

Exhibit D

Telephone: [redacted]
Web site: [redacted]
Fax: [redacted]
E-mail: [redacted]

CONFIDENTIAL – POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION REPORT

December 14, 2012

Examination Number: [redacted] 20121214

b6 -2, 4
b7C -2, 4

ATTN: **David R. Houston, Attorney at Law**
432 Court Street
Reno, NV 89501
PH. 775-786-4188

Examinee: [redacted] (DOB: [redacted])
Examination Type: Single-Issue Examination
Examiner: [redacted]

PREDICATION:

At the request of yourself, in conjunction with your client, Terry Bollea and FBI Special Agent [redacted] a polygraph examination was administered to [redacted] on December 14, 2012, in [redacted] at the [redacted] Clearwater Beach, Florida 33767. Subsequent to a meeting with examinee, [redacted] Terry Bollea, myself, and you, but prior to beginning the examination, the examinee reviewed and signed a voluntary consent form allowing the completion of this examination, and releasing [redacted] and Tampa Polygraph Services, LLC – including any agents, employees, employers, or affiliates of any liability resulting from this polygraph examination. This signed statement assures that the examinee was fully advised that the entire examination would be recorded, that all information, findings, and examination data would be subsequently disseminated to the above named addressees, and that the examinee could terminate the examination at any time.

b6 -1, 2, 4
b7C -1, 2, 4

During the aforementioned meeting prior to the examination, [redacted] acknowledged to all parties that she and an unidentified [redacted] came into possession of five videos that recorded Mr. Bollea engaged in sexual activity with Heather Clem while being secretly audio and video recorded without his knowledge and consent. She said that it was [redacted] practice to pass his wife around to celebrities and secretly record them having sex with her. She stated that she and [redacted] collaborated on how, when and where to release two of the DVDs to generate interest and set up their subsequent demands for \$300,000 in exchange for the remaining three DVDs, one of which was most damaging to the reputation and income potential of Mr. Bollea. She said that she and [redacted] shopped around for a place to release the videos and personally released them on Gawker and TMZ. She said they held the most damaging DVD back because it would cause Mr. Bollea the most harm and was therefore the most valuable. She said she was in it strictly for financial gain and [redacted] involved her to protect his identity. She further stated that the writing on the DVD labels matched writing she has seen by [redacted] and that [redacted] assured her these

b6 -2
b7C -2

were the originals and there were no copies. She acknowledged that [] or [] could have made copies without her knowledge. She said that the DVDs were not stolen.

[] also acknowledged that the recordings were made without the knowledge or consent of Mr. Bollea, that release of them would be very damaging to Mr. Bollea's reputation, business endeavors, and income potential, and that he was representing [] and [] in an effort to obtain \$300,000 from Mr. Bollea in exchange for the DVDs with a guarantee there were no copies that would ever be released. He acknowledged that there was a possibility [] had made copies, but it was pointed out that Mr. Bollea had come to a settlement with [] that precluded any further release of videos. When writer asked [] what his experience has been in handling these situations in the past, he said he has done this thirty times with only one "gone bad". [] then went on to sign documents with Mr. Houston in which he essentially attested to his role in the extortion scheme.

b6 -2
b7C -2

Before commencing the active testing of each phase of this examination, a verbal pretest interview was conducted to summarize the examinee's personal and case history, determine the examinee's suitability for the polygraph technique, explain the polygraph components and related physiological processes, debrief the examinee of any information regarding the issues and circumstances under investigation, and thoroughly review the test questions and the examinee's intended answers for that particular phase.

Examinee denied taking any medication in the past 24 hours and denied having any general or acute health problems at the time of this examination.

ISSUE REVIEW

When interviewed during the pretest phase of this examination regarding the specific issue being addressed in this examination, [] provided the following additional information: She and [] came into possession of the five DVDs of Mr. Bollea and Mrs. Clem about six months ago. They jointly placed the DVDs in a safety deposit box and [] got them out on December 13, 2012, and gave them to [] then turned them over to Mr. Houston and Mr. Bollea on December 14, 2012 prior to the polygraph examination. [] saw the DVDs turned over on December 14, 2012, and confirmed they were the same DVDs she believes to be the originals. She said that she believes them to be the originals based on the writing on the DVDs and [] assurances. She also stated that she firmly believes [] is being truthful about there being no copies.

b6 -2
b7C -2

DIAGNOSTIC FINDINGS:

Upon completion of the pretest interview, this examiner determined the examinee to be suitable for the polygraph technique. Upon review of the polygraph test questions, the examinee indicated that she completely understood the scope and meaning of each question.

A Single-issue Zone Comparison Question Technique was administered using a fully computerized multichannel polygraph instrument, that is capable of simultaneously recording both thoracic and abdominal respiratory and muscle/behavioral activity, along with changes in cardiovascular and electro-dermal activity, and includes dedicated components to monitor test subject behavior and assist in the accurate differentiation of test data that represents authentic sympathetic/autonomic response activity to test stimulus questions from data that includes adulterated autonomic and

peripheral/behavioral nervous system activities intended to alter or defeat one's polygraph test results.

A series of four test charts were completed. Careful inspection of the examinee's polygraph examination record revealed test data of sufficient interpretable quality to complete a standard numerical evaluation in attempt to render a qualified opinion regarding these test results.

Upon careful analysis of the examinee's polygraph examination data, it is the opinion of this examiner that there was **No Deception** indicated during this polygraph examination.

The following questions were asked:

Question: To your knowledge, have you provided the original and only copies of those videos?

Answer: (Yes)

Question: Is it true you have provided the originals of these three videos and to your knowledge there are no copies?

Answer: (Yes)

Question: To your knowledge, have you provided the original and only copies of those videos today?

Answer: (Yes)

The examinee's apparent truthfulness regarding the target issues under investigation during this examination does not preclude the possibility of additional information pertaining to issues outside the scope of this investigation, and any specific allegations or concerns should be investigated further until satisfactorily resolved. These test results are limited to the above reported target issues of concern and cannot be taken as a direct indicator of general or overall honesty and trustworthiness. Instead, information in this report may be incorporated in a decision-support capacity in support of other assessment and investigative activities.

If you have any questions or need any further assistance or information, please contact me by telephone/voicemail at [REDACTED] FAX [REDACTED] or email at [REDACTED]

b6 -4
b7C -4

Respectfully,

[REDACTED]

Polygraph Examiner

[REDACTED]