EXHIBIT B

(to Reply of Terry Bollea in Support of Emergency Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery Concerning Potential Violation of Protective Order)

Shane B. Vogt

á

From:	Doug Jacobs <djacobs@cdas.com></djacobs@cdas.com>
Sent:	Thursday, August 20, 2015 1:59 PM
То:	Shane B. Vogt
Subject:	Bollea v. Clem, Gawker Media, LLC, et. al

Dear Mr. Vogt:

American Media, Inc. ("AMI") has received your email correspondence regarding the requested hold placed on certain information pertaining to the above captioned case, which you assert may be found in the files of AMI. In your correspondence you also state that you may subpoen this material and that it is your belief that the material you might subpoen awould be producible under Florida law. That email has been referred to me for response.

Without discussing the merits of the court's order regarding preservation of documents, as my client has taken and will take all appropriate steps to comply with applicable law regarding documents it may or may not have in its files, I respectfully point out that any subpoena issued to obtain documents in AMI's possession will be governed by New York law, as that subpoena will have been issued to a publication located and publishing in New York, whose reporters and editors are located in New York. Accordingly, under New York law, a subpoena for confidential information assertedly in the files of a press organization must fail. (I can supply citations when necessary.)

Moreover, while NY applies the "most significant relationship" test in determining which state's law applies, and the cases are clear that a subpoena issued to a press organization such as AMI requires the application of New York law, the application of Florida law does not change the outcome here. In Florida, "professional journalists" have a qualified privilege "not to disclose the information, including the identity of any source, that the professional journalist has obtained while actively gathering news." Fla. Stat. § 90.5015(2). As you are certainly aware, if the court were to apply Florida law, that privilege can only be overcome by a "clear and specific showing that: (a) The information is relevant and material to unresolved issues that have been raised in the proceeding for which the information is sought; (b) The information cannot be obtained from alternative sources; and (c) A compelling interest exists for requiring disclosure of the information." Id.

In light of the above, we wanted you to be aware in advance that AMI will not divulge any confidential sources or other confidential information, if any, in it files.

Moreover, by this letter, AMI is neither admitting nor denying the existence of any confidential sources or confidential information, and is not waiving any of its rights or defenses, all of which are expressly reserved.

Very truly yours,

Doug Jacobs





41 Madison Avenue, 34th fl., New York, NY 10010 tel: 212-974-7474 / fax: 212-974-8474 DJacobs@cdas.com / www.cdas.com

*** This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential. Its contents are intended solely for the Recipient(s) indicated and may also be privileged or otherwise protected by work product immunity or other legal rules. If you are not the intended Recipient you are hereby notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please delete this e-mail from your system and notify Cowan, DeBaets, Abrahams & Sheppard LLP immediately by e-mail. ***

The information contained in this message is attorney privileged and/or confidential information intended solely for the use of the addressee. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or any of the information in it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and then delete the message.