IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY FLORIDA

TERRY GENE BOLLEA professionally known as HULK HOGAN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No. 12012447CI-011

GAWKER MEDIA, LLC aka GAWKER MEDIA, et al.,

Defendants.

DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED JURY VERDICT FORM

Trial: March 7, 2016

Presiding Judge:

Pamela A.M. Campbell Circuit Judge

CLAIM FOR PUBLICATION OF PRIVATE FACTS

1.

Did Plaintiff prove that any of the Defendants, by

publishing the video excerpts, disclosed to the public private information about him that a reasonable person would find highly offensive?
GAWKER MEDIA YES NO
NICK DENTON YES NO
A.J. DAULERIO YES NO
If your answer to Question 1 is "YES" for any Defendant, please proceed to Question 2.
If your answer to Question 1 is "NO" for each Defendant, please proceed to Question 6.
2. Did Plaintiff prove by clear and convincing evidence that the publication of the video excerpts, within the context of the report and commentary, was not related to a matter of public concern?
YES NO
If your answer to Question 2 is "YES," please proceed to Question 3.
If your answer to Question 2 is "NO," please proceed to Question 6.

3. Do you find, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the Defendants, in publishing the video excerpts, within the context of the report and commentary, knew that they were publishing material that did not relate to a matter of public concern, or entertained serious doubts about whether the material related to a matter of public concern, but published the video excerpts despite those doubts?
GAWKER MEDIA YES NO
NICK DENTON YES NO
A.J. DAULERIO YES NO
If your answer to Question 3 is "YES" for any Defendant, please proceed to Question 4.
If your answer to Question 3 is "NO" for each Defendant, please proceed to Question 6.
4. Did Plaintiff prove that he suffered emotional distress as a result of the publication of private facts?
YES NO
If your answer to Question 4 is "YES," please proceed to Question 5.
If your answer to Question 4 is "NO," please proceed to Question 6.
5. Do you find that Plaintiff is entitled to more than nominal damages for this claim?
YES NO
Please proceed to Question 6.

3.

CLAIM FOR INTRUSION UPON SECLUSION

6. Did Plaintiff prove that any of the Defendants intentionally intruded, through physical or electronic means, into a place where Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation of privacy?
GAWKER MEDIA YES NO
NICK DENTON YES NO
A.J. DAULERIO YES NO
If your answer to Question 6 is "YES" for any Defendant, please proceed to Question 7.
If your answer to Question 6 is "NO" for each Defendant, please proceed to Question 11.
7. Do you find, by clear and convincing evidence, that the publication of the video excerpts, within the context of the report and commentary, was not related to a matter of public concern?
YES NO
If your answer to Question 7 is "YES," please proceed to Question 8.
If your answer to Question 7 is "NO," please proceed to Question 11.

8. Do you find, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the Defendants, in publishing the video excerpts, within the context of the report and commentary, knew that they were publishing material that did not relate to a matter of public concern, or entertained serious doubts about whether the material related to a matter of public concern, but published the video excerpts despite those doubts?
GAWKER MEDIA YES NO
NICK DENTON YES NO
A.J. DAULERIO YES NO
If your answer to Question 8 is "YES" for any Defendant, please proceed to Question 9.
If your answer to Question 8 is "NO" for each Defendant, please proceed to Question 11.
9. Did Plaintiff prove that he suffered emotional distress as a result of Defendants' intrusion?
YES NO
If your answer to Question 9 is "YES," please proceed to Question 10.
If your answer to Question 9 is "NO," please proceed to Question 11.
10. Do you find that Plaintiff is entitled to more than nomina damages for this claim?
YES NO
Please proceed to Question 11.

CLAIM FOR MISAPPROPRIATION OF THE RIGHT OF PUBLICITY

11. Did Plaintiff prove that, by publishing the video excerpts, any of the Defendants made unauthorized use of his name or image for a commercial purpose?

	GAWKER MEDIA	YES	NO		
	NICK DENTON	YES	NO		
	A.J. DAULERIO	YES	NO		
•	answer to Question 11 to Question 12.	is "YES"	for any	Defendant,	please
~	answer to Question 11 to Question 15.	l is "NO" f	for each	Defendant,	please
publicat	you find, by clear a tion of the video ex- and commentary, was 1?	cerpts, w	ithin th	e context	of the
publicat report a concern	tion of the video example of t	cerpts, w	ithin th	e context	of the
publicat report a concern	tion of the video example example to the commentary, was	cerpts, wi s not relat	ithin th	e context matter of	of the public

13. Do you find, by clear and convincing evidence, that any o
the Defendants, in publishing the video excerpts, within the
context of the report and commentary, knew that they were
publishing material that did not relate to a matter of public
concern, or entertained serious doubts about whether the material related to a matter of public concern, but published
the video excerpts despite those doubts?

