## EXHIBIT 13

## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA

TERRY GENE BOLLEA professionally known as HULK HOGAN,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 12012447 CI-011 VS.

HEATHER CLEM; GAWKER MEDIA, LLC a/k/a GAWKER MEDIA, et al.,

Defendants.

TRANSCRIPT OF: PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE: Honorable Pamela A.M. Campbell

DATE: April 23, 2014

TIME: 1:30 p.m.

Pinellas County Courthouse 545 First Avenue North Room 300 PLACE:

St. Petersburg, Florida

REPORTED BY:

Natalie W. Breaux, RPR, CRR Notary Public State of Florida at Large



## APPEARANCES:

KENNETH G. TURKEL, ESQUIRE
Bajo Cuva Cohen & Turkel, P.A.
100 North Tampa Street
Suite 1900
Tampa, Florida 33602
- and CHARLES J. HARDER, ESQUIRE
Harder Mirell & Abrams LLP
1801 Avenue of the Stars
Suite 1120
Los Angeles, California 90057
Appeared for Plaintiff;

SETH D. BERLIN, ESQUIRE
Levine Sullivan Koch & Schulz, LLP
1899 L Street Northwest
Suite 200
Washington, DC 20036
- and PAUL J. SAFIER, ESQUIRE
Levine Sullivan Koch & Schulz, LLP
1760 Market Street
Suite 1001
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
- and GREGG D. THOMAS, ESQUIRE
Thomas & LoCicero PL
601 South Boulevard
Tampa, Florida 33606

Appeared for Defendants Gawker Media, LLC, Nick Denton, A.J. Daulerio and for specially appearing Defendant Blogwire Hungary Szellemi Alkotast Hasznosito, KFT (now known as Kinja, KFT).

4

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

privacy of the people who are depicted would be protected. That didn't happen here with Gawker, but it did happen with Michaels II.

Just making sure my points I was able to make, and I did, so that's all I have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Could I ask you to specifically address the Kinja motion to dismiss?

Well, Your Honor had MR. HARDER: Yes. a motion from Kinja before and denied their And I believe what it's saying now is motion. that it reserved the right to resume its motion to dismiss as to the merits based upon the DCA's opinion. And I don't have a problem with that, because if the other defendants are going to stay in the case because the DCA's opinion is not binding on Your Honor because it was temporary and preliminary and it was not intended to stop the case in its tracks, then the same result should be -- should happen for Kinja, because Your Honor has already ruled on the jurisdictional component of it. The only remaining component is the DCA opinion and how that applies.

I believe what Gawker is saying is: If
you dismiss out Gawker Media and Mr. Denton
and Mr. Daulerio, then dismiss out Kinja as
well. So I believe that I've argued as to
Kinja, Daulerio, Denton and Gawker Media in
arguing as to the application of the DCA
opinion.

THE COURT: It just seemed -- I think there is a little bit more in the motion on that. In the Kinja prior motion to dismiss, at that point in time really discovery had not progressed much at that point in time. And it seemed as -- part of my basis was to allow plaintiff more time to connect Kinja to the rest of the case.

And so at this point in time discovery has come about. I know the standard for motion to dismiss versus motion for summary judgment is a little bit different -- well, it's a lot different.

MR. HARDER: I could address that, Your Honor. First, Kinja is not moving based upon jurisdiction at this time. It acknowledges that that issue has already been foreclosed. So we haven't prepared anything in opposition

7	+ ~	that.
1	1.()	I II d I .

The second thing is that a lot of the discovery that's happened since January has been depositions of Mr. Bollea and Bubba Clem and, following through on Your Honor's order, to ensure that their document production occurred. And it did occur in substantial part, but we have a meet-and-confer letter to them as to a number of issues.

And one of those issues is the fact that they have not produced any documents which are license agreements between Kinja and Gawker Media. Because it's our understanding from their testimony that Kinja and Gawker Media, which are related entities, have contractual relationships with one another. And I believe Your Honor has already ordered them to produce those, but we have not seen them yet, and so that's part of our meet and confer.

THE COURT: When is that scheduled?

MR. HARDER: We have a meet and confer

conference -- it's Alia and my partner Doug

Mirell. And Alia was out on vacation I think

a week ago and so we postponed it to -- in the

very near future. It's either later this week

1	or it's next week. But in the very near
2	future there is going to be a meet-and-confer
3	conference on that issue.
4	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
5	MR. HARDER: Thank you, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Berlin?
7	MR. BERLIN: This is the hardest part of
8	the whole argument, getting all this up here
9	without dropping it.
10	THE COURT: You can argue from back at
11	your table.
12	MR. BERLIN: I'm all good now.
13	THE COURT: Now that you're already
14	here.
15	MR. BERLIN: Now that I'm here, I'm not
16	moving back.
17	THE COURT: That's okay. I needed a
18	deputy to help me bring all of these things in
19	here for this hearing, so
20	MR. BERLIN: Fair enough, Your Honor.
21	Let me try and address the things that Mr.
22	Harder was speaking to, if I may. And let me
23	start with Michaels I. It's an odd position,
24	to say the least, to say that Michaels I, which
25	was a preliminary injunction decision in a

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF FLORIDA: COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH:

I, Natalie W. Breaux, Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I reported in shorthand the foregoing proceedings at the time and place therein designated; that the witness herein was duly sworn by me; that my shorthand notes were thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision; and that the foregoing pages are a true and correct, verbatim record of the aforesaid proceedings.

Witness my hand and seal April 25, 2014, in the city of Tampa, County of Hillsborough, State of Florida.

Natalie W. Breaux Notary Public State of Florida at Large