

# EXHIBIT G

\*\*\*ELECTRONICALLY FILED 10/15/2013 4:00:05 PM: KEN BURKE, CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT, PINELLAS COUNTY\*\*\*

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  
IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA  
CASE NUMBER: 12012447-CI-011**

**TERRY GENE BOLLEA, professionally  
known as HULK HOGAN,**

**Plaintiff,**

**vs.**

**HEATHER CLEM; GAWKER MEDIA,  
LLC, a/k/a GAWKER MEDIA; et al.,**

**Defendants.**

**OBJECTIONS TO SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM  
WITH DEPOSITION**

1. Objection; overbroad, vague and not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. This request is not limited in scope or time. Additionally, it calls for information protected by the attorney/client, work/product, and settlement negotiation privileges.
2. Objection; overbroad, vague and not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. This request is not limited in scope or time. Additionally, it calls for information protected by the attorney/client, work/product, and settlement negotiation privileges.
3. Objection; overbroad, vague and not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. This request is not limited in scope or time. Additionally, it calls for information protected by the attorney/client, work/product, and settlement negotiation privileges.
4. Objection; overbroad, vague and not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. This request is not limited in scope or time. Additionally, it calls for information protected by the attorney/client, work/product, and settlement negotiation privileges.
5. Objection; overbroad, vague and not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. This request is not limited in scope. Additionally, it calls for information protected by the attorney/client, work/product, and settlement negotiation privileges.
6. Objection; overbroad, vague and not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. This request is not limited in scope or time. Additionally, it calls

for information protected by the attorney/client, work/product, and settlement negotiation privileges.

7. Objection; overbroad, vague and not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. This request is not limited in scope or time. Additionally, it calls for information protected by the attorney/client, work/product, and settlement negotiation privileges.
8. Objection; overbroad, vague and not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. This request is not limited in scope or time. Additionally, it calls for information protected by the attorney/client, work/product, and settlement negotiation privileges.
9. Objection; overbroad, vague and not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. This request is not limited in scope or time. Additionally, it calls for information protected by the attorney/client, work/product, and settlement negotiation privileges.
10. Objection; overbroad, vague and not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. This request is not limited in scope or time. Additionally, it calls for information protected by the attorney/client, work/product, and settlement negotiation privileges.
11. Objection; overbroad, vague and not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. This request is not limited in scope or time. Additionally, it calls for information protected by the attorney/client, work/product, and settlement negotiation privileges.
12. Objection; overbroad, vague and not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. This request is not limited in scope or time. Additionally, it calls for information protected by the attorney/client, work/product, and settlement negotiation privileges.
13. Objection; overbroad, vague and not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. This request is not limited in scope or time. Additionally, it calls for information protected by the attorney/client, work/product, and settlement negotiation privileges.
14. Objection; overbroad, vague and not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. This request is not limited in scope or time. Additionally, it calls for information protected by the attorney/client, work/product, and settlement negotiation privileges.
15. Objection; overbroad, vague and not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. This request is not limited in scope or time. Additionally, it calls for information protected by the attorney/client, work/product, and settlement negotiation privileges.

negotiation privileges.

16. Objection; overbroad, vague and not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. This request is not limited in scope or time. Additionally, it calls for information protected by the attorney/client, work/product, and settlement negotiation privileges.
17. Objection; overbroad, vague and not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. This request is not limited in scope or time. Additionally, it calls for information protected by the attorney/client, work/product, and settlement negotiation privileges.
18. Objection; overbroad, vague and not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. This request is not limited in scope or time. Additionally, it calls for information protected by the attorney/client, work/product, and settlement negotiation privileges.
19. Objection; overbroad, vague and not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. This request is not limited in scope or time. Additionally, it calls for information protected by the attorney/client, work/product, and settlement negotiation privileges.
20. Objection; overbroad, vague and not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. This request is not limited in scope. Additionally, it calls for information protected by the attorney/client, work/product, and settlement negotiation privileges.
21. Objection; overbroad, vague and not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. This request is not limited in scope or time. Additionally, it calls for information protected by the attorney/client, work/product, and settlement negotiation privileges.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by electronic mail to: Gregg D. Thomas, Esquire, [gthomas@tlolawfirm.com](mailto:gthomas@tlolawfirm.com), THOMAS & LOCICERO, 601 South Boulevard, Tampa, FL 33606 and Charles J. Harder, Esquire, [charder@hmafirm.com](mailto:charder@hmafirm.com), Harder, Mirell, and Abrams, LLP, 1801 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1120, Los Angeles, CA, 90067 on this 18<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2013.

ADAMS & DIACO, P.A.

  
JOSEPH F. DIACO, JR., ESQUIRE

Bank of America Plaza

101 E. Kennedy Blvd., Suite 2175

Tampa, FL 33602

(813) 221-8669

FBN 0065201

Attorney for Bubba Clem

Designated service e-mail: [jdiaco@adamsdiaco.com](mailto:jdiaco@adamsdiaco.com)