GAWKER MEDIA	YES	NO
NICK DENTON	YES	NO
A.J. DAULERIO	YES	NO

If your answer to Question 13 is "YES" for any Defendant, please proceed to Question 14.

If your answer to Question 13 is "NO" for each Defendant, please proceed to Question 15.

14. Did Plaintiff prove that he suffered an economic injury or loss as a result of the unauthorized use of his name or image for a commercial purpose?

10

Please proceed to Question 15.

CLAIM FOR INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

15. Did Plaintiff prove that any of the Defendants, by publishing the video excerpts, engaged in extreme and outrageous conduct and intentionally or knowingly caused him to suffer severe emotional distress?

	GAWKER MEDIA	YES	NO	
	NICK DENTON	YES	NO	
	A.J. DAULERIO	YES	NO	
•	answer to Question 15 d to Question 16.	5 is "YES"	for any Defendar	nt, please
_	answer to Question 15 d to Question 19.	5 is "NO" 1	for each Defendar	nt, please
publica	o you find, by clear a ation of the video ex and commentary, was n?	cerpts, w	ithin the contex	t of the
	YES NO			
If your 17.	answer to Question 16	is "YES,"	please proceed to	Question

17. Do you find, by clea	ar and convincing	evidence, that any of
the Defendants, in pub	lishing the video	excerpts, within the
context of the report a publishing material tha	• •	•
concern, or entertaine		-
material related to a m	<u>-</u>	ncern, but published
the video excerpts despi	te those doubts?	

GAWKER MEDIA	YES	NO
NICK DENTON	YES	NO
A.J. DAULERIO	YES	NO

If your answer to Question 17 is "YES" for any Defendant, please proceed to Question 18.

If your answer to Question 17 is "NO" for each Defendant, please proceed to Question 19.

18. Did Plaintiff prove that he suffered severe emotional distress as a result of the publication of the video excerpts?

Please proceed to Question 19.

CLAIM UNDER FLORIDA'S WIRETAP ACT

19. Did Plaintiff prove that any of the Defendants, by publishing the video excerpts, disclosed intercepted oral communications, in which Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation of privacy, knowing or having reason to know that the communications were recorded without Plaintiff's knowledge or consent?

GAWKER MEDIA	YES	NO
NICK DENTON	YES	NO
A.J. DAULERIO	YES	NO

If your answer to Question 19 is "YES" for any Defendant, please proceed to Question 20.

If your answer to Question 19 is "NO" for each Defendant, please proceed to the instructions before Question 23.

20. Do you find, by clear and convincing evidence, that the publication of the video excerpts, within the context of the report and commentary, was not related to a matter of public concern?

YES	NO	
_ טענו		

If your answer to Question 20 is "YES," please proceed to Question 21.

If your answer to Question 20 is "NO," please proceed to the instructions before Question 23.

21. Do you find, by clear and convincing evidence, that any	of
the Defendants, in publishing the video excerpts, within th	
context of the report and commentary, knew that they wer	re
publishing material that did not relate to a matter of publi	ic
concern, or entertained serious doubts about whether the	ιe
material related to a matter of public concern, but publishe	d
the video excerpts despite those doubts?	

GAWKER MEDIA	YES	NO
NICK DENTON	YES	NO
A.J. DAULERIO	YES	NO

If your answer to Question 21 is "YES" for any Defendant, please proceed to Question 22.

If your answer to Question 21 is "NO" for each Defendant, please proceed to the instructions before Question 23.

22. Do you find that any of the Defendants did not have a good faith belief that the publication was related to a matter of public concern or otherwise lawful?

GAWKER MEDIA	YES	NO
NICK DENTON	YES	NO
A.J. DAULERIO	YES	NO

Please proceed to the instructions before Question 23.

COMPENSATORY DAMAGES

If your final answer under the Claims for Publication of Private Facts, Intrusion Upon Seclusion, and/or Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress was "YES," please proceed to Question 23.

