1 MR. COHEN: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. 2 If this is too loud, I'll try to MR. BERLIN: 3 There seems to be an echo. step back. 4 THE COURT: No. The whole -- this room is 5 hard to hear. And if you're not in there, 6 Mr. Cohen and Mr. Harder won't be able to hear 7 you. 8 I remember the last time I was MR. BERLIN: 9 before you, you were courteous enough to allow me 10 to hear by phone -- to participate by phone and it 11 was actually quite difficult to hear. 12 THE COURT: It is. 13 MR. BERLIN: So just turning back to that, 14 Your Honor, Mr. Hogan in his -- or Mr. Bollea in 15 his complaint told a particular story, this is 16 what happened. We have a couple of indications 17 that have caused us to call into question whether 18 that in fact -- those in fact are the facts. 19 Number one, we have some text messages -- let 20 me just, so that I'm not handing you up my work 21 product, just remove the stickers here from it. 2.2 I've shown this to them. 23 Actually, I think I can do this probably 24 without this particular document. So let me try 25 and move that one so that this is as easy as

1 possible. Then we have some -- the text messages, 2 but also public statements saying there was some 3 awareness of cameras. We have public statements 4 from Mr. Bollea saying that this was not just a 5 one-time thing and that he believes that he had an 6 encounter, a sexual encounter, with Mrs. Clem at 7 least twice and maybe as many as three times, 8 that -- we have public statements saying that 9 Mr. Hogan lived with the Clems for a lengthy 10 period, I believe somewhere between a couple of 11 weeks and a couple of months.

12 When we had our meet and confer about this in 13 August, we addressed -- this is a separate issue 14 for later, but we addressed that particular issue 15 and said, look, you -- we asked you about, you 16 know, his time in the Clem household, and you said 17 you visited, but you didn't stay there. So we 18 said, you've got these public statements. And 19 Mr. Harder said, well, he did live there, but I 20 don't exactly know the period and I'll get back to 21 We're still waiting. you.

In -- Mr. Bollea has served objections to the discovery that we served on Mrs. Clem and Mr. Clem and -- even though the discovery that we served on Mrs. Clem is identical to discovery that he was

1 served -- that suggests that he may have made 2 other tapes, both generally and with Mrs. Clem. 3 Rather than simply saying, I don't have any 4 documents, as he's now done for a lot of other 5 requests, he's objecting to their production. 6 Mrs. Clem was served an admission request by the 7 plaintiff asking her to admit that, quote, 8 "Plaintiff was not aware that he was being 9 recorded at the time the video was made." And she 10 denied that. She's in other words saying, yeah, 11 he was aware that he was being recorded. 12 THE COURT: Would you do me a favor. I think Mr. Harder is having a hard time. How about if 13 14 you move that whole podium back a little bit. Ι 15 have excellent hearing, so I'll be able to hear 16 you if you're back by the door. But if you move 17 it back a little bit, then I think both of them 18 can hear you. 19 MR. BERLIN: Is that a little better? 20 THE COURT: Hopefully so. If not, I'm sure 21 they'll tell us. 2.2 MR. HARDER: I appreciate it. The acoustics 23 are strange. 24 They've very bad. THE COURT: 25 MR. BERLIN: It's one of the only times I've

Riesdorph Reporting Group, Inc. (813) 222-8963

been told I'm not loud enough. 1 2 THE COURT: Well, just speak up. 3 I'll try and do that. MR. BERLIN: 4 Mr. Clem -- so we have three people who know 5 sort of about what happened here, Mr. Bollea, 6 Mrs. Clem, and Mr. Clem. Mr. Clem, after this 7 tape was posted and he was a defendant in this 8 lawsuit, he told his radio audience, "Hulk was in 9 on the sex tape release from the get-go." That's 10 a quote from Mr. Clem. He was in on the stunt. 11 He is, quote, the ultimate lying showman. And, 12 quote, "You can't play the victim like that." 13 Then he went on the Howard Stern show two 14 days later and he said, everybody understood that 15 this was being taped and that this was being -and he was in on the release. 16 17 (Proceedings marked "Confidential" are 18 contained under separate cover and must be sealed 19 if filed with the court.) 20 MR. BERLIN: Now, we don't know which one of 21 his versions of this is true. We've sought to 2.2 take discovery from him. That's a separate issue 23 which I want to come back to later today if 24 there's time -- including because we've been 25 trying to get his deposition scheduled now for --

1	
1	we've noticed it, but we haven't actually gotten
2	confirmation from his counsel for the better of
3	two months.
4	And what all this means let me say one
5	other thing actually before I tell you what it all
6	means.
7	The other thing that's happened is that the
8	plaintiff has shifted his story on when this
9	encounter happened. For most of the past year, he
10	contended it was in 2006 while he was still
11	married. It since then he's contended it
12	happened in 2008, after he and his first wife had
13	filed for divorce. It happened while they were
14	legally separated, which would be sometime in
15	between. In fact, this is this the effect
16	of all the filings in this case, the complaint
17	says this happened in 2006. They amended the
18	complaint, which you just had handed up, to 2006.
19	His affidavit sworn under penalty of perjury in
20	support of the temporary injunction proceeding
21	says 2006. Apparently he communicated that to his
22	wife, because that's what his wife's affidavit
23	also says in support of the temporary injunction
24	motion. His discovery responses then say 2008.
25	His motion for protective order, which you have

before you, says, well, we were separated at the time with divorce proceedings commencing thereafter. And his opposition to our motion to compel says, well, we were separated and living in a different residence.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Now, it is very difficult for us to litigate a case where we don't even know when this -- the key event at issue happened. But this shifting story about when this happened calls into further question the assorted details of it, including whether this was the only time when it happened, let alone his knowledge of whether he was recorded or in on the dissemination of the tape.

