Exhibit A

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. 12012447-CI-011

TERRY GENE BOLLEA professionally known as HULK HOGAN,

Plaintiff,

VS.

HEATHER CLEM; GAWKER MEDIA, LLC aka GAWKER MEDIA, et al.,

Defendants.

HEARING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE PAMELA A.M. CAMPBELL
(Pages 1 through 133)

Friday, January 17, 2014 9:35 a.m. - 12:09 p.m.

St. Petersburg Judicial Building 545 First Avenue North Courtroom E

St. Petersburg, Florida 33701

Stenographically Reported By:
Lori K. Ash, RPR
Notary Public, State of Florida
U.S. Legal Support, Inc.
(813) 876-4722

1 preserving that. 2 All right. So other than settlement 3 issues, anything else on the request to 4 Mr. Clem 1 through 21? 5 MR. HARDER: Your Honor, I would just 6 request that Your Honor's prior order -- the 7 protective order that limited the scope that 8 that apply to Bubba Clem. 9 THE COURT: I believe that it does. Yes. 10 MR. HARDER: Thank you. 11 I think that was the -- that's THE COURT: 12 when I was addressing Number 14. 13 MR. BERLIN: I have two questions, 14 Your Honor. I pulled the transcript from that 15 ruling. Do you want to go over that or not? 16 You don't need that information now? 17 THE COURT: Probably not. 18 MR. BERLIN: Do you want me to include in 19 the order that I'm preparing Mr. Harder's 20 proposal that to the extent somebody needs to 21 look at any additional sex tapes beyond what we 22 already have that that would be Judge Case? 23 THE COURT: Yes. Is that acceptable to 24 everybody? 25 MR. HARDER: If there is an order that it

needs to be seen by someone, then yes, I think
Judge Case would be appropriate and that it
would be within a protective order and only
Judge Case would look at it and Judge Case
would make the recommendations to Your Honor as
to what else should be done with that. But I
think the first step would be that there would
need to be an order that somebody would need to
send something to Judge Case, and there isn't
such an order at this time.

MR. BERLIN: I guess what I'm asking for, Your Honor, picking up on Mr. Harder's proposal, is that if there are -- whether the Plaintiff has any in his possession, custody, or control, we find out Ms. Clem has any in her possession, custody, or control or Mr. Clem has them, and again limit it just to things between Mrs. Clem and Mr. Bollea, that if that comes up we would send them to Judge Case --

THE COURT: Correct.

MR. BERLIN: -- so that he could tell us, hey, there's something here that's relevant, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Perfect.

MR. TURKEL: If I may, just to follow up

on Mr. Harder's point, I've been in court on two of three of these discovery hearings and I have heard -- just by way of example of what Mr. Berlin seems to be talking about this allegation, we've heard there's a tape where Mr. Clem and his wife makes this comment about getting rich. I do think to the extent that they are going to seek this Court's leave to provide something to Judge Case there needs to be some evidentiary threshold for it beyond just "we've heard." That's one example.

Secondly, you know, just by way of example, they want Judge Case to look at the tape to find the statements that occurred.

That's precisely the situation. Arguably it's irrelevant past all the other contents of a private sex tape, that all of this be geared like that and the threshold be set there, because that's why they are saying they want it.

THE COURT: Here's the concern: Between now and March when you are starting these depositions, I have a two-week trial docket with four trials that all seem to be going and I have a three-week non-jury trial, so you are

request other than settlement issues we need to discuss?

MR. THOMAS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. At this point, I'm going to the second motion, Gawker Media, LLC's motion to compel Plaintiff to produce communications related to his settlement with Bubba the Love Sponge Clem. It's under Plaintiff's tab 7 and the response is under tab 8.

MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please the Court. Your Honor, this is Gawker's motion. Mr. Bollea's claims against Gawker are based on the assertion that he was filmed without his knowledge or consent while engaged in a sexual encounter with Ms. Clem.

Mr. Clem publicly changed his version of the facts in a totally radical fashion. It appears from the privilege log of both Mr. Diaco on behalf of Mr. Clem and Mr. Harder on behalf of Mr. Bollea that those settlement negotiations happened literally the day that the lawsuit was filed.

The initial statements by Mr. -- by Mr. Clem were that the whole claim of being

secretly taped was a stunt. That's what he says. He said, "You can't play the victim like that" and then goes on to say, "You are the ultimate lying showman." So clearly immediately after the lawsuit was filed his position is clear and unequivocal that Mr. Hogan knew exactly what was going on.

And then there are settlement
negotiations, Your Honor, and in those
settlement negotiations they result in a
radically different statement by Mr. Clem. The
one page, the one document Mr. Diaco produced
is that. He said -- and I quote -- "After
further investigation, I am now convinced that
Hulk was unaware of the presence of a recording
device in my bedroom. I'm convinced he had no
knowledge he was being taped," et cetera.

We've got a 180-degree change. The settlement document I think is signed literally two weeks after the lawsuit. Mr. Clem was never even served in the case, Your Honor. He is named, not served, doesn't file any appearance, and all the sudden his position is radically changed.

Your Honor, we think that the underlying

facts of what precipitated that change are critical to our case. What made that change happen, what were the offers, what were the negotiations, what was the information he was provided, what was the investigation he conducted to find out whether to release him or not.

Your Honor, the gist of this is that there is no -- as Your Honor knows, there is no settlement privilege in Florida. There's attorney-client. There's work product.

There's parishioner and priest. There's a media privilege. But there is no settlement privilege.

Now, there is the rule of evidence,

Your Honor, and that rule of evidence is, if

you ever have to reach it, Your Honor can say

this is not admissible. Your Honor, we are

talking about discovery. We are talking about,

you know, what were the forces that changed

Mr. Clem's mind about this.

Now, I think that it's critical in this case, Your Honor, it appears as if there were 10 or 12 exchanges between Mr. Diaco and Mr. Harder about this that are not