If your final answer under the Claims for Publication of Private Facts, Intrusion Upon Seclusion, and Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress was "NO," please proceed to the instructions before Question 24.

23.	What	amount	do you	ı award	Plaintiff	to	compensate	him
for	emotio	nal distre	ess?					

EMOTIONAL DISTRESS DAMAGES	\$
----------------------------	----

If your final answer under the Claim for Misappropriation of the Right of Publicity was "YES," please proceed to Question 24.

If your final answer under the Claim for Misappropriation of the Right of Publicity was "NO," please proceed to the instructions before Question 25.

24. What amount do you award Plaintiff to compensate him for economic injury or loss?

ECONOMIC INJURY OR LOSS DAMAGES \$_____

If your final answer under the Claim under Florida's Wiretap Act was "YES" for any Defendant, please proceed to Question 25.

If your final answer under the Claim under Florida's Wiretap Act was "NO" for each Defendant, please proceed to the instructions before Question 26.

25. Damages for a violation of Florida's Wiretap Act are capped at \$1,000. If you find damages of less than \$1,000, please state the amount below. Otherwise, write "\$1,000" below.

WIRETAP ACT DAMAGES	\$	
---------------------	----	--

Please proceed to the instructions before Question 26.

PUNITIVE DAMAGES

[IF BIFURCATION IS NOT GRANTED]

If you awarded compensatory damages to Plaintiff pursuant to Questions 23, 24, and/or 25, please proceed to Question 26.

If you did not award any compensatory damages to Plaintiff, please proceed to the last page [FOREPERSON SIGNATURE].

26. For just those claims for which you awarded compensatory damages, do you find by clear and convincing evidence that Defendants were guilty of intentional misconduct, which was a substantial cause of damage to Plaintiff?

YES	NO	

If your answer to Question 26 is "YES," please proceed to Question 27.

If your answer to Question 26 is "NO," please proceed to the last page [FOREPERSON SIGNATURE].

27. You may in your discretion decline to assess punitive damages. Do you find that punitive damages are warranted?

YES	NO	
	 110	

If your answer to Question 27 is "YES," please proceed to Question 28.

If your answer to Question 27 is "NO," please proceed to the last page [FOREPERSON SIGNATURE].

28. For which claim(s) do warranted? Please list the c	you believe punitive damages are laim(s) below.
Please proceed to Question 29.	
•	amount of punitive damages is O) as the amount of punitive damages
FROM GAWKER MEDIA	\$
FROM NICK DENTON	\$
FROM A.J. DAULERIO	\$
Please proceed to the last	page [FOREPERSON SIGNATURE].

PUNITIVE DAMAGES

[IF BIFURCATION IS GRANTED - PHASE I]

If you awarded compensatory damages to Plaintiff pursuant to Questions 23, 24, and/or 25, please proceed to Question 26.

If you did not award any compensatory damages to Plaintiff, please proceed to the last page [FOREPERSON SIGNATURE].

26.	For just those claims for which you awarded compensatory
	damages, do you find by clear and convincing evidence
	that Defendants were guilty of intentional misconduct,
	which was a substantial cause of damage to Plaintiff?

YES ___ NO ___

If your answer to Question 26 is "YES," please proceed to Question 27.

If your answer to Question 26 is "NO," please proceed to the last page [FOREPERSON SIGNATURE].

27. You may in your discretion decline to assess punitive damages. Do you find that punitive damages are warranted?

YES ___ NO ___

If your answer to Question 27 is "YES," please proceed to Question 28.

If your answer to Question 27 is "NO," please proceed to the last page [FOREPERSON SIGNATURE].

28.	For	which	claim(s)	do	you	believe	punitive	damages	are
warr	ante	d? Ple	ase list tl	ne c	laim	(s) below	•		

Please proceed to the last page [FOREPERSON SIGNATURE].

PUNITIVE DAMAGES

[IF BIFURCATION IS GRANTED – PHASE II]

29. In your discretion, what amount of punitive damages is warranted? You may enter (0) as the amount of punitive damages for any or all defendants.

FROM GAWKER MEDIA	\$	_
FROM NICK DENTON	\$	_
FROM A.J. DAULERIO	\$	_
SO SAY WE ALL, this d	ay of	, 2016.
		FOREPERSON

FOREPERSON SIGNATURE

SO SAY WE ALL, this	day of	, 2016.
		FOREPERSON