14 Now, it may be that Mr. Clem's initial tale 15 was correct and that Mr. Bollea was in on this 16 from the get-go, knew he was being recorded, was 17 in on the release as a publicity stunt, which 18 celebrities do, right? They -- they release this 19 tape and then in fact they say, I had nothing to 20 do with it, so that it drives further interest in 21 people seeing something the famous celebrity 2.2 doesn't want them to see.

I don't know if that's what happened here.
I'm not suggesting to the Court that is what
happened or it isn't what happened. What I am

saying is that there's -- this isn't just what might have happened. There's enough factual record, Your Honor -- I'm trying not to give you every last piece of it, but enough of it that you have a sense that I'm not just making stuff up. But that's what's gone on.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 So when we say -- because we only have three 8 people who actually know what happened and we're 9 not one of them. When we say we need information 10 about the extent to which the plaintiff kept his 11 sex life private, it's because we need to be able 12 to test the fundamental core key facts that he is 13 alleging in his complaint and in this case that he 14 claims entitles him to a hundred million dollars. 15 And if you are going to come into court and say, I 16 have this version of events, and say, but that 17 version of events involves sensitive facts, so I'm 18 not going to let you explore that that in fact is 19 what happened, that -- that flies in the face of 20 the discovery rules.

Now, the -- you know, let me give you an example of this. They cite in their papers this case called Tylo, T-y-l-o, which is a California case involving a television actress who used to be on a daytime soap, gave that up to be on a

program, which I'm sure enriched the world for 1 2 many, called Melrose Place. And it was a soap 3 opera, evening soap opera in the '90s, I think. 4 She wanted to be on Melrose Place. She took this 5 contract for several years and then got pregnant. 6 And the producers of Melrose Place said, this is 7 about beautiful people who live in this 8 condominium complex in L.A. and we're not really 9 looking for a pregnant actress, and terminated her 10 contract. And she sued for pregnancy 11 discrimination. And the Court says, look, some of 12 the discovery that you're asking for seems to be 13 completely, you know, pulled out of the air like 14 you're just asking to harass. But they said --15 because one of the issues was whether she had, in 16 fact, known that she was trying to get pregnant 17 and took this contract and was hoping to just get 18 a contract, get fired, and still get paid. One of 19 the issues was did she knowingly get pregnant. 20 So because it was relevant to the case, the 21 court -- even in California, which is very 2.2 solicitous of privacy -- said, look, you're 23 allowed to ask this witness whether she knew that

25

24

Riesdorph Reporting Group, Inc. (813) 222-8963

her husband had had a vasectomy. She was allowed

to be asked, did you know that your husband had

his vasectomy reversed? She was allowed to be asked, did you have a -- you know, did you consider this pregnancy to be an asset? And so -and the point of that is to say that where it's relevant to the facts of the case, you have to be able to take some reasonable discovery. I'm not saying I'm going to want to know everything about the guy's sex life. That would be improper, and I admit that that would be improper.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

24

25

10 What I am saying is where we have a series of 11 serious questions about whether the tale that he's 12 telling is, in fact, the actual facts of what 13 happened, we need to be able to have some leeway 14 to explore this so that if he made other sex tapes 15 with Mrs. Clem or otherwise, he says, I didn't 16 make any for public dissemination, but that's --17 that's sort of a very -- it's almost like a 18 Clintonesque distinction, Your Honor, where, you 19 know -- you know, he's drawing a very fine line. 20 And that's really not, I think, appropriate given 21 what the facts of this case are. 2.2 The last thing I'll say is that he asked 23

Mrs. Clem the same kinds of questions because he was trying to get -- you know, he says, look, they're limited to inquiries regarding how the sex

1 tape came to be recorded and disseminated and her 2 proclivities for engaging in recording of sex Because she's -- that's relevant. 3 tapes, right? 4 Well, if it's relevant for Mrs. Clem about what 5 he's trying to find out from one of our 6 codefendants, it's going to be relevant for us to 7 find out when he's the one doing the questioning 8 how that's done. And we would ask for some 9 reasonable amount of leeway on that subject. I'm 10 sorry that took a little longer to explain than 11 the others, but that's why. 12 All right. What about the second THE COURT: 13 motion for protective order regarding having the 14 depositions videotaped? 15 MR. BERLIN: Well, if I could -- if it would 16 be all right, Your Honor, before I turn to the --17 THE COURT: You were continuing. I thought 18 you were done. 19 MR. BERLIN: I'm sorry. Before I turn --20 before turning to the videotaping of the 21 depositions, I would like to address the rest of 2.2 the topics that are at issue. 23 Oh, I'm sorry. Mr. Thomas reminds me that I 24 can say that this is now unsealed and will be 25 okay -- we'll try to go back with the court